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Abstract

Background: Diarrhoea is currently the second leading cause of childhood mortality globally, after pneumonia. It accounted for 9% of all under-five deaths which was greater than the mortality arising from malaria, HIV and measles combined. The under-five mortality has continued to spike despite medical intervention protocols. This study was therefore designed to assess Caregivers’ knowledge of environmental sanitation and hygiene practices in the prevention of acute diarrhoea among under-five children in Calabar-South, Cross River State, Nigeria. Materials and method: A Caregiver who met the inclusion criteria was enlisted as respondent from each of the 660 households to give a total of 660 respondents. Structured questionnaires were administered to the respondents to collect data. Answers to the questions were numerically weighted and summed up to breakpoints used in categorising the respondents’ knowledge of environmental sanitation and hygiene practices into poor, fair, and good knowledge. Results: Six hundred and fifty (650) respondents completed the interview. Male under-five children were 352 (54.2%) and females 298 (45.8%). Child’s age and male sex were statistically significant predictors of occurrence of childhood diarrhoea. Occurrence of acute diarrhoea in under-five children was greatest among children 13-24 months 149 (67.1%) and male under-five children 196 (55.7%) compared to female under-five children 131 (44.0%). Occurrence of diarrhoea reduced with improved Caregivers’ knowledge of environmental sanitation and hygiene practices. Occurrence of diarrhoea was also observed to reduce with improved educational status and income of Caregivers.
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INTRODUCTION

Diarrhoea which is defined as the passage of 3 or more loose or watery stools per day, is currently the second leading cause of childhood mortality globally, after pneumonia [1]. In 2010, it was responsible for the death of 2,195 children daily, more than the mortality arising from HIV, malaria and measles combined [2]. In 2015, diarrhoea accounted for 9% of all deaths among children under-five years of age worldwide and children less than 2 years of age living in South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa were most vulnerable [3]. In Nigeria diarrhoea was ranked the 4th leading cause of death among under-five children in 2014 [4].

The disease is usually transmitted through faeco-oral routes involving the ingestion of food and drinks contaminated by faecal matters and other human waste products [5]. Poor environmental sanitation and hygiene behaviours and improper handling practices of care-giving commodities by Caregivers are central to the high level of contamination of food and water [6]. A study carried out in Bolivia [7], established lack of caregivers’ knowledge of practices related to personal and food hygiene for diarrhoea prevention and improper disposal of faeces as significant risk factors for diarrhoea disease among under-five children. Lack of hand washing with soap, unsatisfactory garbage disposal, inadequate water supply, poor water storage practice among others were risk factors for diarrhoea disease in under-five children in developing countries [8]. It has been reported that globally, in 2017, 2.2 billion people used unsafely managed drinking water, 44 million people depended on surface water as a source of drinking water and 2 out of every 5 people or 3 billion people lack hand-washing facilities at home.
This constituted a great impediment to effective hygiene and environmental sanitation practices. In 2013, the percentage of households with an improved source of drinking water in Cross River state was 26% while households with improved sanitary facility were just 10% [10].

The mortality rate among under-five children in resource poor countries arising from diarrhoea has continued to spike despite emphasis on medical intervention protocols such as immunization, micronutrient supplementation, and antibiotic prophylaxis among others. It is advocated that measures aimed at reducing the incidence of diarrhoeal disease should also emphasize interventions that are beyond the medical approach to include improvement of environmental conditions such as safe water supply, adequate treatment of human waste, hygiene education and food safety [11].

This study was therefore designed to assess Caregivers’ knowledge of environmental sanitation and hygiene practices in the prevention of acute diarrhoea among under five children in Calabar-South, Cross River State, Nigeria. The general objective of this study determine caregivers’ knowledge of environmental sanitation and hygiene practices in the prevention of acute diarrhoea among under-five children in Calabar-South, Cross River State, Nigeria.

The outcome of this study has uncovered the areas of strength and weakness in Caregivers’ knowledge of environmental sanitation and hygiene practices vis-à-vis prevention of acute diarrhoea among under five children in the study area. These findings when harnessed and appropriately applied will engender a remarkable reduction in the incidence of childhood diarrhoea and attendant high mortality rate in the study area.

Study duration: This study was carried out 10th November, 2018 and 18th April, 2019.

Sample size: Six hundred and sixty (660) Caregivers of under-five children in 6 out of 12 wards of the study area.

Calculation of Sample size
Sample size for this study was determined using the formula [13]

\[
N = \frac{Z^2pq}{d^2}
\]

Where N= Sample size, Z=1.96 (i.e. 95% confidence interval), d= 0.03 (margin of error),

\[
P = 0.08 \text{ (prevalence of diarrhoea in under five) (NDHS, 2013)}. \quad Q = 1 - p = 0.92
\]

Therefore, \[
N = \left(\frac{1.96}{0.03} \times 0.08 \times 0.92\right) = 314.11
\]

To enhance the validity of the study, the initial sample size of 314.11 was multiplied by 2 to give a sample size to 628. To account for a perceived non response rate of 5%, the final sample size was 660.

Selection method
Multi-stage selection method was used to select ward, street, house-hold and respondents.
Stage 1: Selection of wards
The study area had 12 wards. Each ward was assigned a number and lots were casted to select 6 wards out of the 12 wards.

Stage 2: Selection of streets
Random sampling was employed in the selection of 10 streets per selected ward to give a total of 60 streets.

Stage 3: Selection of Compounds and Households
Systematic sampling technique was used in the selection of 11 compounds per street to a total of 660 compounds. A household with at least an under-five child was selected per compound to give a total of 660 households.

Stage 4: Selection of respondents
A respondent (Caregiver) was randomly selected per household to give 660 respondents who were voluntarily enlisted into the study.

Inclusion criteria
A respondent must
- Currently be an under-five Caregiver
- Be of either sex
- Have ability to communicate
- Give informed consent

Exclusion criteria
- Refusal to give consent
- Severe hearing impairment
- Not currently an under-five Caregiver.

Procedure methodology
A semi-structured questionnaire (Appendix 1) was used to collect data from the respondents. This questionnaire was first pretested on 5% of sample size (33 respondents) selected randomly from Calabar Municipal Local Government Area which was an adjoining Local Government area to the study area, sharing common characteristics. This was done to assess the reliability of the questionnaire, using Cronbach Alpha Test in SPSS. The test result gave a value of 0.717. According to George & Mallery [13], this value indicated a good level of internal consistency of the variables contained in the questionnaires.

Key terms of the questionnaires were derived using guidelines in a report by UNICEF/World Health Organization [14] and “Policy Guidelines on Solid Waste Management” of the Federal Ministry of Environment [15] was appropriately modified to suit this study objectives and employed to aid data collection processes.

Definition of operational terms
Sanitation: In this study, refers to measures necessary for improving and protecting health and well-being of individuals through provision of facilities for proper and safe disposal of human and animal faeces, domestic waste, wastewater and stoppage of open defaecation.

Acute diarrhea: In this study, refers to as the passage of three or more watery stool within a period of 24 hours.

Knowledge: refers to facts, information and skills acquired through experience or education.

Caregivers: In this study, refers to parent or guardian of an under-five child. Under five children: In this study refers to children who are 0 to 59 months of age.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
Data was entered and analysed using the Microsoft Excel 2007 and Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 20 and the analysis captured frequency distributions of variables, graphical representations, charts and tables. The association between variables and the hypothesis was tested using chi – square tool of statistical analysis.

The items used to calculate caregivers’ environmental sanitation and hygiene practices were the following questions in section B (Appendix 1) of the questionnaire (Q13, Q19, Q20, Q23, Q24, Q25, Q26, Q27, Q28, Q30, Q31, and Q32) in addition to the following questions from the observation checklist (Appendix 11) (Q1a, Q1b, Q2a, Q2b, Q2c, Q3a, Q3c, Q4a, and Q5a). Scores were assigned to each response accordingly and later summed up to get the total score for each individual for the respective question. For Q13 (yes = 2, no = 1, don’t know = 0), for Q19 (Stream/River = 0, Well = 0, Borehole = 2, Pipe-borne water = 1, Rain water = 0), for Q20 (yes = 2, no = 1, don’t know = 0), for Q23 (Always = 2, Sometimes = 1, Never = 0), for Q24 (Always = 2, Sometimes = 1, Never = 0), for Q25 (Always = 2, Sometimes = 1, Never = 0), for Q26 (Always = 0, Sometimes = 1, Never = 2), for Q27 (Always = 0, Sometimes = 1, Never = 2), for Q28 (Water cistern = 2, Pit latrine = 1, Bush around = 0, Open places = 0), for Q30 (Always = 2, Sometimes = 1, Never = 0), for Q31 (Toilet = 2, Throw outside = 0, buried in the compound = 1, Waste bin = 1), and for Q32 (bury in compound = 1, burning = 0, Government dumpster = 2). While from the observation checklist: Q1a (Yes = 1, No = 0), Q1b (Yes
RESULTS

Caregivers’ Socio-demographic data

A total of 650 participants completed the questionnaires giving a response rate of 98%. The bulk of the respondents fell within the age groupings of 21 – 25 years accounted for 179 (27.5 %), 26 – 30 years of age 124 (19.1%) and 31 – 35 years of age 205 (31.5%). They were mostly female 583 (89.7%) and predominantly civil servants 152 (23.4%), 136(20.9%) artisans and 110(16.9%) petty traders. They were majorly low income earners with only 29(4.5%) earning N100,000 local currency monthly. Over half the population 334(51.4%) were married. Table 1.

Table 1: Caregivers’ Socio demographic data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VARIABLES</th>
<th>FREQUENCY (n = 650)</th>
<th>PERCENTAGE (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caregivers’ gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>89.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age distribution of Caregivers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-20 years old</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-25 years old</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-30 years old</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-35 years old</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>31.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 36 years old</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregivers’ education status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No formal education</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>46.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation of Caregivers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petty trading</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artisan/Apprentice</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farming</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil service</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-habiting</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>51.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregivers monthly income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; N 18,000.00</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>42.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N18,000.00 – N 49,000.00</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>34.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N50,000.00 – N100,000.00</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;N100,000.00</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion of Caregivers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christianity</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>88.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islam</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex of under-5 children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>54.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>45.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages of under-5 year old children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1 month</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1- 12 months</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13- 24 months</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>34.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25- 36 months</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 36 months</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The relationship between socio demographic variables of respondents and occurrence of diarrhoea in under-five children in the study area

Age of child: The occurrence of acute diarrhoea in under-five children was observed to increase with increasing age of child. There was no occurrence of acute diarrhoea in children < less 1 month old but 117 (42.4%) among children 1 - 12 months old, 34 (43.0%) among children 25 - 36 months, 27 (50.0%) among children 37 - 48 months, and peaked at 149 (67.1%) among children 13 - 24 months. This relationship was statistically significant (p = 0.001, df = 6, and $X^2 = 45.399$).

Gender of child: The occurrence of acute diarrhoea was more, 196 (55.7%) among male under-five children than 131 (44.0%) among the female equivalent. This relationship was statistically significant (p = 0.001, df = 2, and $X^2 = 33.641$).

Caregivers’ monthly income: The occurrence of acute diarrhoea in under-five children was observed to decrease with increasing socioeconomic status. This relationship was statistically significant (p <0.001, df = 6 and $X^2 = 45.399$).

Caregivers’ educational status: The occurrence of acute diarrhoea in under-five children was observed to decrease with increasing educational status. The occurrence of acute diarrhoea in under-five children was 46 (63.9%) among caregivers’ with no formal education, 112 (75.2%) among caregivers’ with primary education, 137 (45.7%) among caregivers’ with secondary education and 32 (24.8%). This relationship was statistically significant (p = 0.001, df = 6, and $X^2 = 124.406$).

The relationship between caregivers’ environmental sanitation and hygiene practices and occurrence of acute diarrhoea in under-five children

The occurrence of acute diarrhoea in under-five children decreased with improved environmental sanitation and hygiene practices. The occurrence of acute diarrhoea was 153 (36.1%) among caregivers’ with good environmental sanitation and hygiene practice as compared to the 174 (77%) among caregivers’ with fair environmental sanitation and hygiene practices. Also, more than half of the respondents 341 (52.4%) admitted to using water cistern toilet facility while others admitted to using pit latrine 271 (41.7%) and surrounding bushes, 38 (5.8%). Most respondents claimed they always 598 (92%) or sometimes 52 (8%) washed their hands after using the lavatory. The toilet 362 (55.7%) was the most reported way by which respondents disposed children’s stool. Those who discarded faeces in waste bins were 231 (35.5%) while those that threw human waste in the open were 57 (8.8%).

Furthermore, respondents said they always 313 (48.2%), sometimes 244 (37.5%) or never 93 (14.3%) washed their hands after changing baby diapers. There were excreta around the doorstep of 73 (11.2%) households, the presence of sewage water around 260 (40%) of the respondents’ houses in addition to presence of garbage around the doorsteps of 352 (54.2%) of respondents households. Most households had covered 378 (58.2%) wastes storage/disposal containers. Wastes were disposed through burying in 20 (3.1%), burning 99 (15.2%) or government dumpsters 531 (81.7%). The general surrounding around refuse storage site was sanitary in less than half of the households 314 (48.3%) while most of the households 278 (42.8%) were without window nettings to screen out flies.

Different primary sources of drinking water for general household consumption as reported by respondents in this study include wells 6 (0.9%), boreholes 467 (71.8%) and pipe borne water 177 (27.2%). Nearly all respondents had covered 630 (96.9%) and clean 414 (63.7%) drinking water containers but less than half of respondents 281 (43.2%) reported to treating their drinking water to make it safer for drinking. Most respondents also said they sometimes 317 (48.8%) or always 333 (51.7%) wash their hands with soap and water before preparing meals for their children. Utensils used for feeding infants were always 476 (73.2%), sometimes 141 (21.7%) or never 33 (5.1%) sterilized. Respondents also reported to always 72 (11.1%), sometimes 120 (18.5%) or never 458 (70.5%) reheated leftover food for their children. Respondents while feeding their children, always 148 (22.8%), sometimes 278 (42.8%) or never 224 (34.5%) blew the child’s food to cool.

Responses to questions pertaining to caregivers’ environmental sanitation and hygiene practices were weighted and collated into breakpoints used to categorize Caregivers’ knowledge of environmental sanitation and hygiene practices in relation to occurrence of childhood diarrhoea as shown in Table 2.
In this study, based on summation of weighted responses from the respondents as a means of assessing and categorising Caregivers’ knowledge of environmental sanitation and hygiene practices, only two categories were derived. Caregivers either had good or fair knowledge of environmental sanitation and hygiene practices. No summation of responses indicated poor knowledge of environmental sanitation and hygiene practices. Caregivers with good knowledge of environmental sanitation and hygiene practices had 36.1% occurrence of acute diarrhoea as against 77% occurrence recorded by Caregivers with fair knowledge of environmental sanitation and hygiene practices.

**Other factors that affect the occurrence of acute diarrhoea among under-five children in the study area**

Primary source of drinking water: The occurrence of diarrhoea was most prominent among under-five children whose households’ main source of drinking water was the well (6100%), while the occurrence of diarrhoea was comparatively reduced in households whose primary sources of drinking water were boreholes (242) 51.8% and pipe borne water (79) 44.6%.

Water treatment: Furthermore, the occurrence of diarrhoea in under-five children was lesser among households who reported to treating their water in any way to make it safer for drinking 28.5% (80) as compared to those who did not treat their household drinking water 66.9% (247).

Covered drinking water containers: The occurrence of diarrhoea in under-five children was (20100%) among households who do not use covered drinking water containers as compared to households who use covered containers 48.7% (307).

Hand washing practice: The occurrence of diarrhoea in under-five children was lower among caregivers who washed their hands with soap and water after using the lavatory 47% (281), before preparing child meals 26.1% (87) and after changing baby diapers 39.6% (124) as compared to those who did not.

Household practices: The occurrence of diarrhoea in under-five children was greatest among households who reheat their children’s leftover food (65) 90.3%, caregivers who do not sterilize infants feeding utensils (33)100%, caregivers who were in the habit of blowing child food to cool (129) 87.2%, households who dispose the children stool by throwing outside (51) 89.5% and households who buried domestic waste in their compound (14) 70%.

Use of improved toilet facilities The occurrence of diarrhoea in under-five children in this study was greatest among caregivers who used unimproved toilet facilities such as bush around 84.2% (32) followed by caregivers who used pit latrine 73.4% (199) as compared to caregivers who used water cistern 28.2% (96) (an improved toilet facility).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, the percentage occurrence of acute diarrhoea in under-five children significantly decreased with improved knowledge of environmental sanitation and hygiene practices (p-value < 0.001, df = 2, and X²=104.092).

Caregivers with good knowledge of environmental sanitation and hygiene practices had 36.1% occurrence of acute diarrhoea in under-five children as against 77% occurrence recorded by Caregivers with fair knowledge. Occurrence of diarrhoea was least 96(28.2%) among respondents who practiced use of water cistern toilets as a means of human waste disposal when compared to 82.4% in people who practiced open defecation. This finding lent support to the assertion by a study [16] that associated the lack of access to a toilet facility to greater incidence of diarrhoea in their study population. Over half of the respondents in this study 52.5% used water cistern toilet

### Table-2: Relationship between Caregivers’ environmental sanitation and hygiene practices and occurrence of acute diarrhoea in under-five children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Occurrence of acute diarrhoea</th>
<th>Chi square</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Occurrence</td>
<td>Non-occurrence</td>
<td>Don’t know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor environmental and hygiene practice</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentages within poor environmental sanitation and hygiene practices</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair environmental sanitation and hygiene practices</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentages within fair environmental sanitation and hygiene practices</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good environmental sanitation and hygiene practices</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within good environmental sanitation and hygiene practices</td>
<td>36.1%</td>
<td>57.5%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*significant at p<0.05
system. This is higher than that of the studies conducted in Ghana and Cross River State where barely 10% of households had access to improved sanitary facility such as flushing water closets [10, 17]. It was also the finding in this study that 41.8% of the respondent stored wastes in uncovered waste disposal containers while 81.7% disposed their waste in open public dump sites, a report similar to the claim of a study in Ghana which was that 78% of mothers to under-five children stored wastes in open containers while 88% disposed them in open community dump sites [17]. The simplest advocated means to diarrhea prevention by health authorities is hand washing with soap and water. In this study, 51.7% of the respondents admitted to always washing hands with soap and water prior to preparing meals for their children. This rate of practice of hand washing with soap and water in this study (51.7%) is similar to the 60% reported by Asenso-Mensahet and co-workers [17] in Koforidua municipality, Ghana and the 82.3% reported by a study [18] conducted in Tanzania. Hand washing with soap and water is of great importance because the microorganisms that cause diarrhea in individuals such as E. coli, Shigellasp, and Salmonella spp can survive for long periods on contaminated hands and fingers. These organisms could be accidentally transmitted to exposed food or water. Hand washing with soap and water remains one of the cheapest, less time consuming, safe and most effective measures used to check the transmission of infectious diseases [5, 19, 20]. There are reports of body surfaces colonization of neonates at birth, by multidrug resistant Gram negative organisms [21] obtained from organisms colonizing the maternal vagina in pregnancy, acquired during the course of labor and delivery [22]. To this extent, Caregivers must ensure washing of hands with soap and water before feeding the children after body contact with under-five children under their care.

In this study, near all respondents (96.9%) used covered containers to store water for future use, 63.7% used clean containers for storage of drinking water while 43.2% of the respondents treat their water before drinking. These findings are in compliance with the advocacy of the World Health Organization that safe water, environmental sanitation and hygiene practices are interventions to reduce the burden of childhood diarrhea.

This study also revealed that some aspects of Caregivers’ and children socio-demographic data were statistically significant predictors of childhood diarrhea in under-five children. Occurrence of childhood diarrhea reduced with increased income level of the Caregiver (p-value < 0.001, df = 6, and X² = 45,399). Age of the child is a positive predictor of occurrence of acute diarrhea in under-five children (p-value < 0.001, df = 8, and X² = 67.710). In this study, there was high percentage occurrence of diarrhea in children 13-24 months of age. This is similar to the finding of a study conducted in Mkuranga, Tanzania [19] which revealed that the highest prevalence rates of diarrhea among under-fives was reported for children aged 12 – 17 months, with the peak at 18 – 23 months. It was also observed in this study that the male gender in children was a statistically significant predictor of childhood diarrhea (p-value < 0.001, df = 2, and X² = 33.641). Other significant positive predictors of childhood diarrhea include caregivers’ educational status (p-value < 0.001, df = 6, and X² = 124.406) in which incidence of diarrhea decreased with improved Caregiver’s educational status.

CONCLUSION
These findings of this study evoked the need for health authorities to:
- Provide safe drinking water to rural and semi urban communities in Calabar South Local Government Area, as a matter of priority.
- Provide waste disposal facilities to all communities in Calabar South Local Government Area of Cross River State.
- Emphasize sound environmental and hygiene practices to mothers at every antenatal and postnatal visit.
- Put legislation in place that will make it mandatory for sanitary inspectors to from time to time visit rural and semi-urban communities to educate and enforce acceptable sanitation and hygiene practices.
- Establish a monthly State sanitation day during which there will be general cleaning of the environment.
- Ensure enactment of a law to stop open defecation.
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