Saudi Journal of Medicine

Abbreviated Key Title: Saudi J Med ISSN 2518-3389 (Print) | ISSN 2518-3397 (Online) Scholars Middle East Publishers, Dubai, United Arab Emirates Journal homepage: https://saudijournals.com

Original Research Article

Role of Ultrasound in Diagnosing Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD)

C. A. H. M. Enamullah^{1*}, Liton Chandra Ghosh², G M Hafizur Rahman³, Mohammad Sazzad Hossain⁴, Fatema Jesmin⁵, Tamanna Jahan⁶, Abid Sikdar⁷

- ¹Associate Professor, Department of Radiology & Imaging, Dhaka National Medical College and Hospital, 53, 1 Johnson Rd, Dhaka 1100, Bangladesh
- ²Associate Professor, Department of Nephrology, Dhaka National Medical College and Hospital, 53, 1 Johnson Rd, Dhaka 1100, Bangladesh
- ³Assistant Professor, Department of Nephrology, Dhaka Medical College Hospital, Bangladesh
- ⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Radiology and Imaging, Sir Salimullah Medical College and Hospital, Mitford Rd, Dhaka, Bangladesh
- ⁵Consultant Sonologist and Nuclear Medicine Specialist, Dhaka National Medical Institute Hospital, 53, 1 Johnson Rd, Dhaka 1100, Bangladesh
- ⁶Registrar, Department of Radiology & Imaging, Dhaka National Medical College, 53, 1 Johnson Rd, Dhaka 1100, Bangladesh
- ⁷Associate Professor (cc), Department of Radiology & Imaging, Dhaka National Medical College, 53, 1 Johnson Rd, Dhaka 1100, Bangladesh

DOI: <u>10.36348/sjm.2023.v08i09.006</u> | **Received:** 27.07.2023 | **Accepted:** 31.08.2023 | **Published:** 15.09.2023

*Corresponding Author: C. A. H. M. Enamullah

Associate Professor, Department of Radiology & Imaging, Dhaka National Medical College and Hospital, 53, 1 Johnson Rd, Dhaka 1100, Bangladesh

Abstract

Background: CKD may be defined as either kidney damage or glomerular filtration rate <60 ml/mm/1.73 m2 for more than three months. Kidney damage is defined as pathological abnormalities or marks of damage including abnormalities in blood, urine, and imaging test. Objective: To analyze the changes in cortical thickness of the kidney and, the size of the kidney with progression to CKD. Methods: This study, conducted between May 2021 to April 2022, employed a retrospective cross-sectional design within the ultrasound department of a Tertiary Hospital. The study involved 200 CKD patients with a glomerular filtration rate (GFR) of < 60 ml/min. Serum creatinine estimation was performed alongside blood tests on the same day as ultrasonography, which assessed echogenicity, parenchymal thickness, cortical thickness, and longitudinal length. All gathered data were recorded in the pro forma. Results: The patient cohort had an average age of 54.62±13.3 years. Mean serum creatinine showed significant variance across echogenicity grades (p=0.0005). Comparable trends were observed for mean parenchymal thickness (p=0.0005), mean longitudinal length (p=0.0005), and mean corticomedullary distinction (p=0.0005). A statistically significant highly positive correlation emerged between serum creatinine and cortical echogenicity grading (r=0.915, p = 0.0005). Conclusion: Renal cortical echogenicity, particularly its grading, exhibited the most substantial correlation with serum creatinine among various sonographic parameters like longitudinal length, parenchymal thickness, and cortical thickness in CKD patients. As renal cortical echogenicity's irreversible nature contrasts with serum creatinine levels, it stands as a viable parameter for renal function assessment.

Keywords: Chronic kidney diseases (CKD), ultrasound, serum creatine.

Copyright © 2023 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

The condition of having an abnormal creatinine level persisting over several months to years is referred to as chronic kidney disease (CKD). CKD is categorized by the degree of kidney damage, assessed by a reduced

glomerular filtration rate (GFR) (< 60 ml/min per 1.7 m²) sustained for more than three months [1, 2].

Ultrasonography provides a noninvasive and cost-effective approach to diagnosing renal diseases. It offers detailed anatomical insights without subjecting

patients to radiation or contrast agents, rendering it a preferred alternative to conventional radiography both domestically and internationally [3-5]. These attributes facilitate early identification and anticipation of abnormal renal function, vital for informed therapeutic choices.

Sonography serves to measure renal parameters including length, thickness, and echogenicity of the renal parenchyma. It also aids in characterizing a dilated collecting system [6]. Such details contribute to gauging the extent of renal parenchymal impairment, gauging the potential for reversibility [7, 8], and determining the necessity for renal biopsy [9]. Notably, a study discovered abnormal sonographic findings in 67% of CKD cases [10].

Echogenicity intensifies due to the presence of collagen in interstitial fibrosis and glomerulosclerosis [11], a phenomenon not widely acknowledged. This elevation in echogenicity could potentially signify heightened interstitial inflammation. While human observation can infer echogenicity, its reliability is questionable [12, 13]. Nevertheless, a small adult cohort demonstrated the ability to quantifiably and reliably assess renal parenchymal echogenicity within a normal range [14]. Furthermore, significant correlations were established between renal length or cortical echogenicity and glomerular sclerosis or tubular atrophy [15].

Renal characteristics encompass various measurements, including renal length, volume, and cortical thickness. Evaluating renal function also hinges on these metrics, underpinning crucial clinical decisions. Hence, serial sonographic assessments serve to track the progression of renal disease or its stability [16]. While renal parenchymal volume proves accurate in end-stage renal disease patients, measuring renal longitudinal length suffices for individuals with normal renal function [17].

Given its capacity to gauge renal insufficiency and disease progression, ultrasound emerges as a valuable tool. Our study's objective is to correlate renal echogenicity with serum creatinine levels and to unravel the significance of renal echogenicity in detecting CKD progression. Additionally, we delve into the role of sonographic imaging in grading CKD.

OBJECTIVE: To analyze the changes of cortical thickness of kidney, size of the kidney with progression to CKD.

METHOD

This study, conducted between May 2021 to April 2022, employed a retrospective cross-sectional design within the ultrasound department of a Tertiary Hospital. The study encompassed patients referred for kidney ultrasounds, with simultaneous creatinine checks on the ultrasound day, yielding a sample of 200 patients.

The study embraced new patients seeking chronic kidney disease (CKD) evaluations, individuals with established CKD meeting operational criteria, and those categorized in CKD stages 3/4/5 with a calculated GFR of < 60 ml/min via the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) equation, and participants aged 30 and above (both male and female). Excluded were patients with known acute kidney injury, kidney transplant recipients, individuals undergoing hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis, those with fatty liver, chronic liver disease, and solitary kidney conditions.

Utilizing a standard B-Mode grayscale ultrasound with a sector curved-array transducer operating between 3.5-5 MHz, kidney and liver ultrasounds were administered. Low tissue harmonic and speckle reduction imaging techniques were applied to mitigate interobserver bias in assessing the parenchymal echogenicity of both organs. Manual adjustments were made for gain and time gain compensation. The measurement of longitudinal length occurred within a visually approximated section representing the largest longitudinal segment. Width and thickness measurements were taken perpendicularly to the longitudinal axis of the kidney, guided by the longitudinal image. While maintaining perpendicularity of the ultrasound probe to the skin was unnecessary, the transverse section's placement was proximal to the kidney's hilum, avoiding contact with the pelvis [2].

Statistical analysis was executed via the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS version 20) from IBM Corp. (Armonk, NY). Age was summarized using mean and SD; gender and echogenicity grade were presented as mean parenchymal thickness, mean longitudinal size, frequency, and percentages. The connection between serum creatinine and sonographic parameters was scrutinized through correlation coefficient analysis. Significance was attributed to P-values under 0.05.

RESULTS

Table-1 shows descriptive statistics of the patients where Twenty percent of the patients were below and equal to 40 years of age, 42.5% were between 41 and 60 years, and 37.5% were above 60 years of age. The average age of the patients was 54.62 ± 13.3 years.



FIGURE 1: Grade-0 Echogenicity of Renal Parenchyma Less Than That of the Liver with Maintained Corticomedullary Distinction

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of the Characteristics of the Patients

Variables	Mean ± SD	95% Confidence	Median (IQR)	
		Lower Bound Upper Bound		
Age (years)	54.62±13.30	52.77	56.47	56 (21)
Serum creatinine (mg/dl)	2.19±1.08	2.046	2.337	1.9 (1.3)
Parenchymal thickness	4.69±0.82	4.578	4.806	4.5 (0.9)
Longitudinal length	9.87±0.94	9.745	10.008	10 (0.8)
Cortical thickness	0.94±0.253	0.902	0.973	1.1 (0.3)

Table 2 shows a Comparison of Serum Creatinine with Renal Cortical Echogenicity. Mean

serum creatinine was significant among echogenicity grades [ANOVA F-Value= 367.726; p=0.0005].

Table 2: Comparison of Serum Creatinine with Renal Cortical Echogenicity

Serum Creatinine (mg/dl)							
Echogenicity Grades [Grading	No. of	Mean	SD	95%		F Value	P- Value
based on ultrasound features]	Patients			Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
Grade 0	60	1.252	0.050	1.239	1.265		
Grade 1	60	1.853	0.129	1.820	1.887		
Grade 2	44	2.568	0.651	2.370	2.766	367.726	0.0005
Grade 3	24	3.275	0.352	3.126	3.424		
Grade 4	12	5.033	0.528	4.698	5.369		
Total	200	2.191	1.0431	2.046	2.337		

Table 3 shows a comparison of Parenchymal Thickness with Renal Cortical Echogenicity where Mean parenchymal thickness was also significant among

echogenicity grades (ANOVA F-value= 31.628; p=0.0005).

Table 3: Comparison of Parenchymal Thickness with Renal Cortical

Parenchymal Thickness (cm)									
Echogenicity Grades	No. of	Mean	SD	95% Confidence	F	P-			
[Grading based on	Patients			Mean	Value	Value			
ultrasound features]				Lower Bound	Upper Bound				
Grade 0	60	4.397	0.101	4.371	4.423				
Grade 1	60	4.582	0.905	4.348	4.816				
Grade 2	44	5.048	0.920	4.768	5.328	31.628	0.0005		
Grade 3	24	4.433	0.481	4.230	4.637				
Grade 4	12	5.933	1.029	5.280	6.587				
Total	200	4.692	0.820	4.578	4.806				

Table 4 shows a Comparison of Longitudinal Length with Renal Cortical Echogenicity. The mean longitudinal length was also significant among echogenicity grades (ANOVA F-value= 66.004; p=0.0005).

Table 4: Comparison of Longitudinal Length with Renal Cortical Echogenicity

Longitudinal Length (cm)						-	
Echogenicity Grades [Grading based	95% CI		F	P-			
on ultrasound features]	Patients	Mean	SD	Lower	Upper	Value	Value
				Bound	Bound		
Grade 0	60	10.00	0.00	10.00	10.00		
Grade 1	60	10.272	0.8114	10.062	10.481		
Grade 2	44	10.348	0.7457	10.121	10.574		
Grade 3	24	8.758	0.4898	8.551	8.965	66.004	0.0005
Grade 4	12	7.792	0.8107	7.277	8.307		
Total	200	9.876	0.9450	9.745	10.008		

Table 5 shows a Comparison of Cortical Thickness with Renal Cortical Echogenicity. Mean cortical thickness was also significant among echogenicity grades (ANOVA F-value= 477.83; p=0.0005).

Table 5: Comparison of Cortical Thickness with Renal Cortical Echogenicity

Cortical Thickness (cm)							
Echogenicity Grades [Grading	No. of	Mean	SD	95% CI		F	P-
based on ultrasound features]	Patients			Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Value	Value
Grade 0	60	1.100	0.0000	1.100	1.100		
Grade 1	60	1.093	0.0756	1.074	1.113		
Grade 2	44	0.900	0.1034	0.869	0.931		
Grade 3	24	0.462	0.1135	0.415	0.510	477.83	0.005
Grade 4	12	0.433	0.0888	0.377	0.490		
Total	200	0.938	0.2531	0.902	0.973		

Table-6 shows Statistical Correlation between Serum Creatinine and Mean Parenchymal Thickness, Mean Longitudinal Size, Mean Cortical Thickness and Echogenicity grade. A statistically significant negative correlation was also observed between longitudinal size and serum creatinine (r= -0.505; P = 0.0005); a statistically significant negative correlation was observed between cortical thickness and serum creatinine (r= -0.845; P = 0.0005) and a statistically significant positive correlation was observed between parenchymal thickness and serum creatinine (r=0.413; P = 0.0005)

= 0.0005). A statistically significant highly positive correlation was observed between serum creatinine and cortical echogenicity grading (r=0.915 P = 0.0005) as shown in Table 6. There was also a statistically significant positive correlation between mean parenchymal thickness and renal echogenicity (r=0.336; P 0.005). There was also a statistically significant negative correlation between longitudinal length, and cortical thickness with renal echogenicity (r=-0.513; P= 0.005) and (r=-0.869; P=0.0005), respectively.

Table 6: Statistical Correlation between Serum Creatinine and Mean Parenchymal Thickness, Mean Longitudinal Size, Mean Cortical Thickness, and Echogenicity grade

		Parenchymal	Longitudinal	Cortical	Echogenicity
		Thickness	Length	Thickness	Grade
Serum creatinine	Pearson correlation	0.413**	-0.505**	-0.845**	0.915**
(mg/dl)	P-Value	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005
Parenchymal	Pearson correlation		0.092	-0.240**	0.336**
thickness	P-Value		0.194	0.001	0.005
Longitudinal length	Pearson correlation			0.634**	-0.513**
	P-Value			0.0005	0.0005
Cortical thickness	Pearson correlation				-0.869**
	P-Value				0.0005

DISCUSSION

Chronic kidney disease, denoting the progressive deterioration of kidneys due to structural or functional anomalies, can worsen over time, leading to kidney failure. The decline in kidney function can manifest through pathological abnormalities or changes in imaging tests [18].

This investigation assesses kidney function in CKD and determines GFR using serum creatinine. Sonography serves as the preferred imaging technique due to its accessibility, affordability, and real-time renal information.

The presence of chronic kidney disease can influence ultrasonographic measurements like longitudinal length, echogenicity, and cortical thickness [19, 20]. The GFR and disease stage can be determined through endogenous serum creatinine levels [21].

O'Neill proposed a 12 cm upper limit for normal kidney length [20], whereas our study found a mean longitudinal length of 9.7 cm. Fiorini and Barozzi indicated renal length under 8 cm as indicative of chronic renal failure [22]. Decreasing renal length, tied to declining renal function, has conventionally acted as a surrogate marker for renal function progression. Consequently, renal length estimation is preferable to volume for gauging disease progression.

Serum creatinine levels varied across echogenicity grades: 1.25 mg/dl for Grade 0, 1.85 mg/dl for Grade 1, 2.5 mg/dl for Grade 2, 3.27 mg/dl for Grade 3, and 5.03 mg/dl for Grade 4. Echogenicity grades exhibited significant correlation with serum creatinine [ANOVA F-Value= 367.726; p=0.0005]. Our study, like Siddappa *et al.*,'s, noted a significant correlation between serum creatinine and echogenicity grade (p=0.004) [23]. Ibinaiye *et al.*, and Singh A *et al.*, [24] reported similar findings (p=<0.001).

This study disclosed significant variation in mean parenchymal thickness among echogenicity grades (ANOVA F-value= 31.628; p=0.0005), echoing Siddappa et al.'s findings. A positive correlation between renal echogenicity grading and parenchymal thickness was observed (p = 0.009) [25], with increasing echogenicity corresponding to decreased parenchymal thickness.

Among echogenicity grades, mean cortical thickness displayed significance (ANOVA F-value=477.83; p=0.0005). Our study measured mean cortical thickness at 9.3 mm (p=0.0005), akin to Singh A et al.'s result of 8.5 mm [24]. Cortical thickness decreased as echogenicity increased.

Notably, this study's limitation is the overrepresentation of Grade 1 and Grade 2 CKD patients compared to Grade 3 and 4. This skew results from the

tertiary care hospital setting, excluding cases undergoing renal replacement therapies like dialysis and transplantation. Elevated serum creatinine intensifies renal cortical echogenicity, a change that is irreversible. Consequently, CKD's severity can be assessed through sonological grading of renal echogenicity.

CONCLUSION

The most effective sonographic parameter in alignment with serum creatinine is the echogenicity of the renal cortex and its corresponding grading, as contrasted with metrics like longitudinal length, parenchymal thickness, and cortical thickness among CKD patients. Given the irreversible nature of renal cortical echogenicity, unlike serum creatinine levels, it emerges as a viable candidate for assessing renal function.

The recommendation of this study follows:

More studies in multiple centers with large sample size is needed to get robust data for the early detection of CKD by sonography may improve the overall well-being of patients

LIMITATIONS:

- 1. This study was conducted in a single hospital with a small sample size
- 2. Only increased echogenicity / reflectivity of kidney cannot be defined or regarded as CKD as it also increased in acute kidney injury (AKI), for that reason CKD is defined by sonography call when increase echogenicity of kidney is associated with thinning of cortex and reduction of longitudinal diameter of kidney.

Funding: Self-funding sources **Conflict of interest:** None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee.

REFERENCE

- Levey, A. S., & Coresh, J. (2012). Chronic kidney disease. *The lancet*, 379(9811), 165-180. Doi: 10.1016/S0140- 6736(11)60178-5
- 2. Ahmed, S., Bughio, S., Hassan, M., Lal, S., Ali, M., & Ahmed Panhwar Sr, S. (2019). Role of ultrasound in the diagnosis of chronic kidney disease and its correlation with serum creatinine level. *Cureus*, 11(3).
- 3. Insana, M. F., Hall, T. J., & Fishback, J. L. (1991). Identifying acoustic scattering sources in normal renal parenchyma from the anisotropy in acoustic properties. *Ultrasound in medicine & biology*, *17*(6), 613-626. Doi:10.1016/0301-5629(91)90032-R
- 4. Rafique, M. (2006). Value of routine renal and abdominal ultrasonography in patients undergoing prostatectomy. *International urology and nephrology*, *38*, 153-156. Doi:10.1007/s11255-005-3830-0

- Rosansky, S. J. (2012). Renal function trajectory is more important than chronic kidney disease stage for managing patients with chronic kidney disease. *American journal of nephrology*, 36(1), 1-10. Doi:10.1159/000339327
- Maoujoud, O., Ahid, S., & Cherrah, Y. (2016). The cost-utility of treating anemia with continuous erythropoietin receptor activator or Epoetin versus routine blood transfusions among chronic hemodialysis patients. *International journal of* nephrology and renovascular disease, 35-43. doi:10.2147/JJNRD.S96027
- Rosenfield, A. T., & Siegel, N. J. (1981). Renal parenchymal disease: histopathologic-sonographic correlation. *American Journal of Roentgenology*, 137(4), 793-798. doi:10.2214/ajr.137.4.793
- 8. Rosenfield, A. T., Taylor, K. J., Crade, M., & DeGraaf, C. S. (1978). Anatomy and pathology of the kidney by gray scale ultrasound. *Radiology*, *128*(3), 737-744. doi:10.1148/128.3.737
- 9. Levey, A. S., Becker, C., & Inker, L. A. (2015). Glomerular filtration rate and albuminuria for detection and staging of acute and chronic kidney disease in adults: a systematic review. *Jama*, *313*(8), 837-846. doi:10.1001/jama.2015.0602
- 10. Päivänsalo, M., Huttunen, K., & Suramo, I. (1985). Ultrasonographic findings in renal parenchymal diseases. *Scandinavian journal of urology and nephrology*, 19(2), 119-123. doi:10.3109/00365598509180238
- 11. Hricak, H., Cruz, C., Romanski, R., Uniewski, M. H., Levin, N. W., Madrazo, B. L., ... & Eyler, W. R. (1982). Renal parenchymal disease: sonographichistologic correlation. *Radiology*, *144*(1), 141-147. doi:10.1148/radiology.144.1.7089245
- Lamont, A. C., Graebe, A. C., Pelmore, J. M., & Thompson, J. R. (1990). Ultrasound assessment of renal cortical brightness in infants: is naked eye evaluation reliable? *Investigative radiology*, 25(3), 250-253.
- 13. Eggert, P., Debus, F., Kreller-Laugwitz, G., & Oppermann, H. C. (1991). Densitometric measurement of renal echogenicity in infants and naked eye evaluation: a comparison. *Pediatric radiology*, 21, 111-113.
- 14. Manley, J. A., & O'Neill, W. C. (2001). How echogenic is echogenic? Quantitative acoustics of the renal cortex. *American journal of kidney diseases*, *37*(4), 706-711. doi:10.1016/S0272-6386(01)80118-9
- 15. Galbraith, L. E., Ronksley, P. E., Barnieh, L. J., Kappel, J., Manns, B. J., Samuel, S. M., ... & Hemmelgarn, B. R. (2016). The see kidney disease

- targeted screening program for CKD. *Clinical journal of the American Society of Nephrology: CJASN*, 11(6), 964-972. doi:10.2215/CJN.11961115
- Cheong, B., Muthupillai, R., Rubin, M. F., & Flamm, S. D. (2007). Normal values for renal length and volume as measured by magnetic resonance imaging. Clinical journal of the American Society of Nephrology, 2(1), 38-45. doi:10.2215/CJN.00930306
- 17. Mazzotta, L., Sarteschi, L. M., Carlini, A., & Antonelli, A. (2002). Comparison of renal ultrasonographic and functional biometry in healthy patients and in patients with chronic renal failure. Archivio italiano di urologia, andrologia: organo ufficiale [di] Societa italiana di ecografia urologica e nefrologica, 74(4), 206-209.
- Bailie, G. R., Uhlig, K., & Levey, A. S. (2005). Clinical practice guidelines in nephrology: evaluation, classification, and stratification of chronic kidney disease. *Pharmacotherapy: The Journal of Human Pharmacology and Drug Therapy*, 25(4), 491-502. doi:10.1592/phco.25.4.491.61034
- 19. O'Neill, W. C. (2001). Atlas of Renal Ultrasonography. WB Saunders Company, Philadelphia, USA.
- 20. O'Neill, W. C. (2000). Sonographic evaluation of renal failure. *American journal of kidney diseases*, 35(6), 1021-1038. doi:10.1016/S0272-6386(00)70036-9
- Burtis, C. A., & Ashwood, E. R. (1997). Tietz Textbook of Clinical Chemistry. American Association for Clinical Chemistry.
- 22. Fiorini, F., & Barozzi, L. (2007). The role of ultrasonography in the study of medical nephropathy. *Journal of ultrasound*, *10*(4), 161-167. doi:10.1016/j.jus.2007.09.001
- Siddappa, J. K., Singla, S., Al Ameen, M., Rakshith, S. C., & Kumar, N. (2013). Correlation of ultrasonographic parameters with serum creatinine in chronic kidney disease. *Journal of clinical imaging science*, 33, 1-6.
- Singh, A., Gupta, K., Chander, R., & Vira, M. (2016). Sonographic grading of renal cortical echogenicity and raised serum creatinine in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Journal of evolution of medical and dental sciences*, 5(38), 2279-2287. doi:10.14260/jemds/2016/530
- 25. Platt, J. F., Rubin, J. M., Bowerman, R. A., & Marn, C. S. (1988). The inability to detect kidney disease on the basis of echogenicity. *American Journal of Roentgenology*, *151*(2), 317-319. doi:10.2214/ajr.151.2.317