# A Study of Dexmedetomidine as a General Anesthetic Adjuvant in Patients Undergoing Abdominal Surgeries

#### Dr. N. Chandra Shekar\*

Associate Professor, Department of Anesthesia, Rajiv Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences and Hospital [RIMS], Adilabad, Telangana, India

\*Corresponding author Dr. N. Chandra Shekar

**Article History** *Received:* 15.05.2018 *Accepted:* 23.05.2018 *Published:* 30.05.2018

**DOI:** 10.36348/sjm.2018.v03i05.008



INTRODUCTION

Dexmedetomidine is a highly selective  $\alpha_2 A$  receptor antagonist possessing sedative, hypnotic, anxiolytic, sympatholytic and analgesic properties without producing significant respiratory depression [1-4] In the CNS the activation of the  $\alpha_2$  receptors leads to sedation and reduction of tonic sympathetic outflow and augmentation of cardiac vagal activity [5, 6]. This can result in a decrease in heart rate (HR) and cardiac output (CO) [7, 8]. It has the ability to reduce both anesthetic and opioid analgesic required during the perioperative periods [9-11]. The analgesic activity of  $\alpha_2$  agonists seems to be mediated by both supraspinal and spinal mechanisms. It is thought that central  $\alpha_2$  adrenoreceptors in the locus ceruleus and in the dorsal

Available online: www.saudijournals.com/journal/sjm/home

Abstract: Adjuvants are commonly used during general anesthesia in order to reduce the requirements of the general anesthetics. Dexmedetomidine is one of an important general anesthetic adjuvant used because of its useful properties like sedative, analgesic and anxiolytic. It has other important useful properties like cardioprotection, neuroprotection and minimal respiratory depression. We in the present study tried to evaluate the efficacy of dexmedetomidine in different concentration when used as an infusion during general anesthesia in patients undergoing routine abdominal surgeries. Methods: the study was conducted in Rajiv Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences and Hospital [RIMS], Adilabad. The patients were selected from those undergoing abdominal surgeries. They were randomly divided into three groups. Group A (n=20) patients acting as controls received IV saline 10ml. Group B received Dexmedetomidine 0.5 µg/Kg/hr IV and Group C received Dexmedetomidine 1 µg/Kg/hr IV. Anesthesia was maintained with N2O to O2 mixture of 60:40. MAP values were maintained within 25% of the baseline values. Recovery times from tracheal extubation, modified Alderete score, VAS scores, tolerating liquids, and passage of flatus was noted. Results: The mean duration of surgery in Group A was 145.45 ± 20.06 minutes, mean duration of anesthesia was  $180 \pm 25$  minutes. The mean duration of infusion of dexmedetomidine was  $160 \pm 20$ . For group B the values were  $130.12 \pm 24.75$ ,  $160.0 \pm 22.0$ , and  $140 \pm 90$ minutes respectively. The values for group C were  $121.59 \pm 18.16$ ,  $145 \pm 26$  and  $125 \pm$ 15minutes. The P values between group A and C were significant in Mean duration of anesthesia and mean duration of infusion. The time to suction catheter response was significantly higher in Group C. The modified Aldrete scores in Group B and Group C were found to be significant when compared to Group A similarly postoperative nausea and vomiting was significantly lesser in group C and VAS scores of pain were also significantly lesser in the Group C as compared to Group A and B. conclusion: Dexmedetomidine is low concentrations may be useful to provide sedation and mild analgesia at the same time preserving the cardiovascular and respiratory functions. Therefore dexmedetomidine when used as a general anesthetic adjuvant during routine abdominal surgeries reduces the sevoflurane and opioid requirements and results in better recovery of the patients.

Keywords: Dexmedetomidine, Abdominal surgeries, Anesthetic adjuvant.

horn of the spinal cord are involved in this activity [12, 13] Dexmedetomidine has been used during anesthetic practices like sedation during mechanical ventilation, postoperative anxiolysis, prevention of emergence agitation and treatments of substance withdrawal [14 -16]. Several studies have reported the benefits of including dexmedetomidine neuroprotection, cardioprotection, and renoprotection [17]. Because of its central sympatholytic actions dexmedetomidine decreases the mean arterial blood pressure [MAP] and heart rate [HR] by reducing nor-epinephrine release [18, 19]. Due to the useful blunting hemodynamic responses in the perioperative period it is used along with the general anesthetics. The IV doses varying from 0.25  $\mu g/kg$  to 1  $\mu g/kg$  for attenuating intubation response

[20-23] the optimum dose for attenuating the pressor response seems to be 1 µg/kg [1] Dexmedetomidine does not delay recovery or prolonged sedation when boluses are administered before induction or before extubation. Bradycardia and hypotension are considered major side effects of dexmedetomidine infusion rapidly. Bradycardia is due to reflex response for hypertension during an initial part of infusion. The subsequent decrease in heart rate is due to decrease in sympathetic outflow [24]. Hypertensive responses have been observed with higher doses  $(1-4 \mu g/kg)$ . This is due to initial stimulation of  $\alpha$ -2B receptors present in vascular smooth muscles. This hypertensive episode settles once there is a decrease in the central sympathetic outflow. Dexmedetomidine infusions continued during postoperative periods have been associated with lesser hemodynamic fluctuations and decrease in plasma catecholamines [25] A Gurbet et al; have shown that continuous IV dexmedetomidine administration during abdominal surgeries significantly reduces the amount of PCA morphine that patients require to remain comfortable postoperatively, without affecting time to extubation. Intraoperative, systemically administered dexmedetomidine was also associated with fewer morphine-related side effects compared with placebo. [9] With this background we aimed to evaluate the effects of dexmedetomidine when used as an adjuvant in two concentrations 0.5 mcg/Kg and 1.0mcg/kg in randomly selected patients undergoing elective abdominal surgeries.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was performed in Rajiv Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences [RIMS], Adilabad. Institutional Ethical committee permission was obtained prior to the study. Informed consent was obtained from all the patients regarding the procedure. Fifty (n=50) patients age ranging from (23-50 years) were included in the study. All were undergoing elective abdominal surgeries. They belonged to ASA classification I/II free from medical conditions like hypertension, diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease, respiratory diseases. The (n=50) patients were randomly divided into three groups, Group A (n=20) received normal saline 10ml IV, Group B (n=15) received Dexmedetomidine 0.5 µg/Kg/hr IV and group C (n=15) Dexmedetomidine 1.0 µg/Kg/hr IV. Glycopyrrolate 4µg/Kg, IM, midazolam 0.03 mg/kg, Ondansetron 4mg IV was given prior to anesthesia. Intraoperative monitoring devices included noninvasive arterial blood pressure, electrocardiography and pulse oximetry were used. Patients were randomly selected and received Normal saline 10ml in group A and a loading dose of dexmedetomidine infusion was 1µg/Kg was started and continued for 15 minutes. After 15 minutes dexmedetomidine infusion was maintained to 0.5 µg/Kg in group B and 1.0 µg/Kg in group C. Anesthesia was induced with propofol 5 mg IV

incremental doses to reach entropy value of 40-60, Eyelash reflex was checked. Succinylcholine 1.5 mg/kg was administered IV to facilitate intubation. The patients were intubated with appropriate sized endotracheal tube orally and placement was confirmed with auscultation and End-tidal CO<sub>2</sub> concentration.

Anesthesia was maintained with sevoflurane with O<sub>2</sub> (1.5 L/min) and N<sub>2</sub>O (1.5 L/min) and with N<sub>2</sub>O to  $O_2$  mixture of 60:40. Anesthetic depth was maintained to reach the target value of around 40 by manipulating sevoflurane vaporizer setting. The intraoperative anesthetic requirement was gauged by hemodynamics (HR and BP, the endpoint for the requirement for both was considered a 20% increase from baseline value). Ventilation was controlled to maintain End Tidal CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 30-35 mmHg. Hemodynamic values were recorded at the time of induction of anesthesia, at the 5 min of tracheal intubation, at skin incision and MAP values were maintained within 25% of the baseline values. Upon the completion of wound closure, sevoflurane was discontinued and the inspired oxygen flow rate was maintained to 5 L/min. The time from discontinuation of sevoflurane to eye-opening, obeying commands were recorded. After emergence from anesthesia, patients were administered Fentanyl 25-20 g IV boluses to control post-operative acute pain. Finally, recovery times from tracheal extubation to ambulation without assistance, tolerating liquids, and passage of flatus was also noted.

## RESULTS

The total number of patients involved in the present study was (n=50) they were divided randomly into three groups. Group A having (n=20) patients they were taken as controls they received they received IV saline 10ml. Group B having (n=15) taken as received Dexmedetomidine 0.5 µg/Kg/hr IV. Group C having (n=15) patients received Dexmedetomidine 1.0 µg/Kg/hr IV. The most common surgical procedure patients were undergoing was appendectomy followed each of cholecystectomies by 9 cases and hysterectomies. The mean duration of surgery in Group A was  $145.45 \pm 20.06$  minutes, mean duration of anesthesia was  $180 \pm 25$  minutes. The mean duration of infusion of Dexmedetomidine was  $160 \pm 20$ . For group B the values were  $130.12 \pm 24.75$ ,  $160.0 \pm 22.0$ , and  $140 \pm 90$  minutes respectively. The values for group C were  $121.59 \pm 18.16$ ,  $145 \pm 26$  and  $125 \pm 15$ minutes. The P values between group A and C were significant in Mean duration of anesthesia and mean duration of infusion (table 1) The end-tidal concentration of sevoflurane was significantly lower in Group C during the surgery. After tracheal intubation MAP and HR were significantly increased in control group but remained unchanged in Group A and Group C.

Age Groups year Group 37.5 A 12.9	s M/F ± 13/7	ASA I/II 9/11	Ty Append- ectomy 15	pe of surgery Cholecys tectomy	Hystere ctomy	Mean Duration of surgery (min)	Mean Duration of Anesthesia	Mean duration of
Groups year Group 37.5	s M/F ± 13/7	I/II	ectomy	tectomy	v			
Group 37.5	± 13/7				ctomy	curgary (min)		
- · · · ·		9/11	15	2	l l	surgery (mm)	(min)	infusion
A 12.9	2		-	3	2	145.45 ±	180 ± 25	$160 \pm 20$
						20.06		
(n=20)								
Group 41.6	± 7/8	8/7	9	3	3	130.12 ±	160 ± 22	140 ± 19
В 12.0	6					24.75		
(n=15)								
Group 41 ±	6/9	5/10	8	3	4	121.59 ±	145 ± 26	125 ± 15
C 8.94	1					18.16		
(n=15)								
P >0	5	>0.5	>0.5	>0.5	>0.5	0.1	0.04*	0.05*
values								

#### N. Chandra Shekar., Saudi J. Med., Vol-3, Iss-5 (May, 2018): 224-228

\* Significant

The recovery profiles of the patients after the surgical procedures were recorded that included time to obey verbal commands, time to respond to suction catheter, time to complete tracheal extubation after turning off the vaporizer. The observations were made according to modified Aldrete scores [26] and occurrence of postoperative nausea and vomiting [PNOV] and postoperative pain by visual analog scales (0= no pain 10= worst possible pain). The parameters

were recorded by independent observers unaware to which group the patient belongs. The time to suction catheter response was significantly higher in Group C. The modified Aldrete scores in Group B and Group C were found to be significant when compared to Group A similarly postoperative nausea and vomiting were significantly lesser in group C and VAS scores of pain were also significantly lesser in the Group C as compared to Group A and B given in table 2.

Table-2: Recovery profiles of the patients with Aldrete Scores, VAS, and PNOV

Recovery Variables	Group A	Group B	Group C
	$(Mean \pm SD)$	$(Mean \pm SD)$	$(Mean \pm SD)$
Time to obey verbal commands (min)	5.5 ± 3.5	$6.5 \pm 2.5$	5.5 ± 3.0
Time to suction catheter response (min)	8.81 ± 2.5	9.10 ± 1.5	9.59 ± 2.97*
Time to tracheal extubation	13.8 ± 4.0	11.5 ± 3.5	$10.5 \pm 4.0$
Modified Aldrete Score at recovery room > 8 (min)	18.5 ± 9.5	8.5 ± 5.5*	6.75 ± 4.5*
PNOV	3.8	3.27	1.94*
Visual Analogue Scale [VAS] (0-10)	5.6 ± 1.5	4.9 ± 1.16*	4.2 ± 1.5*
Time for ambulation (hrs)	$10.00 \pm 1.5$	9.5 ± 1.5	9.0 ± 1.0
Time for oral intake (hrs)	$20.0 \pm 6.0$	$18.10 \pm 4.5$	17.5 ± 5.5
Time for passing flatus (hrs)	$30.0 \pm 4.5$	$28.80 \pm 6.5$	30.5 ± 9.5

\* Significant p-value

## DISCUSSION

Dexmedetomidine is an imidazole compound with specific  $\alpha_2$  adrenergic receptor antagonism [27]. It binds with transmembrane G protein binding receptors in the brain and spinal cord with dose-dependent  $\alpha_2$ selectivity [28]. Stimulation of the  $\alpha_2$  adrenoreceptor subtypes mediates sedative and antinociceptive actions  $\alpha_{2A}$ , vasoconstrictive cardiovascular effect  $\alpha_{2B}$ , and neurotransmission, modulating dopaminergic hypothermia, and variety of behavior response  $\alpha_{2C}$  [29]. Compared with other sedative Dexmedetomidine has favorable properties as it provides good sedation without respiratory depression. In the present study we investigated the effect of Dexmedetomidine in two concentrations 0.5 µgm/Kg and 1.0 µgm/Kg compared with control Group A that did not received Dexmedetomidine. The sedation produced by Dexmedetomidine in Group B and Group C was found

to be better in our study. In a similar study by Aantaa et al., [11] using Dexmedetomidine in dose of 0.5 µgm/Kg and 1.0 µgm/Kg found the Dexmedetomidine induced sedation within 5 minutes and reached its maximum effects in 15 minutes. We in the present study had similar observations probably because of the same concentrations of Dexmedetomidine being used in our study. Dexmedetomidine has been shown to produce biphasic hemodynamic responses during its use, vasodilation due to its pre-synaptic effect on sympathetic nervous system at high concentration and vasoconstriction by postsynaptic effect on vascular system at low concentration the net effect is initial rise in MAP followed by reduction in MAP and HR [30] This study did not found any such effect because of the low doses used and also because of slow rate of infusion of Dexmedetomidine causing slow rise in the plasma concentration. In the present study the

concentrations of 0.5µgm/Kg and 1.0 µgm/Kg were effective in blunting hemodynamic response to intubation as compared to controls produced during intubation. Gaurishanker RM et al., [31] found that low doses of Dexmedetomidine 0.4 µgm/Kg in patients undergoing laparoscopic Cholecystectomies found that Dex was effective in attenuating the responses to intubation agreeing with our findings. In this study we found that the there was 45.5% reduction in the induction dose of propofol in group B and 59.5% reduction of dose of propofol in Group C. Poonam et al; [32] in a similar study found 62.5% reduction in propofol with Dexmedetomidine infusion of 1.0 µgm/Kg and the Isoflurane requirements were reduced by 30% to maintain the same depth of anesthesia. In our study end tidal Sevoflurane concentration and Sevoflurane consumption was 40.5 ml in group A, 31.5 ml in Group B and 25.5ml in group C to produce similar DOA. Similar observations were also made by HW Shin et al., [28] Among the recovery variables in the present study the time to suction catheter response was significantly lesser in the Group C as compared to group A and modified Aldrete scores > 8 at the recovery room was reached earlier in group C followed by group B and group A similar observations has been made by Chirag RP et al., using dex in concentration of  $0.2 - 0.8 \mu \text{gm/Kg}$  with Sevoflurane anesthesia [33]. The VAS scores were lower in Group B and Group C better analgesic effects indicating when Dexmedetomidine was used. No significant differences were found when other variables were compared such as time for ambulation, oral intake of fluids and passing of flatus. Therefore it may be concluded that Dexmedetomidine at lower doses when used as adjuvant to general anesthesia reduced the amount of anesthetics and opioid requirements and produces more rapid recovery from anesthesia. Patients were able to return to baseline level of consciousness early in dexmedetomidine infusion groups.

### CONCLUSION

Within the limitations of the present study, it can be concluded that Dexmedetomidine is low concentrations may be useful to provide sedation and mild analgesia at the same time preserving the cardiovascular and respiratory functions. Therefore Dexmedetomidine when used as a general anesthetic adjuvant during routine abdominal surgeries reduces the sevoflurane and opioid requirements and results in better recovery of the patients.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Talke, P., Richardson, C. A., Scheinin, M., & Fisher, D. M. (1997). Postoperative pharmacokinetics and sympatholytic effects of dexmedetomidine. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 85(5), 1136-1142.
- Ebert, T. J., Hall, J. E., Barney, J. A., Uhrich, T. D., & Colinco, M. D. (2000). The effects of increasing plasma concentrations of dexmedetomidine in

humans. Anesthesiology: The Journal of the American Society of Anesthesiologists, 93(2), 382-394.

- Hall, J. E., Uhrich, T. D., Barney, J. A., Arain, S. R., & Ebert, T. J. (2000). Sedative, amnestic, and analgesic properties of small-dose dexmedetomidine infusions. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 90(3), 699-705.
- 4. Paris, A., & Tonner, P. H. (2005). Dexmedetomidine in anaesthesia. *Current Opinion in Anesthesiology*, *18*(4), 412-418.
- Muzi, M., Goff, D. R., Kampine, J. P., Roerig, D. L., & Ebert, T. J. (1992). Clonidine reduces sympathetic activity but maintains baroreflex responses in normotensive humans. *Anesthesiology*, 77(5), 864-871.
- Bloor, B. C., Ward, D. S., Belleville, J. P., & Maze, M. (1992). Effects of intravenous dexmedetomidine in humans. II. Hemodynamic changes. *Anesthesiology*, 77(6), 1134-1142.
- Bloor, B. C., Ward, D. S., Belleville, J. P., & Maze, M. (1992). Effects of intravenous dexmedetomidine in humans. II. Hemodynamic changes. *Anesthesiology*, 77(6), 1134-1142.
- 8. Schmeling WT, Kampine JP, Roerig DL, Warltier DC: The effects of the stereoisomers of the a2adrenergic agonist, medetomidine, on systemic and coronary hemodynamics in conscious dogs. Anesthesiology 1991; 75:499–11.
- 9. Gurbet, A., Basagan-Mogol, E., Turker, G., Ugun, F., Kaya, F. N., & Ozcan, B. (2006). Intraoperative infusion of dexmedetomidine reduces perioperative analgesic requirements. *Canadian Journal of Anesthesia*, *53*(7), 646.
- Aho, M., Erkola, O., Kallio, A., Scheinin, H., & Korttila, K. (1992). Dexmedetomidine infusion for maintenance of anesthesia in patients undergoing abdominal hysterectomy. *Anesthesia and analgesia*, 75(6), 940-946.
- Aantaa, R., Jaakola, M. L., Kallio, A., & Kanto, J. (1997). Reduction of the minimum alveolar concentration of isoflurane by dexmedetomidine. *Anesthesiology: The Journal of the American Society of Anesthesiologists*, 86(5), 1055-1060.
- 12. Guo, T. Z., Jiang, J. Y., Buttermann, A. E., & Maze, M. (1996). Dexmedetomidine injection into the locus ceruleus produces antinociception. *Anesthesiology: The Journal of the American Society of Anesthesiologists*, 84(4), 873-881.
- De, M. K., Crochet, B., Morimont, C., & Scholtes, J. L. (1993). Intravenous or epidural clonidine for intra-and postoperative analgesia. *Anesthesiology*, 79(3), 525-531.
- 14. Venn, R. M., Hell, J., & Grounds, R. M. (2000). Respiratory effects of dexmedetomidine in the surgical patient requiring intensive care. *Critical Care*, 4(5), 302.

#### N. Chandra Shekar., Saudi J. Med., Vol-3, Iss-5 (May, 2018): 224-228

- 15. Patel, A., Davidson, M., Tran, M. C., Quraishi, H., Schoenberg, C., Sant, M., ... & Sun, X. (2010). Dexmedetomidine infusion for analgesia and prevention of emergence agitation in children with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome undergoing tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, *111*(4), 1004-1010.
- Carollo, D. S., Nossaman, B. D., & Ramadhyani, U. (2008). Dexmedetomidine: a review of clinical applications. *Current Opinion in Anesthesiology*, 21(4), 457-461.
- 17. Chrysostomou, C., & Schmitt, C. G. (2008). Dexmedetomidine: sedation, analgesia and beyond. *Expert opinion on drug metabolism & toxicology*, 4(5), 619-627.
- Talke, P., Chen, R., Thomas, B., Aggarwall, A., Gottlieb, A., Thorborg, P., ... & Kallio, A. (2000). The hemodynamic and adrenergic effects of perioperative dexmedetomidine infusion after vascular surgery. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 90(4), 834-839.
- 19. Guler, G., Akin, A., Tosun, Z., Eskitascoglu, E., Mizrak, A., & Boyaci, A. (2005). Single-dose dexmedetomidine attenuates airway and circulatory reflexes during extubation. *Acta anaesthesiologica scandinavica*, 49(8), 1088-1091.
- Bloor, B. C., Ward, D. S., Belleville, J. P., & Maze, M. (1992). Effects of intravenous dexmedetomidine in humans. II. Hemodynamic changes. *Anesthesiology*, 77(6), 1134-1142.
- Ebert, T. J., Hall, J. E., Barney, J. A., Uhrich, T. D., & Colinco, M. D. (2000). The effects of increasing plasma concentrations of dexmedetomidine in humans. *Anesthesiology: The Journal of the American Society of Anesthesiologists*, 93(2), 382-394.
- 22. Bekker, A., Sturaitis, M., Bloom, M., Moric, M., Golfinos, J., Parker, E., ... & Pitti, A. (2008). The effect of dexmedetomidine on perioperative hemodynamics in patients undergoing craniotomy. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 107(4), 1340-1347.
- Sağıroğlu, A. E., Celik, M., Orhon, Z., Yüzer, S., & Sen, B. (2010). Different doses of dexmedetomidine on controlling haemodynamic responses to tracheal intubation. *Internet J Anesthesiol*, 27(2).
- 24. Sudheesh, K., & Harsoor, S. S. (2011). Dexmedetomidine in anaesthesia practice: A wonder drug?. *Indian journal of anaesthesia*, 55(4), 323.
- 25. Venn, R. M., Hell, J., & Grounds, R. M. (2000). Respiratory effects of dexmedetomidine in the surgical patient requiring intensive care. *Critical Care*, 4(5), 302.
- 26. Aldrete, J. A. (1995). The post-anesthesia recovery score revisited. *Journal of clinical anesthesia*, 7(1), 89-91.
- 27. Maze, M., Virtanen, R., Daunt, D., Banks, S. J., Stover, E. P., & Feldman, D. (1991). Effects of

Available online: http://scholarsmepub.com/sjm/

dexmedetomidine, a novel imidazole sedativeanesthetic agent, on adrenal steroidogenesis: in vivo and in vitro studies. *Anesthesia and analgesia*, 73(2), 204-208.

- Shin, H. W., Yoo, H. N., Kim, D. H., Lee, H., Shin, H. J., & Lee, H. W. (2013). Preanesthetic dexmedetomidine 1 μg/kg single infusion is a simple, easy, and economic adjuvant for general anesthesia. *Korean journal of anesthesiology*, 65(2), 114-120.
- 29. Arcangeli, A., D'Alo, C., & Gaspari, R. (2009). Dexmedetomidine use in general anaesthesia. *Current drug targets*, *10*(8), 687-695.
- 30. Snapir, A., Posti, J., Kentala, E., Koskenvuo, J., Sundell, J., Tuunanen, H., ... & Scheinin, M. (2006). Effects of low and high plasma concentrations of dexmedetomidine on myocardial perfusion and cardiac function in healthy male subjects. *Anesthesiology: The Journal of the American Society of Anesthesiologists*, 105(5), 902-910.
- Manne, G. R., Upadhyay, M. R., & Swadia, V. N. (2014). Effects of low dose dexmedetomidine infusion on haemodynamic stress response, sedation and post-operative analgesia requirement in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Indian journal of anaesthesia*, 58(6), 726.
- 32. Ghodki, P. S., Thombre, S. K., Sardesai, S. P., & Harnagle, K. D. (2012). Dexmedetomidine as an anesthetic adjuvant in laparoscopic surgery: An observational study using entropy monitoring. *Journal of anaesthesiology, clinical pharmacology, 28*(3), 334.
- Patel, C. R., Engineer, S. R., Shah, B. J., & Madhu, S. (2012). Effect of intravenous infusion of dexmedetomidine on perioperative haemodynamic changes and postoperative recovery: A study with entropy analysis. *Indian journal of anaesthesia*, 56(6), 542.