**∂** OPEN ACCESS

#### Saudi Journal of Oral and Dental Research

Abbreviated Key Title: Saudi J Oral Dent Res ISSN 2518-1300 (Print) | ISSN 2518-1297 (Online) Scholars Middle East Publishers, Dubai, United Arab Emirates Journal homepage: <u>https://saudijournals.com</u>

## **Original Research Article**

Radiology

# Assessment of Knowledge about Hazards of Ionizing Radiation among a Sample of Yemeni Population

Latifa A. Al-Najjar<sup>1\*</sup>, Reema A. Al-Eryani<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor of Oral Diagnosis and Radiology, Faculty of Dentistry, Sanaa' University, Yemen <sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Sanaa' University, Yemen

**DOI:** <u>https://doi.org/10.36348/sjodr.2025.v10i01.004</u> | **Received:** 14.12.2024 | **Accepted:** 20.01.2025 | **Published:** 22.01.2025

\*Corresponding author: Latifa A. Al-Najjar

Assistant Professor of Oral Diagnosis and Radiology, Faculty of Dentistry, Sanaa' University, Yemen

#### Abstract

*Aim:* The present study was carried out to assess the level of knowledge about ionizing radiation hazards among Yemeni patients in Sana'a city, republic of Yemen. *Methods:* A cross-sectional study of 669 questionnaires which tested patients 'information about ionizing radiation, its' harmful effects and protection from these effects. This study performed on participants who referred for radiological examinations to the radiology department of two academic hospitals. *Results:* There is a no significant difference in the level of knowledge between different age groups (p=.058) and gender (p=.179), However, there is a significant difference in the level of knowledge among different educational levels groups and between who work or study in the medical field or not (p=.000). The mean knowledge score was  $7.0149 \pm 2.12550$ , which indicate good level of knowledge between study participants. *Conclusions:* The study indicates a good level of knowledge between a sample of Yemeni population, and to elevated their knowledge to a higher level, the government, ministry of health, and hospitals have a responsibility to educate the population and increase their level of knowledge about ionizing radiation and its harmful effects.

Keywords: Ionizing Radiation, Radiation Hazards, Radiation Safety, Public Health, Yemen.

Copyright © 2025 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Radiation has become a part of modern living, reaching every segment of our society. It can be classified into ionizing and non-ionizing radiation [1].

Non-ionizing radiation contains enough energy to move the atoms in a molecule around them or make them vibrate, but not enough to remove electrons from the atoms [2]. Examples of this type of radiation are microwaves, visible light, and radio waves [3]. The second type, ionizing radiation, increases the risk of cancer or direct tissue damage when enough particles are broken down so that cells cannot function [4]. Ionizing radiation has many practical uses in medicine, research, and construction, but it is very dangerous if used incorrectly or with high doses [5]. Examples of this type are x- rays, 'gamma rays, and neutron radiation [6].

Ionizing radiation could be biologically damaging to living tissues [7]. It may directly damage the DNA of the living cell and indirectly by creating free radicals, which form new toxic substances, such as hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ), which can lead to cellular alternations [8].

All individuals are exposed to ionizing radiation, both from natural and man-made radiation sources. X-rays are ionizing radiation used extensively in medical and dental practice. Even though they provide useful information and aid in diagnosis, they have the potential to cause harmful effects [1].

The harmful effects of ionizing radiation may be classified into deterministic and stochastic effects. Deterministic effect is more lethal to the tissue due to extensive killing of the cells. The severity of response is proportional to the dose. Whereas, the stochastic effect is individual cell damage, which in turn damages the DNA leading to carcinogenesis and heritable defects. Both dentists and patients are at high risk of stochastic effects as it has no dose threshold [9].

Radiology departments in health institutions use different imaging modalities: both ionizing radiation

**Citation:** Latifa A. Al-Najjar & Reema A. Al-Eryani (2025). Assessment of Knowledge about Hazards of Ionizing Radiation among a Sample of Yemeni Population. *Saudi J Oral Dent Res*, *10*(1): 21-26.

(such as x-ray, fluoroscopy, mammography, and nuclear medicine and computer tomography) and non-ionizing radiations (such as ultrasound, magnetic resonance imaging) in the diagnosis of many medical problems and have significantly increased during the last years on worldwide for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes [10].

Radiation has both positive and negative influences, so it must let the public realize the correct awareness of radiation [11]. The level of knowledge and awareness among Yemeni patients about the concepts and implications of medical radiation is unknown and there is a need to understand it. Therefore; the present study was carried out to assess the level of knowledge about ionizing radiation hazards among Yemeni patients in Sana'a city, republic of Yemen.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A cross-sectional study performed between 15 August and 22 November 2024 among participants who referred for radiological examinations to the radiology department of two academic hospitals. Completed 669 questionnaires which tested patients 'information about ionizing radiation, its' harmful effects and protection from these effects. The questionnaire was filled by individually interviewing each of the participants of the sample population after seeking informed consent.

The questionnaire consists of 12 questions, which a combination of Yes-No and multiple-choices questions. It was divided into two sections: the first consists of 4 section questions included sociodemographic data; their age (18-25 years, 26-45 years, 46-65 years, and 66-90 years), gender, education level (no school degree, primary school, middle school, high school, university graduate, and higher education), and they are work or study in the medical field or not. The second section of questionnaire consists of 8 questions, which was a combination of yes-no-no idea and multiple-choice questions that assess participants' knowledge about radiation hazards, including the following questions: is there a natural source of ionizing radiation to which we are all exposed, is ionizing radiation dangerous to your health, would preventing or minimizing ionizing radiation exposure improve a person's health, do you perceive that x-ray in dentistry is harmful, is it necessary to adjust the dose of the radiation

with respect to the age of the patient, do you think that xray imaging can cause cancer, do you think that x-ray imaging can cause fertility problems, do you think that dental x-ray imaging is suitable for pregnant women, which of the following modalities uses ionizing radiation (Ultrasound, MRI, CT and no idea), which of the following imaging modalities are safe for pregnant women (Ultrasound, CT, MRI, no idea), which human body organs highly sensitive to radiation (Gonads, Kidney, Thyroid, no idea), in your opinion, which of the following procedure is associated with a greater dose of radiation (CT Scan, Chest X-ray, Skull X-ray, no idea).

#### **Statistical Analysis**

The knowledge of participants was evaluated by calculating the scores for correct answers. Each correct response was given one point. Data were represented in terms of frequencies and valid percentages for categorical variables. Mean and standard deviations values were used to describe the numerical variables. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test and independent samples t-test were used to compare numerical variables between the subgroups. All *p*-values <0.05 were considered as statistically significant. Information gathered was entered into computer and data was processed and analyzed using version 23 of Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS). The total knowledge score was calculated for included questions evaluating knowledge about radiation hazards. The mean for total score was compared over different demographic data and influencing factors using one-way ANOVA at the level of significance p-value <0.05.

## **RESULTS**

The lists of sociodemographic characteristics of participants are summarized in Table 1. The study included 669 participants, females were 429 (64.1%) and males 240 (35.9%). The percentage of age was 30.0 % for 18-25 years, 45.9% for 26-45 years, 17.5% for 46-65, and 6.6% for 66-90 years. The age group 26-45 years had more participants. Education level was no school degree (11.4%), primary school (7.3 %), middle school (12.1 %), high school (20.9 %), university graduate had most common percentage (41.1 %), and higher education (7.2 %). The majority (55.5%) of participants were not work or study in medical field.

Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of participants				
Socio-demographic characteristics		Frequency	Percentage	
Age in years	18-25	201	30.0	
	26-45	307	45.9	
	46-65	117	17.5	
	66-90	44	6.6	
	Total	669	100.0	
Gender	Male	240	35.9	
	Female	429	64.1	
	Total	669	100.0	
Education	No school degree	76	11.4	

Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of participants

© 2025 | Published by Scholars Middle East Publishers, Dubai, United Arab Emirates

Socio-demographic characteristics		Frequency	Percentage
	Primary school	49	7.3
	Middle school	81	12.1
	High school	140	20.9
	University graduate	275	41.1
	Higher education	48	7.2
	Total	669	100.0
Work or study in the medical field	Yes	298	44.5
	No	371	55.5
	Total	669	100.0

Latifa A. Al-Najjar & Reema A. Al-Eryani; Saudi J Oral Dent Res, Jan 2025; 10(1): 21-26

Distribution of (yes-no-no idea) answers of the survey are summarized in Table 2. Most of participants (60 %) believe that there is a natural source of ionizing radiation to which we are all exposed, 228 of them (34.1 %) don't believe that, and 39 (5.8 %) had no idea. When participants were asked is ionizing radiation dangerous to health or not; 542 (81 %) of them knew that the ionizing radiation is dangerous to health, and 52 (7.8 %) had no idea. There were 491 (73.4 %) of the participants who answered that the preventing or minimizing ionizing radiation exposure improve a person's health, and 97 (14.5 %) had no idea. Only 277 (41.4 %) of the participants knew that the x-ray used in dentistry is

harmful and they answered yes, 47.5 % answered no, and 11.1 % had no idea. Regarding the radiation dose adjustment with respect to the age of the patient, 72 % of the participants answered yes, 6.1 % answered no, and 21.8 % had no idea. The majority of the participants (74.3 %) known that x-ray imaging could cause cancer, 11.7 % did not believe that and 14.1 % had no idea. There were 64.1 % of the participants answered yes when asked if the x-ray imaging can cause fertility problems, 23.3 % answered no, and 23.8 % had no idea. A slight majority of the participants (55.2 %) answered no when asked if the dental x-ray imaging is suitable for pregnant women, 12.1 % answered yes, and 21.5 % had no idea.

Questions		Frequency	Percentage
Is there a natural source of ionizing radiation to which we	Yes	402	60.0
are all exposed?	No	228	34.1
	Do not know	39	5.8
Is ionizing radiation dangerous to your health?	Yes	542	81.0
	No	75	11.2
	Do not know	52	7.8
Would preventing or minimizing ionizing radiation	Yes	491	73.4
exposure improve a person's health?	No	81	12.1
	Do not know	97	14.5
Is it necessary to adjust the dose of the radiation with	Yes	482	72.0
respect to the age of the patient?	No	41	6.1
	Do not know	146	21.8
Do you think that X-ray imaging can cause cancer?	Yes	497	74.3
	No	78	11.7
	Do not know	94	14.1
Do you think that X-ray imaging can cause fertility	Yes	429	64.1
problems?	No	81	12.1
	Do not know	159	23.8
Do you perceive that X-ray in dentistry is harmful?	Yes	277	41.4
	No	318	47.5
	Do not know	74	11.1
Do you think that dental X-ray imaging is suitable for	Yes	156	23.3
pregnant women?	No	369	55.2
	Do not know	144	21.5

Table 2: Distribution of the (yes-no- do not know) answers	Table 2:	Distribution	of the (ves-no-	- do not know	) answers
------------------------------------------------------------	----------	--------------	-----------------	---------------	-----------

Distribution of multiple-choices answers of the survey are summarized in Table 3. Many of participants (30 %) known that CT used ionizing radiation, and 39.6% had no idea. Most of the participants (71.3%) believed that Ultrasonography could be used safely for pregnant women, only 1.2% believed that MRI was safe

during pregnancy, and 18.4% of them had no idea. The percentage of participants who believed that thyroid is the highly sensitive organ to radiation was 33.8%, and 36.6% of them had no idea. There were 38.7 % of the participants who answered that CT scan is associated with a greater dose of radiation, percentage of chest x ray

and skull x ray were 29.4% and 25.6% respectively, and 6.3% of them had no idea.

Ouestions		Frequency	Percentage
Which of the following modalities uses ionizing radiation?	Ultrasound	93	13.9
	MRI	110	16.4
	СТ	201	30.0
	No idea	265	39.6
In your opinion, which of the following procedure is	CT scan	259	38.7
associated with a greater dose of radiation?	Chest X-ray	197	29.4
	Skull X-ray	171	25.6
	No idea	42	6.3
which of the following imaging modalities are safe for	Ultrasound	477	71.3
pregnant women?	СТ	61	9.1
	MRI	8	1.2
	No idea	123	18.4
Which human body organs highly sensitive to radiation?	Gonads	126	18.8
	Kidney	72	10.8
	Thyroid	226	33.8
	No idea	245	36.6

Table 3: Distribution of the multiple-choice answers

Table 4 illustrate a comparison of total knowledge score over different socio-demographic data, there is a no significant difference in the level of knowledge between different age groups (p=.058) and

gender (p=.179), However, there is a significant difference in the level of knowledge among different educational levels groups and between who work or study in the medical field or not (p=.000).

 Table 4: Comparison of total knowledge score over different socio-demographic data

Socio-demographic characteristics		Ν	Mean	S.D	<b>P-Value</b>
Age in years	18-25	201	.5817	.18180	.058
	26-45	307	.5964	.17180	
	46-65	117	.5833	.18794	
	66-90	44	.5189	.15129	
Gender	Male	240	.5969	.182	.179
	Female	429	.5777	.173	
Education	no school degree	76	.01959	.17078	.000
	primary school	49	.02591	.18136	
	middle school	81	.02140	.19262	
	high school	140	.01412	.16703	
	university graduate	275	.00966	.16021	
	higher education	48	.01743	.12074	
Work or study in the medical field	Yes	298	.636	.174	.000
	No	371	.542	.168	

\*p-value significance at the level of <0.05.

## DISCUSSION

This study was the first study which carried out in a sample of Yemeni population to assess the knowledge of population about the ionizing radiation and its' harmful effects. This study was conducted in participants who were attended at radiology department of Al- Thawra and Al-Jumhurii hospitals which are the biggest teaching hospitals in sana a' city of republic of Yemen.

Questionnaires was filled by individually interviewing each of the participants of sample population because they are attended from different social backgrounds including some with minimum levels of education who needed help in understanding the questionnaire.

The higher number of female participants (64%) in this study is supported by the fact that generally majority of the participants attending for service in these hospitals are females. The age group 26-45 years had the highest number of participants (45%). About 41% of study population have a university graduate because the hospitals of study are teaching hospitals, and there are many participants who work in the medical field (44.5%), and 55.5% who are not.

In the present study the mean knowledge score was  $7.0149 \pm 2.12550$ , which indicate good level of knowledge between study participants. The level of participants' knowledge toward ionizing radiation was evaluated by another studies, Almatared *et al.*, [12] showed that level of knowledge of 375 patients was low in Nijran city, Saudi Arabia. Another study in Bahrain showed that the mean score for the knowledge section was below average [13].

There is a no significant difference in the level of knowledge between different age groups and gender, However, there is a significant difference in the level of knowledge among different educational levels groups and between who work or study in the medical field or not. These finding were in contrast to Alshammari study [13] who described a significant difference in the level of knowledge between different age groups, and no significant difference in the level of knowledge among different educational levels. This difference may be related to small sample size of the other study which decrease the reliability of their results.

Approximately 60 % of the participants had good knowing about natural background radiation, and 81% of them believe that the ionizing radiation is harmful to the health, and minimizing the dose will improve the health (73.45%). Moreover, 72% of the population believe that the dose of radiation should be adjusted according to the age of the patient. Knowledge about natural background radiation may be related to social media and its positive effects on the education of the general population.

With regard to adverse effects of radiation 74% of participants think the x-ray can cause cancer, this percentage is higher than other studies by Aldossari [14] and Asefa [15], in which 20.8% and 64% respectively indicated that the cancer could be a consequence of radiation imaging.

Regarding the x- ray used in dentistry, about 47.5% of population answer it is not harmful, this is related to the distribution of the wrong idea between the population which is, the dose of x- ray used in dentistry is low, and not harmful. Moreover, this concept was affected on the answer of most of them (55.2%), that the dental x-ray is suitable and not harmful for pregnant women.

Most of participants 39.6% had no idea about which modality using ionizing radiation, 30% of them answer that the CT is the imaging modality which using ionizing radiation, and 13.9% of the participants realized that the US use ionizing radiation, while, 16.4% of the patients believed MRI use ionizing radiation. It was a about 70% over 669 participants did not know that ionizing radiation is used in CT and US and MRI used non-ionizing radiation. These results are nearly similar to results found by Aldossari study [14] which found 19.0% of the participants realized that the US use ionizing radiation, 18.0% of the them believed MRI use ionizing radiation, while 31.8% of them had no idea about which modality use ionizing radiation. Furthermore, 38.7% of participants know that the CT is associated with a greater dose of radiation, and this result is similar to another study carried out in Saudi Arabia [17].

Most of the participants (71.3 %) had knowledge about safely of US used for pregnant women. In contrast to Asefa study [15] in which 90.2% of participants had no knowledge about which modality could be used safely for pregnant women, followed by Düzeyleri study in Turkey (73.2%) [16], and then Aldossari study [14] (44.5%). This shows that our patients more aware of this issue, and that might be due to most of the women follow up by the US during the pregnancy. Very few (1.2%) participants answered that MRI safe for pregnant women because it is used only in specific situation not related to pregnancy.

Regarding the sensitivity of organs to ionizing radiation, 36.6 % of population have no idea which organ is more sensitive to radiation, 33.8% of them answered that the thyroid is highly sensitive organ of the body to radiation, and only 18.8% of them answered that the gonads is the highly sensitive organ to radiation.

## **CONCLUSION**

The study indicates a good level of knowledge between a sample of Yemeni population, and to elevated their knowledge to a higher level, the government, ministry of health, and hospitals have a responsibility to educate the population and increase their level of knowledge about ionizing radiation and its harmful effects.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Praveen, B. N., Shubhasini, A. R., Bhanushree, R., Sumsum, P. S., & Sushma, C. N. (2013). Radiation in dental practice: awareness, protection and recommendations. *The journal of contemporary dental practice*, *14*, 143-148.
- Alcocer, G., Alcocer, P., & Marquez, C. (2024). Burns by Ionizing and nonionizing radiation. *Journal of Burn Care & Research*, 45(6), 1464-1472.
- 3. Zamanian, A., & Hardiman, C. J. H. F. E. (2005). Electromagnetic radiation and human health: A review of sources and effects. *High Frequency Electronics*, 4(3), 16-26.
- 4. Ward, J. F. (1988). DNA damage produced by ionizing radiation in mammalian cells: identities, mechanisms of formation, and reparability. *Progress in nucleic acid research and molecular biology*, *35*, 95-125.
- 5. Asiri, A. A. M. (2023). Increasing awareness of radiation hazard and radiation protection among medical staff. *Acta Scientiarum. Health Sciences*, 45.

- 6. Korkut, T., Gencel, O., Kam, E., & Brostow, W. (2013). X-ray, gamma, and neutron radiation tests on epoxy-ferrochromium slag composites by experiments and Monte Carlo simulations. *International journal of polymer analysis and characterization*, *18*(3), 224-231.
- 7. Arnout, E. A., & Jafar, A. (2014). Awareness of biological hazards and radiation protection techniques of dental imaging-a questionnaire based cross-sectional study among Saudi dental students. *J Dent Health Oral Disord Ther*, *1*(1), 1-7.
- Khamtuikrua, C., & Suksompong, S. (2020). Awareness about radiation hazards and knowledge about radiation protection among healthcare personnel: a quaternary care academic center–based study. SAGE Open Medicine, 8, 2050312120901733.
- Swapna, L., Koppolu, P., Takarji, B., Al-Maweri, S., Velpula, N., Chappidi, V., & Ch, L. (2017). Knowledge on radiation protection & practice among dental students. *British journal of medicine and medical research*, 19(7), 1-7.
- Rebecca, S. B., Jafi, L. & Ralph, M. (2009) Radiation Dose Associated with Common Computed Tomography Examinations and the Associated Lifetime Attributable Risk of Cancer. *Archives of Internal medicine*, 169(22).
- 11. Feng, C. J., Lai, Y. C., Lee, S. H., Lien, K. Y., Tseng, C. Y., Wu, N. S., ... & Hsu, S. M. (2022). The Analysis with Quantitative Indexes for Public's Awareness of Radiation Knowledge in Taiwan. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 19(20), 13422.
- 12. Almatared, M. G., Almansour, A. M., Alquidaihi, A. E., Agbaje, H. O., & Almutared, A. S. (2017).

Knowledge and Attitude towards Ionizing Radiation among Patients Attending the King Khalid Hospital Najran, Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Biosciences and Medicines*, 5(10), 75-85.

- Alshammari, K. H., Alramadan, L. E., Mohamed, J., Ali, H., Farraj, M. J., Abdulaziz Alanazi, K., ... & Almurbati, A. (2019). Knowledge and perception of patients towards the hazards and dose of diagnostic radiation in Bahrain. *Age*, *18*(25), 207.
- Aldossari, H., Ahmed, N., & AlShammari, A. (2019). Evaluation of awareness on radiation protection and knowledge about ionizing radiation among patients awaiting radiological examinations: a cross-sectional survey. *Austin J Radiol*, 6(3), 1100-1104.
- 15. Asefa, G., Getnet, W., & Tewelde, T. (2016). Knowledge about radiation related health hazards and protective measures among patients waiting for radiologic imaging in Jimma University Hospital, Southwest Ethiopia. *Ethiopian journal of health sciences*, 26(3), 227-236.
- 16. Yücel, A., Karakaş, E., Bülbül, E., Koçar, İ., Duman, B., & Onur, A. (2009). Knowledge about ionizing radiation and radiation protection among patients awaiting radiological examinations: A cross-sectional survey.
- Bahakeem, B., Binafeef, R., Alammar, R., Aljadaibi, A., Alshammari, A., Alshammari, F., ... & Alzahrani, K. (2024). Knowledge, Attitude, and Perception Regarding Radiation Hazards and Protection among Saudi Arabia's General Population. Archives of Pharmacy Practice, 15(1-2024), 1-9.