∂ OPEN ACCESS

Saudi Journal of Medicine

Abbreviated Key Title: Saudi J Med ISSN 2518-3389 (Print) | ISSN 2518-3397 (Online) Scholars Middle East Publishers, Dubai, United Arab Emirates Journal homepage: <u>https://saudijournals.com</u>

Original Research Article

Efficacy and Safety of Deucravacitinib in the Treatment of Psoriasis: A Systematic Review

Md. Tauhidur Rahman^{1*}, Sazia Afrin², Fatamatuz Zohura Antora³, Jaheda Akter⁴, Sadia Rubana Nila⁵, Fatima Wahida⁶

¹Junior Consultant, 250 Bedded General Hospital, Jashore, Bangladesh

²Assistant Professor, Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Bangladesh Medical College and Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh

³Consultant, Medix (United Health Care), Dhaka, Bangladesh

⁴Junior Consultant, Chattogram Maa-O-Shishu Hospital Medical College, Chattogram, Bangladesh

⁵Registrar, Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Community Based Medical College, Mymensingh, Bangladesh ⁶Junior Consultant, Shaheed Suhrawardy Medical College and Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh

DOI: https://doi.org/10.36348/sjm.2024.v09i10.007

| Received: 17.09.2024 | Accepted: 22.10.2024 | Published: 29.10.2024

*Corresponding Author: Md. Tauhidur Rahman Junior Consultant, 250 Bedded General Hospital, Jashore, Bangladesh

Abstract

Background: Psoriasis, a chronic inflammatory skin disorder, poses substantial challenges to affected individuals, with plaque psoriasis being the most prevalent form. Despite advancements in treatment, including biologic drugs and oral small molecules, there remains a need for effective, accessible, and safe therapies for moderate-to-severe psoriasis. Deucravacitinib, a novel oral small molecule targeting Tyrosine Kinase 2 (TYK2), emerges as a promising option in psoriasis management. **Objective:** This study aims to evaluate the efficacy and safety of deucravacitinib in the treatment of psoriasis. Method: A comprehensive literature search was conducted across multiple databases, including PubMed, clinicaltrials.gov, Cochrane Skin, and Embase, up to February 16, 2023. Manuscripts were selected and analyzed following PRISMA guidelines. Relevant keywords such as "psoriasis," "oral small molecules," "deucravacitinib," "efficacy," and "safety" were used. Various types of manuscripts, including reviews, meta-analyses, clinical trials, and real-life experiences, were considered. Abstracts and full texts of selected articles were reviewed, and references were crosschecked. Results: A total of four completed trials and six ongoing studies were included in the review. Completed studies demonstrated the efficacy of deucravacitinib, with significant improvements in Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) scores compared to placebo and apremilast. Notably, deucravacitinib showed superiority in achieving PASI 75, 90, and 100 responses. Safety profiles were generally favorable, with common adverse events including nasopharyngitis, headache, diarrhea, and nausea. Conclusion: Deucravacitinib emerges as an innovative and valuable option for psoriasis management, offering promising efficacy and safety outcomes in clinical trials. While further research is warranted to validate its efficacy and compare it with existing therapies, deucravacitinib holds potential as a significant addition to the psoriasis treatment armamentarium.

Keywords: Psoriasis, deucravacitinib, oral small molecules, efficacy, safety, TYK2 inhibitor.

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Psoriasis, a persistent inflammatory skin condition affecting approximately 3% of the global population, primarily manifests as plaque psoriasis, which constitutes up to 90% of cases. This form is identifiable by distinct erythematous plaques covered with silvery-white scales. Psoriasis often accompanies various comorbidities such as metabolic syndrome, psoriatic arthritis, cardiovascular issues, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, inflammatory bowel disease, and depression, significantly impacting patients' well-being. While mild cases can be managed with topical treatments, moderate-to-severe forms necessitate systemic interventions. [1-3] However, traditional systemic therapies like cyclosporine, acitretin, methotrexate, and fumarates are frequently avoided due to contraindications or adverse effects. Phototherapy presents logistical challenges, and although recent advancements such as apremilast and biologic drugs targeting cytokines like TNF- α , IL-12/23, IL-17, and IL-23 have shown promising outcomes but they have

Citation: Md. Tauhidur Rahman, Sazia Afrin, Fatamatuz Zohura Antora, Jaheda Akter, Sadia Rubana Nila, Fatima Wahida (2024). Efficacy and Safety of Deucravacitinib in the Treatment of Psoriasis: A Systematic Review. *Saudi J Med*, *9*(10): 455-459.

limitations and high costs. [4-6] Therefore, there persists a demand for accessible, efficient, and safe oral medications for moderate-to-severe psoriasis. [7-11] Deucravacitinib, a novel oral small molecule inhibiting Tyrosine Kinase 2 (TYK2), emerges as a potential solution in psoriasis management.

Objective

In this study our main goal is to efficacy and safety of deucravacitinib in psoriasis.

METHODOLOGY

A comprehensive literature search was conducted across PubMed, clinicaltrials.gov, Cochrane Skin, and Embase databases up to February 16, 2023. Manuscripts were identified, screened, and analyzed in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. The search utilized keywords such as "psoriasis," "oral small molecules," "deucravacitinib," "efficacy," and "safety." Various types of manuscripts were included, such as reviews, meta-analyses, clinical trials, real-life experiences, case reports, and series, with a focus on relevance to the research objectives. Publications related to the use of deucravacitinib for dermatological conditions other than psoriasis were excluded. Abstracts and full texts of selected articles were meticulously reviewed, and references were crosschecked to ensure comprehensive coverage. Only articles in the English language were considered. It's important to note that this manuscript is a synthesis of previously conducted studies and does not involve any new research involving human or animal participants conducted by the authors.

RESULTS

A total of 4 completed trials and 6 ongoing studies were considered in the current review. Among the completed studies with available results, two Phase II studies and two Phase III trials were identified. Main results in terms of effectiveness and safety are summarized in and, respectively.

Table-1: Effectiveness of Deucravacitinil	o for Psoriasis Manag	gement in Clinical Trials
---	-----------------------	---------------------------

Author	Patients	Study Group	Efficacy				
			PASI75 n (%)	PASI90 n (%)	PASI100 n (%)	sPGA 0/1 n (%)	
Papp et	267	Placebo:45	Placebo: 3 (6.7)	Placebo: 1 (2.2)	Placebo: 0	Placebo: 3 (6.7)	
al.,[12]		Deucravacitinib:	Deucravacitinib:	Deucravacitinib:	Deucravacitinib:	Deucravacitinib:	
		3mg QAD: 44	3mg QAD: 4	3mg QAD: 3	3mg QAD: 1	3mg QAD: 9	
		3mg QD: 44	(9.1) 3mg QD:	(6.8) 3mg QD: 7	(2.3) 3mg QD: 0	(20.5) 3mg QD:	
		3mg BID: 45	17 (38.6) 3mg	(15.9) 3mg	3mg BID: 4	17(38.6) 3mg	
		6mg BID: 45	BID: 31 (68.9)	BID: 20 (44.4)	(8.9)	BID: 34 (75.6)	
		12mg QD: 44	6mg BID: 30	6mg BID: 20	6mg BID: 8	6mg BID: 29	
			(66.7)	(44.4)	(17.8)	(64.4)	
			12mg QD: 33	12mg QD: 19	12mg QD: 11	12mg QD: 33	
			(75.0)	(43.2)	(25.0)	(75.0)	
Armstrong	666	Placebo: 166	Placebo: 21	Placebo: 7 (4.2)	Placebo: 1 (0.6)	Placebo: 12	
et al., [13]		Deucravacitinib	(12.7)	Deucravacitinib:	Deucravacitinib:	(7.2)	
		6mg QD: 332	Deucravacitinib:	118 (35.5)	47 (14.2)	Deucravacitinib:	
		Apremilast	194 (58.4)	Apremilast: 33	Apremilast: 5	178 (53.6)	
		30mg BID: 168	Apremilast: 59	(19.6)	(3.0)	Apremilast: 54	
			(35.1)			(32.1)	
Strober et	1020	Placebo: 255	Placebo: 24	Placebo: 7 (2.7)	Placebo: 3 (1.2)	Placebo: 22	
al., [14]		Deucravacitinib	(9.4)	Deucravacitinib:	Deucravacitinib:	(8.6)	
		6mg QD: 511	Deucravacitinib:	138 (27.0)	52 (10.2)	Deucravacitinib:	
		Apremilast	271 (53.0)	Apremilast: 46	Apremilast: 11	253 (49.5)	
		30mg BID: 254	Apremilast: 101	(18.1)	(4.3)	Apremilast: 86	
			(39.8)			(33.9)	

Table-2: Safety of Deucravacitinib for Psoriasis Management in Clinical Trials

Author	Study Group	Any AEs n	Serious AEs n	Treatment-	AEs Leading	Most Common
		(%)	(%)	Related AEs n	to	AEs n (%)
				(%)	Discontinuati	
					on n (%)	
Papp et	Placebo: 45	AEs were	Placebo: 1	NR	Placebo: 2	Nasopharyngiti
al., [12]	Deucravacitini	collected in	(2.2)		(4.4)	s, headache,
	b:	51% of the	Deucravacitini		Deucravacitini	diarrhea,
	3mg QAD: 44	subjects in the	b:		b:	nausea, and
	3mg QD: 44	placebo cohort	3mg QAD: 1		3mg QAD: 1	URTI were the
	3mg BID: 45	and 55 to 80%	(2.3)		(2.3)	

© 2024 | Published by Scholars Middle East Publishers, Dubai, United Arab Emirates

Md. Tauhidur Rahman et al; Saudi J Med, Oct, 2024; 9(10): 455-459

	6mg BID: 45 12mg QD: 44	patients in the deucravacitini b groups, with the highest percentage in the 6mg BID dose.	3mg QD: 1 (2.3) 3mg BID: 1 (2.2) 6mg BID: 0 12mg QD: 0		3mg QD: 2 (4.5) 3mg BID: 1 (2.2) 6mg BID: 3 (6.7) 12mg QD: 1 (2.3)	most common AEs.
Armstron g et al., [13]	Placebo: 166 Deucravacitini b 6mg QD: 332 Apremilast 30mg BID: 168	Placebo: 70 (42.4) Deucravacitini b: 176 (53.0) Apremilast:93 (55.4)	Placebo: 9 (5.5) Deucravacitini b: 7 (2.1) Apremilast: 4 (2.4)	Placebo: 20 (12.1) Deucravacitini b: 65 (19.6) Apremilast: 36 (21.4)	Placebo: 7 (4.2) Deucravacitini b: 6 (1.8) Apremilast:10 (6.0)	Placebo: nasopharyngitis $(7, 4.2\%)$ URTI and diarrhea $(6, 3.6\%)$ headache $(5, 3.0\%)$ Deucravacitini b: nasopharyngitis and URTI (21, 6.3\%) headache $(16, 4.8\%)$ headache $(16, 4.8\%)$ diarrhea $(13, 3.9\%)$ Apremilast: nausea nausea $(19, 11.3\%)$ headache and diarrhea $(17, 10.1\%)$ nasopharyngitis $(14, 8.3\%)$
Strober <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , [14]	Placebo: 255 Deucravacitini b 6mg QD: 511 Apremilast 30mg BID: 254	Placebo: 138 (54.3) Deucravacitini b: 293 (57.5) Apremilast: 150 (59.1)	Placebo: 3 (1.2) Deucravacitini b: 8 (1.6) Apremilast: 1 (0.4)	Placebo: 45 (17.7) Deucravacitini b: 99 (19.4) Apremilast: 73 (28.7)	Placebo: 9 (3.5) Deucravacitini b: 14 (2.7) Apremilast: 12 (4.7)	Placebo: nasopharyngitis (29, 11.4%) – diarrhea (19, 7.5%) – headache (14, 5.5%) Deucravacitini b: nasopharyngitis (55, 10.8%) – URTI (25, 4.9%) – diarrhea (24, 4.7%) Apremilast: diarrhea (33, 13.0%) – headache (28, 11.0%) – nasopharyngitis and nausea (23, 9.1%)

DISCUSSION

Managing psoriasis, especially its moderate-tosevere forms, poses significant challenges. While the advent of biologic drugs and oral small molecules (OSMs) has transformed treatment options, providing promising results in efficacy and safety, the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic has added further complexities to clinical practice. Despite these advancements, there remains a need for novel therapies to tailor treatment more precisely to individual patients and sustain longterm effectiveness, as traditional systemic treatments are often avoided due to contraindications or adverse effects, and logistical concerns limit phototherapy [6-7].

Among OSMs, apremilast stands out as the sole innovative drug with oral administration, functioning by inhibiting the phosphodiesterase-4 enzyme and modulating the immune system. However, its efficacy, though safe for patients with conditions like hepatitis or cancer, falls short compared to biologics, especially in achieving PASI 90 and 100 responses. Similarly, while biologics demonstrate effectiveness and safety, their parental administration and associated limitations may restrict their usage [12-13].

In this landscape, advancements in understanding psoriasis pathogenesis have led to the development of selective therapies. Notably, TYK2, a kinase pivotal in signaling IL-23 and other cytokines implicated in psoriasis, emerged as a promising target for novel treatments. Unlike Janus Kinase (JAK) inhibitors, deucravacitinib selectively inhibits TYK2, minimizing the risk of adverse events associated with direct JAK inhibition [14].

Deucravacitinib's efficacy and safety have been evidenced in clinical trials, positioning it as a valuable addition to the psoriasis treatment arsenal. Phase 2 and 3 trials demonstrated its superiority over placebo and apremilast, maintaining clinical response over extended periods. However, considerations regarding infections, malignancies, and laboratory parameter monitoring are warranted [13].

While current therapeutic options offer alternatives for psoriasis management when conventional systemic treatments are unsuitable, the trend towards personalized medicine underscores the importance of introducing innovative, safe, and effective treatments like deucravacitinib. Real-world data are yet to emerge, but its potential to address unmet needs in psoriasis treatment is promising.

CONCLUSION

In summary, deucravacitinib emerges as an innovative and valuable option for psoriasis management, supported by promising efficacy and safety outcomes from clinical trials. The clinical trials demonstrate its potential to provide better skin clearance for patients compared to existing oral options. Its mechanism of action represents a novel approach in psoriasis treatment. This selectivity may offer a more targeted therapy with potentially fewer systemic side effects. The oral route of administration presents a significant advantage for patients who prefer not to use injectable options, thus improving treatment adherence and patient satisfaction. While these initial findings are encouraging, further research is necessary to validate and compare deucravacitinib against biologic drugs, clarifying its precise role in the treatment paradigm for psoriasis. Cost-effectiveness analyses will also be essential to determine deucravacitinib's value proposition compared to existing therapies, especially considering the high costs associated with biologic treatments.

REFERENCE

- Raharja, A., Mahil, S. K., & Barker, J. N. (2021). Psoriasis: a brief overview. *Clinical Medicine*, 21(3), 170-173.
- Kamiya, K., Kishimoto, M., Sugai, J., Komine, M., & Ohtsuki, M. (2019). Risk factors for the development of psoriasis. *International journal of molecular sciences*, 20(18), 4347.
- Mina, A. M. I. N., & Tsen-Fang, T. S. A. I. (2020). Psoriasis and co-morbidity. Acta dermatovenereologica, 100(3).
- Korman, N. J. (2020). Management of psoriasis as a systemic disease: what is the evidence?. *British Journal of Dermatology*, 182(4), 840-848.
- Kouris, A., Platsidaki, E., Kouskoukis, C., & Christodoulou, C. (2017). Psychological parameters of psoriasis. *Psychiatrike= Psychiatriki*, 28(1), 54-59.
- Camela, E., Potestio, L., Ruggiero, A., Ocampo-Garza, S. S., Fabbrocini, G., & Megna, M. (2022). Towards personalized medicine in psoriasis: current progress. *Psoriasis: Targets and Therapy*, 231-250.
- Rendon, A., & Schäkel, K. (2019). Psoriasis pathogenesis and treatment. *International journal of molecular sciences*, 20(6), 1475.
- Nast, A., Smith, C., Spuls, P. I., Avila Valle, G., Bata-Csörgö, Z., Boonen, H., ... & Dressler, C. (2020). EuroGuiDerm Guideline on the systemic treatment of Psoriasis vulgaris–Part 1: treatment and monitoring recommendations. *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology*, 34(11), 2461-2498.
- Nast, A., Smith, C., Spuls, P. I., Avila Valle, G., Bata-Csörgö, Z., Boonen, H., ... & Dressler, C. (2021). EuroGuiDerm Guideline on the systemic treatment of Psoriasis vulgaris–Part 2: specific clinical and comorbid situations. *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology*, 35(2), 281-317.
- Ruggiero, A., Camela, E., Potestio, L., Fabbrocini, G., & Megna, M. (2022). Drug safety evaluation of tildrakizumab for psoriasis: a review of the current knowledge. *Expert Opinion on Drug Safety*, 21(12), 1445-1451.
- Megna, M., Potestio, L., Fabbrocini, G., & Camela, E. (2022). Treating psoriasis in the elderly: biologics and small molecules. *Expert Opinion on Biological Therapy*, 22(12), 1503-1520.

Md. Tauhidur Rahman et al; Saudi J Med, Oct, 2024; 9(10): 455-459

- Papp, K., Gordon, K., Thaçi, D., Morita, A., Gooderham, M., Foley, P., ... & Banerjee, S. (2018). Phase 2 trial of selective tyrosine kinase 2 inhibition in psoriasis. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *379*(14), 1313-1321.
- Armstrong, A. W., Gooderham, M., Warren, R. B., Papp, K. A., Strober, B., Thaçi, D., ... & Blauvelt, A. (2023). Deucravacitinib versus placebo and apremilast in moderate to severe plaque psoriasis: efficacy and safety results from the 52-week, randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled

phase 3 POETYK PSO-1 trial. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, 88(1), 29-39.

14. Strober, B., Thaçi, D., Sofen, H., Kircik, L., Gordon, K. B., Foley, P., ... & Papp, K. A. (2023). Deucravacitinib versus placebo and apremilast in moderate to severe plaque psoriasis: Efficacy and safety results from the 52-week, randomized, double-blinded, phase 3 Program fOr Evaluation of TYK2 inhibitor psoriasis second trial. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, 88(1), 40-51.