OPEN ACCESS
Saudi Journal of Oral and Dental Research
Abbreviated Key Title: Saudi J Oral Dent Res
ISSN 2518-1300 (Print) |ISSN 2518-1297 (Online)
Scholars Middle East Publishers, Dubai, United Arab Emirates
Journal homepage: http://scholarsmepub.com/sjodr/

Original Research Article

Evaluation of Casting Accuracy by Axial Coverage Method of the Die Spacer 1 mm Short from the Finish Line – An in Vitro Study

Dr. Prashant Jadhav, MDS[1], Dr. Manish Kumar, MDS[2*], Dr. Sayali Korde, MDS[3], Dr. Nilima Kadam, MDS[4]
[1]Reader, Department of Prosthodontics including Crown & Bridge, Sinhgad Dental College & Hospital, Pune, India
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Dentistry, Government Medical College & Hospital, Ratlam, MadhyaPradesh, India
[3]Senior Lecturer, Department of Prosthodontics including Crown & Bridge, Sinhgad Dental College & Hospital, Pune, India
[4]Senior Lecturer, Department of Periodontics, Tatyasaheb Kore Dental College & Hospital, Kolhapur

***Corresponding author:** Dr. Manish Kumar
| **Received:** 28.05.2019 | **Accepted:** 09.06.2019 | **Published:** 26.06.2019
DOI:10.21276/sjodr.2019.4.6.9

Abstract

In order to achieve success in the fabrication of fixed prostheses, an appropriate marginal fit is mandatory. Despite the technological advancements in terms of the improvement of casting techniques, die fabrication, waxing pattern and coping fabrication, a discrepancy remains between the restoration's margin and the cervical edge of the prepared tooth. The purpose of the study was to evaluate the casting accuracy by axial coverage of the die spacer 1.00 mm short from the finish line. Efforts were made to select and utilize standard method. Increased casting accuracy with die spacer application short by 1.00 mm from finish line could be due to the reason that unpainted area will ensure an area of close adaptation at the margin. Irrespective of the method of the die spacer application, all the castings showed deficiency in the marginal fit. application of die spacer 1.00 mm short from finish line on the die is beneficial to reduce the discrepancy in marginal fit and also to reduce the exposure of the cement at the margins.

Keywords: Die spacer, Finish line, Casting accuracy, Marginal fit.

INTRODUCTION

In order to achieve success in the fabrication of fixed prostheses, an appropriate marginal fit is mandatory [1]. The margin is one of the components of the cast restoration most susceptible to failure, both biologically and mechanically [2]. Obtaining a good marginal seal is one of the most important factor in determining the long term success of cast restorations. The incomplete fit of full cast crown restorations remains a critical problem for dentists, leading a scope for many researchers to study this problem [3]. Extensive efforts have been made and new techniques evolved to produce well fitting cast restorations for the purpose of reducing the film thickness and to minimise the cement line exposed to oral fluids. The technique of paint-on die spacers is used so that a uniform and specific amount of space exist between the tooth and the casting so as to facilitate escape of cement thus decrease the hydrodynamic resistance to seating. The advantage of this method is that, it is simple, time saving and inexpensive.

The standard procedure of die spacer application is that the axial walls of the die are coated within 1.00 mm of the finish line to ensure a close adaptation of casting to the tooth thereby reducing dissolution of cementing medium at the margins.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This prospective, in vitro study was conducted in Department of Prosthodontics and Department of Mechanical Engineering. The purpose of the study was to evaluate the casting accuracy by axial coverage of the die spacer 1.00 mm short from the finish line. Efforts were made to select and utilize standard method.

Sharpness of the margin is considered to be the characteristic of dental castings most dependent on the castability of the alloy used.

The materials and methods used in this study have been described under the following subheadings:

- Fabrication of the master die.
- Preparation of the stone dies.
- Die spacer application.
- Preparation of wax pattern.
- Fabrication of test casting.
- Evaluation of margin of test crowns.

Fabrication of the Master Die

A test was designed to examine the sharpness of the margin of a test crown. The design selected for a test casting represents a full crown for fused porcelain. A stainless steel die in cylindrical form was machined at the Workshop, Department of Mechanical Engineering and a convergence angle of 10^0 was given to the die. A height of 12.00 mm and a diameter of 10.00 mm were given to the die. A finish line with an angle of 30^0 and 1.00 mm width was produced.

Preparation of Stone Dies

Impressions of the master die were made using elastomeric impression material (Aquasil Ultra LV, DENTSPLY Caulk, USA) in a cylindrical metal tray. The impressions were then poured in die stone (ultrarock, KALABHAI DENTAL PRIVATE LTD.), according to manufacturer's instructions. A total of 10 such dies were poured. The dies were divided into Group I consisting of 5 dies in group. The dies in group I was numbered as 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.

Die Spacer Application

The dies were allowed to dry before die spacer application for 24 hours at room temperature. The dies were coated with Tru-fit die spacer (George Taub Products, NJ) available as silver and gold coloured suspensions, the application being carried out by alternating silver and gold spacer coating as per manufacturer's instructions. Four layers of die spacer were applied in alternative layers of silver and gold since the recommended thickness of die spacer ranges from 20 µm to 40 µm. Care was taken not to clog the orientation groove.

In Group I, for all the five dies the walls of the preparation were coated 1.00 mm short of finish line.

Preparation of the Wax Pattern

The stone dies were sprayed with a die lubricant (S. K. Industries). The excess lubricant was removed by blowing with an air syringe. Wax patterns were prepared by dipping technique with dipping wax (YETI DENTAL). Vacuum formed sheet of 1mm thickness was adapted over the stone die using pressure moulding machine (Biostar). Then over to the vacuum formed sheet silicon putty (Aquasil putty, DENTSPLY Caulk, USA) was adapted to obtain silicon putty mould. A silicon putty mould was used to ensure uniformity of the wax patterns.

All the 5patterns (five from Group I) were immediately sprued and then carefully mounted to the crucible former. It was then coated with a surface tension reducing agent (Debubblizer; Prime Dental Products Pvt. Ltd).

Fabrication of the Test Casting

A single layer of ceramic liner 1mm thick (Keraviles-Dentaurum) was adapted to the casting ring and moistened by dipping in a bowl of water. Bellasun (Bego) was mixed as per manufacturer's recommendation. The investment was vacuum mixed in vacuum mixing machine (Cuumyx Labo-14; Confident, India) for 60 seconds and then used to invest the patterns. The investment was allowed to bench set for one hour before doing burnout.

The casting ring was placed in a burnout furnace (Ambassador R; Unident, Instruments (India) Pvt. Ltd.) at room temperature and the temperature was raised to 250^0 C and held for 60 minutes. Thereafter the temperature was raised to 950^0 C and held for 30 minutes.

After completion of the burnout, the casting procedure was carried out in an induction casting machine (Fornax; Bego) using MEAlloy (Nickel-Chromium Alloy; Dentsply, Caulk).

The casting ring was bench cooled to room temperature. The castings were retrieved manually and airborne-particle abraded (Santer Labo-16; Confident) with 50 mm aluminium oxide abrasive under 2-bar pressure for 5 seconds to remove residual investment.

The marginal fit was checked under magna light (Flexible Arm Illuminated Magnifier; Lensel Optics Pvt. Ltd. Pune, India).

Evaluation of Margin of Test Crowns

Sharpness of the margin can be considered to be the most dependent character of a dental casting.

The margins of test casting of Group I (5 test crowns numbered 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5) were examined by an indirect method using an impression. The casting was positioned centrally in a ring filled with impression material (Aquasil Ultra LV, DENTSPLY Caulk, USA).

Cast specimens were evaluated for marginal rounding using a methodology proposed by Brockhurst *et al*., and Chan *et al.*, The method is based on an indirect technique using a dental impression material to record the crown margin configurations. Cast specimens were fixed to the vertical shaft of a dental surveyor (Unident, Instruments (India) Pvt. Ltd.), with the help of sprue and their margins were lowered into a polyvinyl chloride (PVC) ring filled with a light-body condensation silicone impression material (Aquasil ULtra LV; DENTSPLY Caulk, USA). After material polymerization, patterns and cast specimens were removed from the PVC ring. Each silicone impression was then cut into 4 equal-size segments using a razor blade (Vidyut; Super-Max) and cutting guides located in the PVC ring (Finolex). The silicone sections were positioned over a glass slab. The superior face for each section was marked with a permanent marker pen (CD Marker; Camlin Limited, Mumbai, India). Each impression section had 2 faces, but only the marked

face was measured. Impressions of all the ten castings were precisely cut and labelled.

The quality of the edge of the casting was expressed in terms of deficiency (d) between the edge of the casting obtained and the theoretical sharp edge.

The discrepancy of the margin on each segment was examined by using a profile projector V-12 (Nikon) using the profile, the radius of the margin was measured for each specimen. The readings were tabulated. The deficiency (d) was then calculated by using the formula;

Deficiency (d) = 2.70 x R (radius).

From each casting, four measurements were obtained. So twenty measurements from Group I.

RESULTS

The study was carried out to evaluate the casting accuracy by axial coverage of the die spacer 1.00 mm short from the finish line. Test castings obtained by incomplete axial die spacer coverage were evaluated by an indirect method by making impression of the castings. The impressions were sectioned and discrepancy of the margin on each segment was measured with the help of a profile projector.

Experimental values of deficiencies like average, coefficient of variation and 95% confidence level were found in the groups. Table I shows the values of deficiency measured in 20 different segments with die spacer application short by 1.00 mm from finish line.

Table-2 shows the level of radius on margin of test castings by1.00 mm short from the finish line methods of die spacer application.

Table-3 shows the range, mean, coefficient of variation and upper 95% confidence level of deficiency for 1.00 mm short from the finish line methods of die spacer application.

Table-1: Die Spacer Application Short By 1.00 Mm from Finish Line (Group-I)

SAMPLE NO.	DIAMETER (mm)	RADIUS (R)(mm)	DEFECIENCY (D = 2.7 X R) (mm)	DEFECIENCY (µm)
1-A	0.021	0.0105	0.02835	28
1-B	0.026	0.0130	0.03510	35
1-C	0.027	0.0135	0.03645	36
1-D	0.025	0.0125	0.03375	34
2-A	0.020	0.0100	0.02700	27
2-B	0.018	0.0090	0.02430	24
2-C	0.019	0.0095	0.02565	26
3-D	0.021	0.0105	0.02835	28
3-A	0.022	0.0110	0.02970	30
3-B	0.020	0.0100	0.02700	27
3-C	0.018	0.0090	0.02430	24
3-D	0.025	0.0125	0.03375	34
4-A	0.022	0.0110	0.02970	30
4-B	0.020	0.0100	0.02700	27
4-C	0.018	0.0090	0.02430	24
4-D	0.022	0.0110	0.02970	30
5-A	0.020	0.0100	0.02700	27
5-B	0.015	0.0075	0.02025	20
5-C	0.016	0.0080	0.02160	22
5-D	0.018	0.0090	0.02430	24

Table-2: Measurement of Radius on Margin of Test Castings

SPACER APPLICATION	n (SAMPLE SIZE)	RADIUS (mm)		
		RANGE	MEAN	S.D.
GROUP I (1mm SHORT)	20	0.0075-0.0135	0.01054	0.00164

Table-3: Experimental Values of Defeciencies of Castings

SPACER APPLICATION	RANGE (µm)	MEAN (µm)	CO-EFFICIENT OF VARIATION (%)	95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL
GROUP I (1mm SHORT)	20-36	27.85	15.79892	29.39802

DISCUSSION

Dental casting, because they are produced by many combinations of technique, materials and technical skill, can exhibit great variation in excellence and serviceability. The lost wax casting process is widely used as it offers asymmetrical casting with very fine details to be manufactured relatively inexpensively. Lost wax technique was made available to dentistry by William H. Taggart in 1907. This process has been marked as one of the greatest steps achieved by the dental profession. Marginal adaptation between a tooth and a fixed prosthesis is of vital importance to increase the longevity of the restoration by reduction of exposure of cement at the margins and prevention of caries. The most common and effectively used method for internal relief is by using paint-on die spacers. [4]. The technique of paint-on die spacers is used so that a uniform and specific amount of space between the tooth and the casting allows the cement to escape and decrease the hydrodynamic resistance to seating. The advantage of this method is that it is simple, time saving and inexpensive. Eames et al [5]. found that cemented full crowns relieved with die spacers were 25 % more retentive than unrelieved cemented full crowns. A clinically accepted relief is considered to be 20 to 40 µm. [6]. The standard procedure of die spacer application is that the walls of preparation are coated 0.5 to 1.00 mm short of finish line. Because there should be close adaptation of casting to the tooth to reduce dissolution of cementing medium at the margins.

Increased casting accuracy with die spacer application short by 1.00 mm from finish line could be due to the reason that unpainted area will ensure an area of close adaptation at the margin. This area plays a significant role in better seating leading to improved marginal adaptation. It will also give a greater marginal fit thereby reducing the film thickness of luting agent at the margins. Theoretically, the application of die relief decreases discrepancy in restoration to tooth fit significantly and the marginal area of the casting are more accurately related. This plays a greater role in better seating of the casting. This is in concurrence with the views of Stephen.F.Rosentiel and Passon C *et al*., [7].

A factor which might have lead to increased casting accuracy with incomplete axial die spacer application could be due to difference in thickness of metal in the marginal area. Incomplete axial die spacer application will have an increased metal thickness in the marginal area due to absence of die spacer in that region. It is seen that the amount of distortion or warpage while cooling in the casting procedure are more in thin metal section than compared to thick metal section. Comparatively cooling occurs at slower rate in thicker section than compared to thin metal sections. It is seen that the amount of warpage that takes place is governed mainly by the overall casting length and differences in metal thickness [8]. Therefore, the warpage of castings of similar length may vary with the thickness of metal. This might have given an increased casting accuracy for incomplete die spacer application.

From this study, it is clear that the die spacer application short by 1.00 mm from finish line gives better marginal adaptation to castings. The enhancement of marginal fit with incomplete axial die spacer coverage by providing an area of close adaptation near the marginal area, thereby improving the marginal seal and thus reducing the dissolution of cementing media is of great clinical significance.

CONCLUSION

From the results obtained in this study, the following conclusions can be drawn;

- Irrespective of the method of the die spacer application, all the castings showed deficiency in the marginal fit.
- The die spacer application short of 1.00 mm has showed less amount of deficiency.

Hence, application of die spacer 1.00 mm short from finish line on the die is beneficial to reduce the discrepancy in marginal fit and also to reduce the exposure of the cement at the margins.

REFERENCES

1. Soriani, N. C., Leal, M. B., Paulino, S. M., Pagnano, V. O., & Bezzon, O. L. (2007). Effect of the use of die spacer on the marginal fit of copings cast in NiCr, NiCrBe and commercially pure titanium. *Brazilian dental journal*, *18*(3), 225-230.
2. Gardner, F. M. (1982). Margins of complete crowns—literature review. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry*, *48*(4), 396-400.
3. Olivera, A. B., & Saito, T. (2006). The effect of die spacer on retention and fitting of complete cast crowns. *Journal of Prosthodontics*, *15*(4), 243-249.
4. Grajower, R., Zuberi, Y., & Lewinstein, I. (1989). Improving the fit of crowns with die spacers. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry*, *61*(5), 555-563.
5. Eames, W. B., O'Neal, S. J., Monteiro, J., Miller, C., Roan, J. D., & Cohen, K. S. (1978). Techniques to improve the seating of castings. *The Journal of the American Dental Association*, *96*(3), 432-437.
6. Pilo, R., Cardash, H. S., Baharav, H., & Helft, M. (1988). Incomplete seating of cemented crowns: a literature review. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*, *59*(4), 429-433.
7. Passon, C., Lambert, R. H., Lambert, R. L., & Newman, S. (1992). The effect of multiple layers of die-spacer on crown retention. *Operative dentistry*, *17*(2), 42-49.
8. Campagni, W. V., Preston, J. D., & Reisbick, M. H. (1982). Measurement of paint-on die spacers used for casting relief. *The Journal of prosthetic dentistry*, *47*(6), 606-611.