∂ OPEN ACCESS

Saudi Journal of Medicine

Abbreviated Key Title: Saudi J Med ISSN 2518-3389 (Print) | ISSN 2518-3397 (Online) Scholars Middle East Publishers, Dubai, United Arab Emirates Journal homepage: <u>https://saudijournals.com</u>

Original Research Article

A Study on Case Fatality of COVID-19 Patients with Ischemic Heart Disease in Selected Hospitals in Dhaka City

Dr. S. M. Aminul Islam^{1*}, Dr. Khandaker Md Safiul Kabir², Dr. Md. Shahnur Islam³

¹Medical Officer, Department of Respiratory Medicine, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU), Dhaka, Bangladesh

²Medical officer, Department of Cardiology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU), Dhaka, Bangladesh

³Consultant, Internal Medicine, Nurjahan Foundation Health Access Initiative Project, Sylhet, Bangladesh

DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.36348/sjm.2024.v09i09.006</u> | **Received:** 02.08.2024 | **Accepted:** 09.09.2024 | **Published:** 16.09.2024

*Corresponding Author: Dr. S. M. Aminul Islam

Medical Officer, Department of Respiratory Medicine, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU), Dhaka, Bangladesh

Abstract

Objective: To identify the significant level of Case fatality of Covid-19 Patients with Ischemic Heart Disease in selected hospitals in Dhaka city. Method: This cross sectional study was carried out at NICVD/ Lab aid cardiac hospital/ Universal cardiac hospital from January 2023 to February 2023. Where a total of 384 adults (>18 ages) with Ischemic Heart Disease who admitted in hospital and tested positive for Covid-19 were included as a sample population. After collecting data from sample population, data was checked and re-checked for completeness and correctness. The Collected data was analyze via statistical Software's like STATA, SPSS etc. Results: during the study, majority were belonging to 51-60 years age group, 32.81%. followed by 27.60% were belong to 41-50 years age group and 23.18% belong to >61 years age group and majority were male, 80%.42.71% had normal weight whereas 28.13% were overweight & 24.74% were obese. 80.47% had chest pain, followed by 13.28% had dyspnea, 12.50% had cough, 10.68% had palpitation, 4.17% had vomiting. where 46.61% had STEMI, followed by 17.19% had NSTEMI, 19.27% had unstable angina, 25.78% had systemic hypertension, 21.88% had diabetes mellites, 6.77% had Heart valve disease, 4.43% had Arrhythmia. Plus, majority didn't get their vaccination, 89.60%.49.48% had abnormal level of anxiety status whereas 55.73% had abnormal level of depression. Multivariable logistic regression found residence, age, profession, and income to be statistically significantly associated with anxiety after controlling for the other factors found to be significant at the univariate level. Plus, Chronic disease, STEMI, NSTEMI to be statistically significantly associated with anxiety after controlling for the other factors found to be significant at the univariate level. Cardiovascular Drug Therapy at Hospitalization of Covid-19 shows Medical therapy included ACE inhibitors (22.1% of the patients), ARBs (20.57%). mean length of hospital stay was 10.7±2.7 days, with an overall in-hospital mortality of 5.8% (515 of 8910 patients) in this population of patients with completed outcomes. Conclusion: Our findings indicate that health care providers, particularly cardiologists and nurses, should take extra care to detect and evaluate all heart disease patients for level of anxiety and depression in a clinical setting. There is a need to develop a quick screening approach in hospitals dealing with cardiovascular inpatients to identify those needing extra evaluation and care.

Keywords: Case fatality, Covid-19 Patients, Ischemic Heart Disease.

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) caused by the novel severe acute respiratory syndrome corionavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) is a major threat to global health including Bangladesh. Since, its recognition in Wuhan, China in December 2019, COVID-19, has rapidly spread throughout the world and become a pandemic [1]. It has been documented more than 80% of affected people remain asymptomatic and can be treated symptomatically at home isolation, with recommended mandatory uses of Mask, safe distancing of about 6 feet and 20 seconds hand washing before or after touching any surfaces which might have contaminated with corona virus. Only, 20% of Covid-19 positive patient may need hospitalization and 3%-4% may need ICU care with or without ventilation support. High flow Nasal cannula has proven effective alternative to ventilation. Bangladesh, a densely populated country over 17 crore people. Many are living below standard of basic needs and lives on daily income. Within the available resources, and very low number COVID19 test, already it is difficult to assess the exact scenario of number of affected people, disease spread, and death from it. Preparedness to dealt it at very early stage and effective home or institutional isolation, lack of concerned institutional common consensus in preventing the spread and treating facilities with high flow nasal cannula (HFNC) and ICU back up with trained manpower, put us vulnerable to Covid19 infection, with subsequent lifethreatening complications and possible long duration. It has been well documented that in the west, old age people, male sex, with multiple comorbid are in great risk with highest mortality in this subset of patient. Among those, who had COVID-19 diagnosis by RT-PCR, more than 14 million peoples infected by COVID-19 and more than 6.2 lac died.

Many remain undiagnosed and died at home with the symptoms of corona. Currently, there is no evidence from randomized clinical trials (RCTs) that any potential therapy improves outcomes in patients with either suspected or confirmed COVID-19. There is no clinical trial data supporting any prophylactic therapy as well [2]. In Bangladesh, the number of acute cardiovascular condition dramatically fall in most of the hospitals. The infection caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2, COVID-19, can result in myocardial injury, heart failure and arrhythmias. Therapies for COVID-19 has many adverse cardiac effects. In Wuhan, two recent papers found higher troponin level in 27.8% and 19.7% of patients, and were older age, had higher rates of comorbid conditions including hypertension, coronary artery disease, history of cardiomyopathy, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [3, 4]. ACC/AHA/ESC published guidelines in treating ST segment Elevated Myocardial Infarction (STEMI) patients along with other life-threatening cardiac conditions. For, acute ST elevated myocardial infarction, they recommended initially, pharmacoinvasive therapy. Where, Patient will be thrombolysed and later, to Rescue or elective PCI. Some recommended for Primary PCI if there is Corvid-19 dedicated Cardiac catheterization lab available [5, 6]. In Bangladesh, most of the center has only one Cath lab and it's not possible to treat STEMI patient in this global pandemic, where chances of possible infection to non-COVID-19 patient. Since, like west, we do not have separate block or facilities to treat only COVID-19 patient. Therefore, we started at our center pharmacoinvasive therapy, initially thrombolysis by STK or TNK in grey zone of our CCU, send COVID-19 test, if positive then to treat him conservatively and wait till his COVID-19 become negative, to perform coronary angiogram with or without required intervention or CABG. It has already shown in various research on modifiable risk factors that potentially increased susceptibility to infection or worst outcomes among patient with cardiac

disease, CKD, Diabetes Mellitus [7, 8]. It has been well established that the SARS-Cov-2, a single stranded RNA-enveloped virus, targets cell through the Spike protein of COVID-19 binds ACE2 receptors in Lung Alveoli and then enter into cell, where replicate to several thousand virus [9]. Also, through its binding affinity with ACE2 receptor, COVID-19 binds heart, kidney, and vascular system. Several has hypothesized, that Angiotensin Converting Enzymes inhibitor (ACEIs)/ angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) may make patients more susceptible to COVID-19 and to worse outcomes through upregulation of the functional receptor of the virus ACE2 [10-12]. Therefore, whether to discontinue or add the drugs, several has emphasized that the available data do not support discontinuation or addition of ACEI/ ARB [13, 14]. In a high-risk population of older patients with cardiovascular disease, randomization to ramipril had no impact on the incidence or severity of COVID-19 and support the maintenance of RAAS inhibitor treatment during COVID-19 crisis [15]. These viral lifecycle steps provide potential targets for drug therapy from binding to spike proteins to cell entry, synthesizes RNA via RNA dependent RNA polymerase and finally release of viral particles [16]. At present, absence of proven therapy for SARS-CoV-2, the cornerstone of care for patient COVID-19 remains supportive care, ranging from symptomatic OPD management to full intensive care support. However, 3 adjunctive therapies that warrant special mention are corticosteroids, anticytokine or immunomodulatory agent, and immunoglobulin therapy. The rationale for the use of corticosteroids is to decrease the host inflammatory response in the lungs, which may lead to acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [17, 18].

OBJECTIVE

• To identify the significant level of Case fatality of Covid-19 Patients with Ischemic Heart Disease in selected hospitals in Dhaka city.

METHODOLOGY

Study Design: The method of the study was Descriptive cross-sectional study.

Study Site & Area: NICVD/ Lab aid cardiac hospital/ Universal cardiac hospital was the site of data collection.

Sample Population: All adults (>18 ages) with Ischemic Heart Disease who admitted in hospital and tested positive for Covid- 19.

Study Period: Data was collect from January 2023 to February 2023

Sample Size: Since it is a cross-sectional study, we used the following formula.

$$n = \frac{Z\alpha^{2} p (1-P)}{d^{2}}$$

$$(1.96)^{2} (0.5) (1-0.5)$$

$$(0.05)^2$$
 n = 384

Where, n = Desired sample size

 $Z\alpha$ = Level of statistical significance (1.96) q = 1-p = (0.50)

P = Proportion of case fatality rate (0.50) d = Marginal error (0.05)

Inclusion Criteria:

Following criteria will be followed to include in the study.

- Bangladeshi citizens only.
- Adults, (Age >18 years).
- Those who tested positive for Covid-19.
- Those with admission diagnosis of Angina, Pneumonia, Cough and Difficulty breathing.

Exclusion criteria:

- Children, (Age <18 years).
- Pregnant women.
- Unwillingness to participate in the research project.

Sampling Technique: Convenient sampling technique used to collect the data.

Data Collection Tools: Secondary data collection

method used here to collect data. Data will collected from selected hospital's patient record files.

Data Management and Analysis Plan:

- After collecting data from sample population, data was checked and re-checked for completeness and correctness.
- The Collected data was analyze via statistical Software's like STATA, SPSS etc.
- Then data was double-checked for accuracy.
- Descriptive statistics were performed for sociodemographic variables.
- The proper statistical analysis, such as frequency distribution and chi square analysis, will be performed in order to correlate variables with the research's objective.

RESULTS

Table-1 shows Age distribution of the patients where majority were belonging to 51-60 years age group, 32.81%. followed by 27.60% were belong to 41-50 years age group and 23.18% belong to >61 years age group.

| abic 1. Age distributi | on or u | ic patient |
|------------------------|---------|------------|
| Age group | Ν | % |
| Up to 40 years | 63 | 16.41 |
| 41–50 years | 106 | 27.60 |
| 51–60 years | 126 | 32.81 |
| 61 to above years | 89 | 23.18 |

 Table 1: Age distribution of the patients

Figure-1 shows gender distribution of the patients, where majority were male, 80%.



Figure 1: Gender distribution

Table-2 shows demographic status of the patients. Most participants were married (96.6%), with

27.4% in the business profession. 30% of patients had no income and 36.6% had only education of up to class five.

| Cable 2: Demographic status of the patient | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Religion | Ν | % | | | | |
| Muslim | 350 | 91.15 | | | | |
| Hindu | 31 | 8.07 | | | | |
| Others | 03 | 0.78 | | | | |
| Residence | | | | | | |
| Urban | 159 | 41.41 | | | | |
| Rural | 179 | 46.61 | | | | |
| Semi-rural | 46 | 11.98 | | | | |
| Income | Ν | % | | | | |
| Monthly income | | | | | | |
| 1-<10,000 taka | 73 | 19.01 | | | | |
| 10,000–20,000 taka | 93 | 24.22 | | | | |
| 20,001–50,000 taka | 85 | 22.14 | | | | |
| >50,000 taka | 17 | 4.43 | | | | |
| No income | 116 | 30.21 | | | | |
| Marital status | Ν | % | | | | |
| Married | 371 | 96.61 | | | | |
| Unmarried | 12 | 3.13 | | | | |
| Divorced/widow | 01 | 0.26 | | | | |
| Occupation | Ν | % | | | | |
| Unemployment | 52 | 13.54 | | | | |
| Government job | 11 | 2.86 | | | | |
| Private job | 94 | 24.48 | | | | |
| Businessman | 105 | 27.34 | | | | |
| Farmer | 47 | 12.24 | | | | |
| Retired | 33 | 8.59 | | | | |
| Housewife | 42 | 10.94 | | | | |
| Education | Ν | % | | | | |
| No formal education | 44 | 11.46 | | | | |
| Class 1–5 | 140 | 36.46 | | | | |
| Class 6–10 | 125 | 32.55 | | | | |
| Class 11-12 | 43 | 11.20 | | | | |
| Graduation | 26 | 6.77 | | | | |
| Post-graduation | 06 | 1.56 | | | | |

Figure-2 shows BMI status of the patients where 42.71% had normal weight whereas 28.13% were overweight & 24.74% were obese.



Figure 2: BMI status of the patients

| | Table | e-3 sho | ws syr | nptoms o | f the | patients | where |
|--------|-------|---------|--------|----------|-------|----------|-------|
| 80.47% | had | chest | pain, | followed | i by | 13.28% | had |

dyspnea, 12.50% had cough, 10.68% had palpitation, 4.17% had vomiting.

| Symptoms | Ν | % |
|-------------|-----|-------|
| Chest pain | 309 | 80.47 |
| Dyspnea | 51 | 13.28 |
| Cough | 48 | 12.50 |
| Palpitation | 41 | 10.68 |
| Edema | 15 | 3.91 |
| Orthopnea | 12 | 3.13 |
| Vomiting | 16 | 4.17 |
| Insomnia | 24 | 6.25 |
| No | 46 | 11.98 |

 Table 3: Symptoms of the patients

Table-4 shows clinical diagnosis status of the patients where 46.61% had STEMI, followed by 17.19% had NSTEMI, 19.27% had unstable angina, 25.78% had

systemic hypertension, 21.88% had diabetes mellites, 6.77% had Heart valve disease, 4.43% had Arrhythmia.

| Clinical diagnosis | Ν | % |
|-----------------------|-----|-------|
| STEMI | 179 | 46.61 |
| NSTEMI | 66 | 17.19 |
| Old MI | 71 | 18.49 |
| RMI | 15 | 3.91 |
| Unstable angina | 74 | 19.27 |
| Stable angina | 11 | 2.86 |
| Systemic hypertension | 99 | 25.78 |
| Diabetes mellitus | 84 | 21.88 |
| ALVF | 59 | 15.36 |
| Complete heart block | 15 | 3.91 |
| H/O PCI | 17 | 4.43 |
| H/O CABG | 07 | 1.82 |
| Heart valve disease | 26 | 6.77 |
| Arrhythmia | 17 | 4.43 |

Table 4: Clinical diagnosis status of the patients

Figure-3 shows vaccination status of the patients where majority didn't get their vaccination, 89.60%.



Figure 3: Vaccination status of the patients

Figure-4 shows GIT symptoms of the patients where 69.5% had anorexia followed by 58.9% had

nausea, 28.4% had vomiting, 14.7% had Abdominal pain.



figure 4: GIT symptoms of the patients *multiple responses were noted.



Figure-5 shows urine status of the patients where 94% had urine output hematuria.

Figure 5: Urine status of the patients

Table-5 shows laboratory profile of the patients where on laboratory investigations, we found most of the

patients had elevated levels of C-reactive protein and Ddimer was positive in 99% cases.

| Patient characteristics (reported sample size) | All patients | | |
|--|--------------|--|--|
| | % | | |
| X-ray finding suggestive of pneumonia | | | |
| Absent | 60.95 | | |
| Present | 39.05 | | |
| Creatinine level (mg/dL) | | | |
| Abnormal: >1.2 | 20.54 | | |
| Normal: ≤ 1.2 | 79.46 | | |
| SGPT level (U/L) | | | |
| Abnormal: >40 | 58.54 | | |

| Normal: ≤40 | 41.46 |
|------------------------------------|-------|
| C reactive protein test (mg/L) | |
| Abnormal: ≥6 | 36.89 |
| Normal: <6 | 63.11 |
| D-dimer level (ng/mL) | |
| Abnormal: >500 | 99 |
| Normal: ≤500 | 1 |
| Blood haemoglobin level (g/L) | |
| Abnormal: <100 | 32.18 |
| Normal: ≥100 | 67.82 |
| WBC total count (/µL) | |
| Abnormal: <4000 | 2.53 |
| Normal: ≥4000 and <11 000 | 97.47 |
| Neutrophil: lymphocyte ratio | |
| Abnormal: >3.5 | 29.07 |
| Normal: ≤ 3.5 | 70.93 |
| Monocytes differential count (%) | |
| Abnormal: >8 | 25.58 |
| Normal: 2–8 | 74.42 |
| Eosinophil differential count (%) | |
| Abnormal: >4 | 9.30 |
| Normal: 1–4 | 90.70 |
| Platelet level ($\times 10^9$ /L) | |
| Abnormal: <150 | 9.86 |
| Normal: ≥ 150 | 90.14 |
| High LDH | 25.5% |
| High Ferretin (ng/ml) | 36.7% |

Table-5 shows behavioral status of the patients where 49.48% had abnormal level of anxiety status whereas 55.73% had abnormal level of depression.

| Anxiety level | Ν | % |
|---------------------|-----|-------|
| Normal | 102 | 26.56 |
| Borderline abnormal | 92 | 23.96 |
| Abnormal | 190 | 49.48 |
| Depression level | Ν | % |
| Normal | 119 | 30.99 |
| Borderline abnormal | 214 | 55.73 |
| Abnormal | 51 | 13.28 |
| Normal | 102 | 26.56 |

Table 5: Behavioral status of the patients

Table-6a shows association between anxiety and depression level of patients with different variables where Multivariable logistic regression found residence, age, profession, and income to be statistically significantly associated with anxiety after controlling for the other factors found to be significant at the univariate level.

| Variables | Category | Anxiety | | | | Depression | | | |
|-----------|-------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|-------|-------------|------------------------|------------|--------|
| | | Normal | Borderline abnormal | Abnormal | р | Normal | Borderline abnormal | Abnormal | р |
| Gender | Female | 18 (40.00) | 11 (24.44) | 16 (35.56) | 0.065 | 8 (17.78) | 35 (77.78) | 2 (4.44) | 0.006 |
| | Male | 84 (24.78) | 81 (23.89) | 174 (51.33) | | 111 (32.74) | 179 (52.80) | 49 (14.45) | |
| Age | Up to 40 | 17 (26.98) | 16 (25.40) | 30 (47.62) | 0.207 | 26 (41.27) | 27 (42.86) | 10 (15.87) | 0.000* |
| | 41-50 | 31 (29.25) | 17 (16.04) | 58 (54.72) | | 40 (37.74) | 41 (38.68) | 25 (23.58) | |
| | 51-60 | 27 (21.43) | 33 (26.19) | 66 (52.38) | | 34 (26.98) | 82 (65.08) | 10 (7.94) | |
| | 61 to above | 27 (30.34) | 26 (29.21) | 36 (40.45) | | 19 (21.35) | 64 (71.91) | 6 (6.74) | |
| Religions | Islam | 92 (26.29) | 83 (23.71) | 175 (50.00) | 0.817 | 109 (31.14) | 196 (56.00) | 45 (12.86) | 0.670 |
| | Hinduism | 10 (32.26) | 8 (25.81) | 13 (41.94) | | 10 (32.26) | 16 (51.61) | 5 (16.13) | |

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{O}}$ 2024 | Published by Scholars Middle East Publishers, Dubai, United Arab Emirates

S. M. Aminul Islam et al; Saudi J Med, Sep, 2024; 9(9): 372-381

| | Buddhism | 0 (0.00) | 1 (50.00) | 1 (50.00) | | 0 (0.00) | 1 (50.00) | 1 (50.00) | |
|-------------|--------------|------------|------------|---------------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|------------|--------|
| | Christianity | 0 (0.00) | 0 (0.00) | 1 (100.00) | | 0 (0.00) | 1 (100.00) | 0 (0.00) | |
| BMI | Underweight | 6 (35.29) | 7 (41.18) | 4 (23.53) | 0.008* | 5 (29.41) | 12 (70.59) | 0 (0.00) | 0.145 |
| (kg/m^2) | Normal | 47 (28.66) | 34 (20.73) | 83 (50.61) | | 54 (32.93) | 84 (51.22) | 26 (15.85) | |
| | Overweight | 18 (16.67) | 24 (22.22) | 66 (61.11) | | 25 (23.15) | 69 (63.89) | 14 (12.96) | |
| | Obese | 31 (32.63) | 27 (28.42) | 37 (38.95) | | 35 (36.84) | 49 (51.58) | 11 (11.58) | |
| Residence | Rural | 53 (29.61) | 44 (24.58) | 82 (45.81) | 0.031* | 59 (32.96) | 100 (55.87) | 20 (11.17) | 0.226 |
| | Semi-Urban | 6 (13.04) | 7 (15.22) | 33 (71.74) | | 13 (28.26) | 22 (47.83) | 11 (23.91) | |
| | Urban | 43 (27.04) | 41 (25.79) | 75 (47.17) | | 47 (29.56) | 92 (57.86) | 20 (12.58) | |
| Year of | No formal | 12 (27.27) | 13 (29.55) | 19 (43.18) | 0.064 | 16 (36.36) | 26 (59.09) | 2 (4.55) | 0.002* |
| education | education | . , | | . , | | | . , | . , | |
| | Class 1-5 | 33 (23.57) | 27 (19.29) | 80 (57.14) | | 38 (27.14) | 91 (65.00) | 11 (7.86) | |
| | Class 6-10 | 42 (33.60) | 36 (28.80) | 47 (37.60) | | 37 (29.60) | 67 (53.60) | 21 (16.80) | |
| | Class 11-12 | 10 (23.26) | 11 (25.58) | 22 (51.16) | | 18 (41.86) | 16 (37.21) | 9 (20.93) | |
| | Graduation | 5 (19.23) | 4 (15.38) | 17 (65.38) | | 6 (23.08) | 12 (46.15) | 8 (30.77) | |
| | Post- | 0 (0.00) | 1 (16.67) | 5 (83.33) | | 4 (66.67) | 2 (33.33) | 0 (0.00) | |
| | Graduation | | | | | | | | |
| Profession | Housewife | 18 (42.86) | 9 (21.43) | 15 (35.71) | 0.365 | 7 (16.67) | 33 (78.57) | 2 (4.76) | 0.001* |
| | Govt. | 3 (27.27) | 3 (27.27) | 5 (45.45) | | 2 (18.18) | 6 (54.55) | 3 (27.27) | |
| | Employee | | | | | | | | |
| | Private | 24 (25.53) | 22 (23.40) | 48 (52.06) | | 35 (37.23) | 40 (42.55) | 19 (20.21) | |
| | Service | | | | | | | | |
| | Business | 23 (21.90) | 23 (21.90) | 59 (56.19) | | 35 (33.33) | 51 (48.57) | 19 (18.10) | |
| | Farmer | 14 (29.79) | 14 (29.79) | 19 (40.43) | | 19 (40.43) | 25 (53.19) | 3 (6.3) | |
| | Retired | 8 (24.24) | 5 (15.15) | 20 (60.61) | | 8 (24.24) | 24 (72.73) | 1 (3.03) | |
| | Unemployme | 12 (23.08) | 16 (30.77) | 24 (46.15) | | 13 (25.00) | 35 (67.31) | 4 (7.69) | |
| Nr. 1. 1 | nt | 00 (06 60) | 06 (02.10) | 106 (50.12) | 0.140 | 116 (21.07) | 204 (54.00) | 51 (12 75) | 0.506 |
| Marital | Married | 99 (26.68) | 86 (23.18) | 186 (50.13) | 0.140 | 116(31.27) | 204 (54.99) | 51(13.75) | 0.506 |
| status | Single | 3 (25.00) | 6 (50.00) | 3 (25.00) | | 3 (25.00) | 9 (75.00) | 0 (0.00) | |
| Deves yes 1 | Widowed | 0(0.00) | 0(0.00) | 1 (100.00) | 0.117 | 0(0.00) | 1(100.00) | 0(0.00) | 0.000* |
| Personal | 1 < 10.000 | 38(32.70) | 51(20.72) | 47 (40.32) | 0.117 | 20(22.41) | 84 (72.41) | 0(3.17) | 0.000* |
| income | 1-510,000 | 19(20.03) | 13(20.33) | <u>59 (55.42)</u> 52 (55.01) | | 19(20.05) | 43 (01.04) | 9(12.55) | |
| (BDT) | 20,000 | 20 (21.31) | 21 (22.38) | 52 (55.91) | | 41 (44.09) | 41 (44.09) | 11 (11.65) | |
| | 20,000 | 10 (22 35) | 18 (21.18) | 48 (56 47) | | 27 (31 76) | 33 (38 82) | 25 (29 41) | |
| | 50,000 | 19 (22.33) | 10 (21.10) | 48 (30.47) | | 27 (31.70) | 33 (30.02) | 25 (29.41) | |
| | >50,000 | 6 (35 29) | 7 (41 18) | 4 (23 53) | | 6 (5 04) | 11 (5 14) | 0 (0 00) | |
| Smoking | Current | 22(18.03) | 24 (19 67) | 76(6230) | 0.013* | 40(32.79) | 64 (52 46) | 18 (14 75) | 0.691 |
| history | smoker | 22 (10.05) | 2. (19.07) | ,0 (02.50) | 0.015 | 10 (02.17) | 51 (52.40) | 10 (14.75) | 0.071 |
| | Former | 40 (28.99) | 37 (26.81) | 61 (44.20) | 1 | 46 (33.33) | 76 (55.07) | 16 (11.59) | |
| | smoker | - < | × / | | | | | | |
| | Never smoker | 40 (32.26) | 31 (25.00) | 52 (42.74) | | 33 (26.61) | 74 (59.68) | 17 (13.28) | |

Table-7 shows Cardiovascular Drug Therapy at Hospitalization of Covid-19 where Medical therapy included ACE inhibitors (22.1% of the patients), ARBs (20.57%), statins (11.71%), beta-blockers (18.23%), and antiplatelet agents (14.32%). Insulin was used in 10.42% of the patients, and other hypoglycemic agents were used in 2.67%.

| Cardiovascular Drug Therapy | Ν | % |
|-----------------------------|----|--------|
| ACE inhibitor | 85 | 22.1% |
| ARB | 79 | 20.57% |
| Beta-blocker | 70 | 18.23% |
| Antiplatelet | 55 | 14.32% |
| Statin | 45 | 11.71% |
| Insulin | 40 | 10.42% |
| Other hypoglycemic agent | 10 | 2.67% |

Table-8 shows hospitalization status and outcome of the patients where mean length of hospital stay was 10.7 ± 2.7 days, with an overall in-hospital

mortality of 5.8% (515 of 8910 patients) in this population of patients with completed outcomes.

| Table 8: Hospitalization status and outcome of the patients | | |
|---|---------------|--|
| Hospitalization status and outcome of the patients | % | |
| Mean length of hospital stay | 10.7±2.7 days | |
| Overall in-hospital mortality | 5.8% | |

DISCUSSION

Globally until16 November 2020, there have been over 54 million COVID-19 cases confirmed with 1,316,502 deaths. From 8 March to 16 November 2020, according to the Directorate General of Health Services (DGHS) Bangladesh, 434,472 COVID-19 confirmed cases were detected by RT-PCR, including 6,215 COVID related deaths. Bangladesh is in the top 24th position in the world [11]. Our study showed that the age of the subjects ranged from 20-80 year with a mean age of 59.74 years, which was almost similar to the age of patients in different studies from China and India [12-17]. Male gender was more affected than female in our study, which was consistent with the results from abroad [12]. The comorbidities of our study were DM, hypertension, IHD and CKD, which were also common in Chinese population [18, 19].

Regarding clinical manifestations, in our study the most common symptom was anorexia; besides sore throat, diarrhea, vomiting, nausea, abdominal pain were also observed in a few cases which were more or less similar to the manifestations reported in several studies globally [6, 16, 17]. Common laboratory parameters of our study subjects were normal leukocyte with lymphopenia, elevated CRP and positive D-dimer coinciding with results of numerous studies [6, 16, 17]. Deranged liver enzymes, hyperferritinemia, high LDH, abnormal ECG were observed in some cases. Majority of our study subjects had poor glycemic control and it is one of the most important risk factor for increased morbidity and mortality in COVID-19, reported in different studies [20, 21]. Imaging findings like chest x-ray and HRCT scan of chest varied from no shadow to extensive involvement of both lungs which were used to classify the severity and management of the cases as per national guideline [10, 22]. Seventy percent of our patients were shifted to COVID dedicated hospitals and rest of the subjects were treated at our hospital.

The prevalence of depression and anxiety level was moderately high in this study. An abnormal degree of anxiety affected 49.5%, whereas borderline anxiety affected 23.9% of the study population. This conclusion resembles that of the Brazilian population (30), where it was found that 48.4% of CAD patients were anxious. Anxiety levels among CAD patients were slightly more pronounced in our study, which might be related to unemployment following sickness, level of illiteracy, a lack of knowledge about the prognosis of CAD, or even lack of counseling resources in a developing country context. In our study, around 55.7% of patients had borderline depression, and 13.2% had abnormal depression, whereas studies in Brazil 30 and Germany 31

revealed that 26.4 and 5.9% of CAD patients, respectively, had depression. Depression was found to be much higher among CAD patients in our study which could be due to a lack of information and limited access to quality health care, including the huge out of pocket expenditure. The sex of patients was also shown to be substantially related to their degree of anxiety with males having a higher level of anxiety than females.

CONCLUSION

Our findings indicate that health care providers, particularly cardiologists and nurses, should take extra care to detect and evaluate all heart disease patients for level of anxiety and depression in a clinical setting. There is a need to develop a quick screening approach in hospitals dealing with cardiovascular inpatients to identify those needing extra evaluation and care.

REFERENCE

- 1. Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs)- Fact sheets World Health Organization (WHO): World Health Organization (WHO). Available online at: https:// www.who.int/news-room/factsheets/detail/cardiovascular-diseases-(cvds) (accessed August 13, 2021).
- Read, S. H., & Wild, S. H. (2020). Prevention of premature cardiovascular death worldwide. *Lancet*, 395, 758–60. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32034-3
- 3. World Health Organization Noncommunicable Diseases (NCD) World Health Organization. World Health Organization (2018). Available online at: https:// www.who.int/nmh/countries/bgd_en.pdf (accessed September 07, 2021).
- Ryder, A. L., & Cohen, B. E. (2021). Evidence for depression and anxiety as risk factors for heart disease and stroke: implications for primary care. Oxford: Oxford University Press. p. 365–7.
- Sciagrà, R., Martini, A. L., & Allocca, M. (2021). The Brain Connection Between Stress and Heart: A Convincing Research Opportunity to Reduce Risk and Gender Disparity in Cardiovascular Disease. Berlin: Springer. p. 433–5.
- Shibata, T., Mawatari, K., Nakashima, N., Shimozono, K., Ushijima, K., Yamaji, Y., ... & Fukumoto, Y. (2021). Multidisciplinary team-based palliative care for heart failure and food intake at the end of life. *Nutrients*, *13*(7), 2387. doi: 10.3390/nu13072387
- 7. So, H., & Tam, L.-S. (2021). Cardiovascular disease and depression psoriatic arthritis: in multidimensional comorbidities requiring multidisciplinary management. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol, 35. 101689. doi: 10.1016/j.berh.2021.101689

- The Global Burden of Disease- A Comprehensive Assessment of Mortality and Disability From Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors in 1990 and Projected to 2020 World Health Organization. World Health Organization. Available online at: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/41 864/ 0965546608_eng.pdf (accessed August 13, 2021).
- COVID-19 Pandemic Triggers 25% Increase in 9. Prevalence of Anxiety and Depression Worldwide Organization. World World Health Health Organization. (2022). Available online at: https://www.who.int/news/item/ 02-03-2022-covid-19-pandemic-triggers-25-increase-in-prevalenceofanxiety-and-depression-worldwide (accessed April 22, 2022).
- Azeez, A. M., Puri, G. D., Samra, T., & Singh, M. (2021). Effect of short-term yoga-basedbreathing on peri-operative anxiety in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *Int J Yoga, 14*, 163. doi: 10.4103/ijoy.IJOY_120_20
- Lai, M., Shen, T., Cui, H., Lin, L., Ran, P., Huo, P., ... & Li, J. (2021). Clinical outcomes and survival analysis in patients with psycho-cardiological disease: a retrospective analysis of 132 cases. *Journal of International Medical Research*, 49(3), 0300060521990984. doi: 10.1177/0300060521990984
- 12. Levine, G. N., Cohen, B. E., Commodore-Mensah, Y., Fleury, J., Huffman, J. C., Khalid, U., ... & American Heart Association Council on Clinical Cardiology; Council on Arteriosclerosis. Thrombosis and Vascular Biology; Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing; and Council on Lifestyle and Cardiometabolic Health. (2021). Psychological health, well-being, and the mindheart-body connection: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation, 143(10), e763-e783. doi: 10.1161/CIR.00000000000947
- Partovi, L. H., Anboohi, S. Z., Farahani, Z. B., & Mansouri, S. (2018). Comparison of acute coronary syndrome patients with anxiety regarding comorbidity diseases, history of hospitalization, type of disease and treatment in coronary care unit. *Journal of Preventive Epidemiology*, 3(2), e15-e15.

- Allabadi, H., Alkaiyat, A., Alkhayyat, A., Hammoudi, A., Odeh, H., Shtayeh, J., ... & Probst-Hensch, N. (2019). Depression and anxiety symptoms in cardiac patients: a cross-sectional hospital-based study in a Palestinian population. *BMC public health*, 19, 1-14. doi: 10.1186/s12889-019-6561-3
- Huffman, J. C., Smith, F. A., Blais, M. A., Beiser, M. E., Januzzi, J. L., & Fricchione, G. L. (2006). Recognition and treatment of depression and anxiety in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *The American journal of cardiology*, *98*(3), 319-324. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2006.02.033
- Sharma Dhital, P., Sharma, K., Poudel, P., & Dhital, P. R. (2018). Anxiety and depression among patients with coronary artery disease attending at a cardiac center, Kathmandu, Nepal. *Nursing research and practice*, 2018(1), 4181952. doi: 10.1155/2018/4181952
- Rathod, S., Pinninti, N., Irfan, M., Gorczynski, P., Rathod, P., Gega, L., & Naeem, F. (2017). Mental health service provision in low-and middle-income countries. *Health services insights*, 10, 1178632917694350. doi: 10.1177/1178632917694350
- Ormel, J., Von Korff, M., Burger, H., Scott, K., Demyttenaere, K., Huang, Y. Q., ... & Kessler, R. (2007). Mental disorders among persons with heart disease—results from World Mental Health surveys. *General hospital psychiatry*, 29(4), 325-334. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2007.03.009
- 19. Organization WH. WHO-AIMS Report on Mental Health System in Bangladesh. Geneva: WHO (2007).
- Amin, M. N. (2018). Global Burden of Noncommunicable Diseases: Preparedness of Bangladesh to Combat the Menace. *Ibrahim Card Med J*, 8, 5–9. doi: 10.3329/icmj.v8i1-2.53969
- Islam, A. M., Mohibullah, A., & Paul, T. (2016). Cardiovascular disease in Bangladesh: a review. Bangladesh Heart J, 31, 80–99. doi: 10.3329/bhj.v31i2.32379
- Mirelman, A., Koehlmoos, T. P., & Niessen, L. (2012). Risk-attributable burden of chronic diseases and cost of prevention in Bangladesh. *Glob Heart*, 7, 10. doi: 10.1016/j.gheart.2012.01.006