Time to Reperfusion Therapies in ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction and Identification of Factors Responsible for Delays
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Abstract

Delay in initiation of reperfusion therapy (thrombolysis or primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention) has been shown to be the detrimental factor of worsened outcome from ST elevated acute myocardia infarction. The data on the actual delay in initiation of reperfusion therapy in India is sparse. This study was designed to assess the various factors responsible for the delays in starting reperfusion therapy in patients presenting with ST elevated acute myocardial infarction. The results of this study indicate that a large portion of the delay was prehospital delay from the onset of angina pain to patient visiting the hospital. Factors responsible for this delay, which are totally preventable, were difficulty in arranging transport, lack of patient education and late recognition of symptom or its severity. This study also noted that there was a significant delay in hospital-door to ECG and hospital-door to thrombolytic or balloon angioplasty as well. Understandably, higher literacy level in urban patients (78.6%) played a major role in urban patients coming to hospital for treatment more than their rural counterparts.
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INTRODUCTION

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is the most common non-communicable disease in India and ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction (STEMI) is one of its most lethal presentations [1]. Globally, the care of STEMI patients has improved with gradually declining mortality rates due to implementation of guideline recommendation including shortening delays to implementation of specific therapies [2]. Delay in initiation of reperfusion therapy either thrombolysis or primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (PCI) has been shown to be clearly an important determinant of worsened outcome [3]. The data on the actual delay in initiation of reperfusion therapy in India is sparse. Systems of care for STEMI patients are also not very well developed as compared to international standards [1]. This prospective study was designed to determine the time delays at various levels of patient care that is responsible for delay in treatment of STEMI patient from onset of symptom to reperfusion in our region. We hope that the result of this study may help improve the systems of STEMI care in different parts of India as well as other regions of the developing world.

MATERIAL & METHODS

After obtaining clearance from Institutional Ethics Committee, we recruited total 56 consecutive patients who had been admitted to J.N. Medical College with acute STEMI between 1st August to 30th September 2019.

The Inclusion and Exclusion criteria were

Inclusion Criteria

a. Patients with acute STEMI.
b. Symptom onset outside hospital.
c. Age ≥ 18 yrs who gave written informed consent for participation in the study.

d. Patients presenting after 24 hours of onset of angina.
e. Patients who did not undergo any reperfusion therapy.
f. Patients with mechanical complications requiring urgent surgery.
g. Patients whose complete medical records were not available.
The Primary Objective of this study was to quantify the time delay from symptom onset to the institution of specific reperfusion therapies (Thrombolysis or Primary PCI) in patients of STEMI admitted at J. N. Medical College.

The Secondary objectives included identification of the various components of the time delays (symptom onset to first medical contact, first medical contact to needle/balloon times) and to determine the various factors responsible for treatment delays in current clinical practice.

To achieve primary and secondary objectives, detailed history were taken from the patients and their relatives regarding the symptoms pertaining to the index event and the following information were obtained:

a. Demographic parameters of the patients.
b. Patient’s educational status.
c. Date and time of onset of angina.
d. Time of reaching J.N. Medical College emergency & reasons for the delay.
e. Time of admission to Coronary Care Unit (CCU).
f. Time taken from admission to hospital to ECG.
g. Time of start of thrombolysis or successful performance of primary PCI (restoration of coronary flow).
h. History of pre-existing cardiac or other illnesses.

Any recurrent episodes of MI in a single patient were considered as separate events and were analyzed as such.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Overall symptom onset to reperfusion therapy time was calculated and expressed as mean, SD and median with percentiles in tables. All qualitative variables were statistically analyzed with chi-square or Fischer test wherever applicable. P< 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

We recruited total of 56 consecutive patients presenting between 1st August to 30th September 2019 with STEMI presenting to J.N. Medical College emergency department. All these patients had reliable complete history and other relevant medical records fulfilling the inclusion and exclusion criteria mentioned in the methodology. Out of these 56 patients, 42 patients received thrombolysis as their reperfusion therapy and 14 patients had Primary PCI as their reperfusion therapy.

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of patients presenting with acute myocardial infarction and patients who underwent any form of reperfusion therapy. Majority of the patients were literate males. Diabetes, hypertension and smoking were co-morbid conditions associated with these patients in up to 41% patients.

Table 2 is showing the delays associated with treatment at various levels. As can be seen in the group of patients presenting to our J.N. Medical college, prehospital delays were significant with median prehospital delay of 5.6 h and a major portion of this delay was patient decision delay with a median of about 4.1 h. Transportation delays were also substantial with median of 90 min. Proportion of patients reaching to the hospital within 6 h of onset of angina was 48%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean±SD or Number (%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (Yr)</td>
<td>42.6±10.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males : Females</td>
<td>42 (75) : 14 (25)</td>
<td>P= 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban : Rural</td>
<td>36 (64.3) : 20 (35.7)</td>
<td>P= 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterates : Literate</td>
<td>12 (21.4) : 44 (78.6)</td>
<td>P= 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>20 (35.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>23 (41.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>21 (37.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past H/o CAD</td>
<td>7 (12.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reperfusion therapy done at FMC center</td>
<td>34 (60.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The easing system delay and in or portion of reported failure to make an early decision to visit the hospital. Similar prehospital delays have been previously documented in other studies from India [11, 12]. This is significantly longer than pre hospital delays reported internationally averaging around 2-3 hour [11]. We did not find any association with age or gender with treatment delays in this study.

Patients of rural background who reported at our medical center constituted only 35.7% of the total patients studied. This could possibly be attributed to the poor educational background and problems of arranging transportation to the nearest hospital. In the absence of state arranged transport, our patients informed us that they had to utilize public transport at exorbitant cost to reach to the hospital. Not only arranging a transport of the patient difficult in rural areas but patient’s financial status also has an important implication in prehospital delays. Many of our patients with poor finances were hesitant in coming to hospital to avoid expenditure. Also, some of them reported that they tried to arrange finances before coming to hospital that took time.

Patient education regarding CAD has important bearing on pre hospital delays as can be seen by the association of longer delays in patients without a prior diagnosis of CAD. We also noted that there was also a significant association of symptom misinterpretation, failure of symptom recognition with prehospital delays.

**CONCLUSION**

This study shows that the major portion of delay in the treatment of the patient is prehospital delay from onset of angina to presentation to the hospital. Similar delay has also been documented from other centers in India.
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