Hydatid Disease in the Western Region of Saudi Arabia, A University Medical Center Experience
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Abstract

Hydatid disease (HD) is a zoonotic infection caused by larval stage of Echinococcus granulosus. It is a worldwide health problem causing significant socio-economic impact. This retrospective study included 19 patients diagnosed with HD at a university medical center between 2007 and 2019 in Western Region of Saudi Arabia. There were 68% (n=13) males and 32% (n=6) females (mean age 40 ± 17 years). The clinical presentations were variable and non-specific. The affected organs were as follows: liver (68%), lung (32%), soft tissue (11%), peritoneal nodule (5%), kidney (5%), and brain (5%). Multiple organ involvement and combined liver and lung were seen in 26% (n=5) and 16% (n=3) of the patients, respectively. The mean diameter of cyst was 8.98 ± 1.77 cm. Serology confirmatory test was positive in 95% (n=18) of the patients. Blood eosinophilia was noticed in 32% (n=6) of the patients. Histopathological and cytological features include laminated cyst wall, inner germinal layer and protoscolices. Management included cyst resection or PAIR (Puncture, Aspiration, Injection of protoscolicidal agent and Re-aspiration) technique combined with anthelmintic drugs. The overall recurrence rate was 7.5% and 60% for surgery and PAIR, respectively. Overall mortality rate was 0%. In conclusion, considering that there is no pathognomonic clinical feature for HD, it may lead to confusion and delaying in diagnosis. Good history including exposure to dogs in the endemic areas, good imaging modalities and the proper use of serology might help for suspecting HD. The histopathological findings are valuable in confirming diagnosis of HD.
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INTRODUCTION

Hydatid disease (HD) is a zoonotic infectious disease caused by the larval stage of tapeworm Echinococcus granulosus. The adult tapeworm lives in the small intestine of dogs, which are most important definitive host. The gravid proglottids pass in the feces and rupture releasing eggs that swallowed by the sheep (the most common intermediate host) [1, 2]. Human could become an accidental intermediate host when he comes in contact with infected definite host (e.g. children carry ova in their hands when they have play with Dog’s fur), or ingests contaminated food or water with infected animal feces [2, 3]. The hatched eggs penetrate the intestinal mucosa to the blood stream forming hydatid cysts in different organs. Liver, followed by lung, is the most common site of hydatid cyst. However, other less common anatomic locations have been reported [1, 2, 4].

Clinical presentation of HD is variable and not specific. HD is diagnosed by serological assays, radiological assessment, and histopathological and cytological examinations. Histopathological and cytological findings include laminated cyst wall, protoscolices and variable host response reactions in the form of fibrosis and mixed inflammatory infiltrate [4].

Hydatid disease is a worldwide health issue, particularly common in cattle rearing areas. It has considerable mortality, morbidity and socio-economic impact in many developing countries. It is one of the neglected zoonotic diseases (NZDs) according to WHO [5]. As far as we know, the prevalence of HD in Saudi Arabia is not yet reported. There are some published reports about HD in Saudi Arabia [6, 7, 8]; however, none of them have evaluated the burden of this disease in Western region of Saudi Arabia. The aim of this study is to evaluate the demographic, clinical, and pathological features including organ distribution, treatment options and outcome on this neglected zoonotic disease (NZDs) in the western region of Saudi Arabia.
MATERIAL AND METHODS
This retrospective study was conducted in the department of Pathology at King Abdulaziz University Hospital (1067-bedded tertiary care and academic hospital at Western region of Saudi Arabia) between 2007-2019. The study included nineteen cases diagnosed as hydatid disease in this certain period. Clinical data of the patients were retrieved from the hospital records. Formalin fixed, paraffin-embedded samples were cut into 5 microns and stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E). Cytology specimens were prepared from the aspirate of six cases, fixed in absolute alcohol and stained by Papanicolau and diff quick stains. The slides were reviewed by the same pathologist. The criteria for diagnosis include hydatid membranes, protoscolices, and hooklets.

We evaluated the following (a) the demographic features (b) the clinical presentations (c) the anatomic location, size and number of cysts, and multiple organ involvement (d) some laboratory features including the presence of eosinophilia and positivity for serologic test (IHA test) (e) the histopathological and cytological findings including outer acellular laminated membrane, the inner germinal membrane, protoscolices, and host-response reactions (f) the treatment modalities and (g) the outcome.

RESULTS
In this study, there were 13 (68%) male and 6 (32%) female patients. The age ranges between 13 and 75 years (mean age was 40 ± 17 years). Most of the patients were in peak age group of 25-50 years (Table 1). Among this sample, there were two patients with recent contact with dogs; however, data of history in contact with animal for other patients was not clarified in patient’s files.

The most frequent clinical manifestations were from hepatic, lung, brain and soft tissue involvement and including abdominal pain (58%), fever (21%), cough (16%), shortness of breath (16%), hemoptysis (11%), soft tissue palpable mass (11%), obstructive jaundice (5%), and convulsion (5%). Other clinical complications included lung empyema in one patient and communication of liver HD cyst with common bile duct in another two patients; one of them complained of passing worms in stool.

Table-1: Age and Sex Distribution among 19 patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± standard deviation</td>
<td>37±12</td>
<td>45±22</td>
<td>40±17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-50</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The affected organs by of cysts: liver (n=13; 68%), lung (n=6; 32%), soft tissue (n=2; 11%), peritoneal nodule (n=1; 5%), kidney (n=1; 5%), and brain (n=1; 5%). Multiple organ involvement was seen in 5 patients (26%), three of them had combined liver and lung involvement (16%). Other multiple organ involvement demonstrated in (Table-2). The mean diameter of cysts was 8.98 ± 1.77 cm and ranged between 1 cm and 13 cm. The number of cysts per organ and average diameter of each cyst were illustrated in (Table-3).

Table-2: Single versus multiple organ involvement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cyst location</th>
<th>Male (%)</th>
<th>Female (%)</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Single organ involvement</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Liver</td>
<td>5 (~26%)</td>
<td>3 (~16%)</td>
<td>8 (~42%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Lung</td>
<td>3 (~16%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>3 (~16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Soft tissue</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>2 (~11%)</td>
<td>2 (~11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Brain</td>
<td>1 (~5%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (~5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Multiple organ involvement</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Liver and lung</td>
<td>2 (~11%)</td>
<td>1 (~5%)</td>
<td>3 (~16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Liver and kidney</td>
<td>1 (~5%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (~5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Liver and peritoneum</td>
<td>1 (~5%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (~5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The antibody titers for echinococcosis using commercial available IHA test were positive in 95% (n=18) of the patients. The presence of blood eosinophilia was noticed in only 32% (n=6) of the patients. The histopathological findings of hydatid cysts included outer acellular laminated cyst wall, inner germinal membrane and protoscolices containing multiple hooklets (Figure 1A-D). While outer acellular laminated membrane was seen in all cases (100%), the protoscolices were identified only in 12 cases (63% of the patient); and three of them were degenerated protoscolices (16%). Other findings include calcification in cyst wall. The cysts were surround by host reaction responses including fibrosis (figure 1-A), inflammatory infiltrate containing eosinophils, plasma cells, lymphocytes, histiocytes and foreign-body type granulomatous reaction (Figure 1-B). Cytological assessment of FNA samples showed many protoscolices with refractile hooklets, dispersed floater hooklets (Figure-2), parts of laminated membrane, granular debris and mixed inflammatory cells.

**Table-3: The number of cysts per organ and average diameter among 19 cases**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of cysts with:</th>
<th>One cyst/ organ (Average size)</th>
<th>2 cysts/ organ (average size)</th>
<th>3 or more cysts/ organ (average size)</th>
<th>Total mean diameter ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>9 (11 cm)</td>
<td>1 (13 cm)</td>
<td>3 (7 cm)</td>
<td>10.2± 1.85 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>4 (10 cm)</td>
<td>1 (10 cm)</td>
<td>1 (7.6 cm)</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.98 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft tissue</td>
<td>2 (7 cm)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7 ± 0 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (5 cm)</td>
<td>5 ± 0 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>1 (5 cm)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5 ± 0 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peritoneal</td>
<td>1 (1 cm)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 ± 0 cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean diameter ± SD  

9.35 ± 2.31 cm     11.5 ± 1.5 cm     6.72 ± 0.95 cm     8.98 ± 1.77 cm

Fig-1: The histopathology of hydatid cyst: A: Laminated cyst membrane (L) surrounded by fibrosis (F), 4x H&E stain. B: Laminated cyst membrane (L) surrounded by foreign-body type giant cell reaction (G), 20x H&E stain. C: Laminated cyst membrane (L), inner germinal layer (I), and protoscolex (P) containing refractile yellow to golden hooklets (H), 20x H&E stain. D: High power of protoscolex containing refractile yellow to golden hooklets (H), 40x H&E stain.
Seventeen patients (89.5%) were treated by combination of cyst resection or PAIR and pre- and post-operation anthelmintic drug (albendazole 400 mg) for variable duration (ranged from 4-20 weeks). Thirteen patients (~ 68%) of this group (included one patient that had failed PAIR approach) had been treated with surgical resection of cyst/s (modality 1, Table-6) and five patients (~26%) had been treated by PAIR technique (modality 2, Table-6).

The remaining two female patients (10.5%) have been presented with cysts that were not suspected initially to be HD. The other patient had history of multiple pregnancies with cesarean sections. She was presented with right external oblique muscle cyst, which has been thought clinically to be hematoma or endometriosis. The cysts in both cases have been aspirated (modality 3, Table-6). Cytology assessment of aspirated fluids showed few protoscolices, parts of laminated wall and floater hooklets (Figure-2). After establishing the diagnosis, the patients have been started on albendazole for a long course (12 wks – 20 wks).

In this study, no patient developed post-surgery or post-PAIR anaphylactic shock. The recurrence rate of modalities 1, 2, and 3 were 7.5%, 60%, and 50%, respectively. The patient of recurring cyst in modality 1 had history of discontinuing albendazole by herself one week post-operation. One out of five cases treated by PAIR technique revealed a residual small cyst that showed no progression with time. The overall recurrence rate is 32% while the overall mortality for all cases was 0% (Table-4).

Table-4: The outcome of 19 patients according to the treatment modality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Options of treatment</th>
<th>No (%)</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modality 1</td>
<td>12 +1\textsuperscript{a} (68%)</td>
<td>11/13 (85%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modality 2</td>
<td>5 (26%)</td>
<td>1/5 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modality 3</td>
<td>2 (10.5%)</td>
<td>1/2 (muscle) (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19 + 1\textsuperscript{a} (63%)</td>
<td>11 +1\textsuperscript{a} (63%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}: Included one case that failed modality 2.
\textsuperscript{b}: One patient failed this modality and had been treated surgically.

**Modality 1**: Combination of surgery (cyst resection) + pre- and post-operation albendazole.

**Modality 2**: Combination of PAIR + pre- and post-technique albendazole.

**Modality 3**: Simple fluid aspiration of clinically non-suspected cyst, followed by albendazole.
DISCUSSION

Hydatid disease has a worldwide geographic distribution, particularly common in Mediterranean, Middle East, North and East Africa, Russia, China, India, South America, and Australasia [1, 4, 10, 11]. Ma et al., found a total of 7688 article publications on hydatid disease from 1980 to 2017. The largest numbers of published articles were from Turkey (1133 articles), followed by France (582 articles) and China (572 articles) [12]. The prevalence of hydatid disease in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and its variability from a region to another has not been reported [6]. However, few publications from Saudi Arabia have described individual experience in few hospitals. Malakia et al., described 188 cases of HD in various hospitals from different regions of Saudi Arabia [9]. While Alam and Fahim at el have reported total of 67 and 117 patients with HD over 10- and 5-years period, respectively in central region of Saudi Arabia [6, 7]. In another big hospital at south of Saudi Arabia, Jastaniah et al., have reported 43 patients with HD over a period of six-year [8]. There might be some variability of hydatid disease distribution among different areas of Saudi Arabia and that may be due to the difference in sociocultural and behavioral pattern of life and variability in the distribution of sheep-raising areas [6].

This study was carried out in western region of Saudi Arabia including a total 19 patients. The study showed a male predominance. A similar observation has been reported in two other studies from Saudi Arabia [6, 7]. The mean age of patients included in our study was 40 ± 17 years, and the peak age group was 25-50 years. While some reports from other countries like Turkey, Iran and India showed relatively similar peak age, the incidence was higher in females than males [6, 13-16]. Rao et al., have postulated that women are involved more than men in farming and herding livestock in their country; therefore women have more risk to get the disease [16]. The HD of human follows a relatively slow course. Patients might get the disease early in their life and stay asymptomatic for many years until the cysts become big enough to produce symptoms and complications in their middle-age [2, 7]. While patients start to have symptoms, unfortunately none of them are pathognomonic for HD [4]. This was also the case in this study, as the clinical presentations of the patients were variable, nonspecific, and represents more the affect of the enlarged cyst on surrounding tissue.

Imaging modalities are very helpful for suspecting HD disease. These modalities could help in identifying the avascular nature of the cyst, the present of daughter cysts, vesicles and internal septa [4]. The size of HD cyst might be highly variable [4]. Ettorre et al., reported a giant liver hydatid cyst with size of 30 cm. When the size of HD cyst increases, the risk of perforation and subsequent anaphylaxis reaction is also increased. Therefore, radical therapy is recommended for giant cysts [4, 17]. The size of hydatid cysts in our study was variable ranges from 1 to 13 cm (average diameter 8.98 ± 1.77 cm). Hydatid cysts of liver and lung were the largest (average diameter 10.2 and 9.6 cm, respectively). Our study showed also multiple organ involvement in 26% of patients and the combination of liver and lung involvement was the highest (n=3; 16%). In some published reports, multiple organ involvement was ranged from 7.1% to 12% [4, 7, 16], and combined liver and lung HD were in 10.0% [18], and 19.3% [19] of patients.

The majority of patients included in this study had HD of liver (68%) while HD of lung was in the second place after liver (32%). Unsurprisingly, this is consistent with many literature published reports [1, 6-8, 15, 16]. Not limited to liver and lung, our study revealed few other uncommon sites including abdominal external oblique muscle, soft tissue of thigh, peritoneal nodules, kidney and brain. Literatures review showed many other uncommon and rare locations like subcutaneous tissue [20], muscles [21-23], soft tissue [24], bone [25], pericardium [26], pleura [23], thyroid [27], gallbladder [4], spleen [4], uterus [28], ovary [3], breast [11], buccal mucosa [29], orbit [30], brain [11, 31], and spine [32]. The liver is the first effective filter for most of the larvae after it penetrated the intestinal mucosa; therefore liver is the most common site of HD. The lung is the second most frequently involved site if the larvae are able to pass through the first filter. If the larvae are not trapped in the first and second filters, they could travel to any part of the body. Other routes of spread might be though lymphatic circulation [4]. By knowing these routes of spread and variability in anatomic locations, HD should be considered as a differential diagnosis for any cystic lesion in a patient coming from an endemic area or having a history of contact with animal [21, 23].

The serological assays are currently used for screening, diagnosis, and post-surgery follow-up, however, its sensitivity is variable. In our study, it reached 94% (90% for liver cysts and 100% for other organ cysts) while in other published studies; it was very high for liver cysts (80-100%), low in lung (50-56%) and other organs (25-56%) [4]. Although HD is helminthic disease; eosinophilia was seen only in 32% of our cases. In most published cases, eosinophilia is either mild (< 15%) or absent, making eosinophilia of limited value in diagnosing HD. However, marked eosinophilia might indicate cyst rupture [7, 33].

The histological diagnosis of HD depends on the detection of the cyst wall and its contents. As the hydatid larva travels through blood circulation to its final destination, it becomes encysted. The fluid-filled cyst has spherical appearance and consists of an outer acidophilic-staining acellular laminated membrane and
inner germinal layer. Small vesicles (called broad capsules) bud internally from the inner germinal layer and produce many protoscolices by asexual division [2]. These broad capsules may detach into the fluid of the cyst forming daughter cysts [2, 34]. The protoscolices are characterized with double row of acid-fast hooklets that could be aspirated and detected in cytology specimens [35]. Host may react to the hydatid cyst by forming an outer layer of granulomatous reaction, mixed inflammatory infiltrate and fibrosis [2, 4]. Calcification of cyst wall might be seen in some cases [4]. Although fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) has an advantage of minimally invasive technique, low cost and high diagnostic accuracy; it was is encouraged for diagnosis of HD due to the possibility of spillage and subsequently causing anaphylactic reactions. On the other hand, Kammer and Schantz believed that the risk could be minimized if the procedure is guided by ultrasonography or computerized tomography [36, 37]. Mohamadnejad et al., concluded that diagnostic FNAC could be done in equivocal cases with negative serological assays. They added that patients tolerated the FNA procedure well without any adverse events as it has been reported in many case reports [38]. Saha et al., recommended taking the necessary anti-anaphylactic measurement and special care to avoid the spread of protoscolices while doing FNAC procedure [39].

The choosing of treatment modalities depends on factors like the size and site of the cysts, health status of patient, and availability of these modalities in the treating hospital [4]. In general, the main effective treatment is total cyst resection without rupturing it. PAIR technique is another option. In a meta-analysis and systemic review of 33 studies, Velasco-Tirado et al showed that combination of cyst resection or PAIR and anthelmintic drugs give better outcomes [40]. The anthelmintic agents include mainly albendazole and praziquantel. Albendazole has the advantage of its ability to penetrate hydatid cyst wall and therefore it is recommended as first line medication. Praziquantel is more efficient but poor in penetrating cyst wall, therefore, it could be used in cases of ruptured cysts [4]. Watch and wait has been suggested for inactive and silent cysts [40].

The overall recurrence rate in our study was 32%. Patients treated by surgical excision of whole cyst showed only 7.5% recurrence rate (only one patient). Although PAIR technique has advantage of minimally invasive approach, this technique had associated with 60% recurrence rate in our study. Few other studies showed that total surgical cyst excision is more curative and effective treatment than PAIR technique with similarity to our results [4, 41]. The overall mortality rate in our study was 0%. However, literature studies have reported higher mortality incidence reaching 3% in one study [4], and mortality rate of 3 per 100000 residents [42]. This might be attributed to the limited number in our study but it may also reflect the availability of different treatment modalities in our tertiary care hospital.

CONCLUSION

Despite of the fact that the HD are found most commonly in liver and lung; however, any organ could be affected by this neglected zoonotic tapeworm disease. Considering that there is no pathognomonic clinical feature for HD, it may lead to confusion and delaying in diagnosis. Good history including; exposure to dogs in the endemic areas, good imaging modalities and the proper use of serology might be help for suspecting HD. The laminated cyst wall and protoscolices are easy to be detected in histopathology specimens and are valuable in confirming diagnosis of HD. Surgical resection of cyst and the anthelmintic medications are the most common effective treatment of HD. Lately, HD rarely cause significance morbidities or mortalities in the present skilled hands and good health care.
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