Enamel and Dentin Adhesion Differences
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Abstract

The bond strength of composite to enamel depends upon the depth the degree of demineralization, the number and length of resin tags and their surface area. Although both dentin and enamel are different in many aspects both equally contribute to the success of composite restoration. This article highlights the differences in bonding mechanism to enamel and dentin and their significance.
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INTRODUCTION

Bonding of composite to tooth structure depends on both enamel and dentin but the composition and microstructure of both the hard tissues is totally different. Only thing that is common to both is hydroxyapatite crystals. Studies have shown that bond strength to enamel is more than dentin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enamel and dentin properties [1]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enamel</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96% inorganics, the rest are water and organics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65%–70% minerals, the rest are organics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The maximum hardness (3.5 GPa) of enamel is located on the surface, and the hardness decreases gradually with increasing depth, whereas the enamel maintains a stable hardness of 2–2.5 GPa at a distance of 100–600 mm from the dentin enamel junction (DEJ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inert, high-energy crystalline structure with high intermolecular forces has been called a composite bioceramic [2].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Resin tags and its surface area as it goes deeper

Resin tags have been reported as penetrating up to 100 μm into etched enamel [4]. Resin penetration into tubules can effectively seal the tubules and can contribute to bond strength if the resin bonds to the tubule wall. Resin infiltration into intertubular dentin can only occur if the mineral phase of dentin is removed by acidic conditioners or chelators [5]. Most bonding systems use acidic conditioners designed to remove the smear layer and demineralize the dentin surface. It would seem desirable to reduce the acid concentration and/or application time to the minimum required to obtain maximum bond strengths and minimum microleakage[6].
Smear layer

Smear layers are created on hard tissues whenever they are cut with hand or rotary instruments. This thin (1-2 microns) layer of denatured cutting debris is very tenacious and, in fact, is often the surface to which restorative materials are luted [5]. The exact composition of smear layer has not been determined. It is believed to contain thin particles of inorganic material and organic elements. The thickness and morphology of the smear layer probably varies with the method used for producing the smear layer and with the location within dentin in relation to the pulp. Researchers have examined light-microscopically smear layers generated by diamond burs with different grain size and by silicon carbide (SiC) papers with varying grit numbers. They concluded that the smear layer’s thickness increases with increasing roughness of the diamond bur or SiC paper. A regular grit bur with a grain size of 100 µm (ISO number 806314141504014), often used in clinical cavity preparations, creates a smear layer of 2.2 ± 0.5 µm [7].

Hybrid layer

Application of the acid etchant leads to demineralization of the enamel and dentin which gets filled with hydrophilic monomers, and application of the hydrophobic resin completely fills the intercollagenous pores. This resultant layer is called hybrid layer [9].

Acid etching removes approximately 10 µm of enamel surface and creates a morphologically porous layer (5 µm to 50 µm deep) [10]. Demineralized dentin matrix can shrink up to 65% in volume because of collapse of collagen.

Micro-Raman spectroscopy revealed that dentine demineralization with phosphoric acid extends beyond 10 µm whereas subsequently applied adhesive resin is only able to penetrate the acid etched dentine up to 8-10 µm. Furthermore, this technique showed that the amount of adhesive resin gradually decreases with depth within the hybrid layer [11].

Total Etching Strategy

The total-etching systems require a conditioning, a rinsing and a priming step in order to allow involvement of collagen fibers by the resin monomers and the formation of the so-called ‘hybrid layer’. Demineralization of intertubular dentin and maintenance of interfibrillar porosities are required for adequate monomer penetration into the conditioned dentin [12].

Wetting agents

If the surface must be dried—eg, to check the enamel etch—it should be remoistened. Various materials have been tested as rewetting agents, including water, which does not, rewet the surface rapidly. Better alternatives are aqueous solutions of HEMA such as Aqua-Prep (Bisco, Inc.) or Gluma Desensitizer (Heraeus Kulzer)[12, 13] The latter also contains glutaraldehyde, which might stabilize the collagen layer, thus facilitating resin penetration[13].

Factors that govern the adhesion

1. Composition of the bonding agent, whether its ethanol based or acetone based
2. Status of the tooth. Prepared or unprepared enamel, healthy dentin or sclerotic dentin, young tooth or old tooth.
3. Surface area of enamel and dentin available for bonding
4. Technique of etching(active or passive)

CONCLUSION

The bonding of composite to tooth structure is micromechanical. The properties of enamel and dentin are very important factor that governs the success of bonding. The better we understand these concepts the better we do the restorative procedure by selecting the best restorative material available in the market.
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