Per Oral Endoscopic Myotomy in Treatment of Moroccan Patients with Achalasia: Preliminary Result

Mohamed Acharki 1*, Soumaya Merzouk 1, Mouna Salihoun 1, Ilham Serraj 1, Nawal Kabbaj 1

1 EFD-Hepatogastroenterology Unit, Ibn Sina Hospital, Mohammed V University, Rabat, Morocco

DOI: 10.36348/sjmps.2023.v09i09.002

*Corresponding author: Mohamed Acharki
EFD-Hepatogastroenterology Unit, Ibn Sina Hospital, Mohammed V University, Rabat, Morocco

Abstract

Background and Objectives: Endoscopic myotomy is a recent technique that did spread quickly across high-income countries. The aim of this study is to report the experience of our department regarding the practice of POEM. Study design: It is a retrospective study including 13 patients with achalasia treated by POEM at the Department of Digestive Functional Explorations (EFD-HGE) of University Hospital Center Ibn Sina of Rabat, between January 2017 and June 2023. There was a break during the COVID19 pandemic and the endoscopic activity was stopped for a while. Results: The median age was 40, 46. Women were more affected than men: 69% and 31% respectively. Only 15% of cases had previous treatment (pneumatic dilation/surgical myotomy). The clinical success rate reached 84.6%. The mean of Eckardt scores decreased from 8 at baseline to 3 at 2 months. The IRP’s mean decreased from 23.9 mmHg to 15.6 mmHg after the gesture. The post-POEM reflux rate was less than 16%, but the rate of serious adverse events was relatively high. Conclusions: POEM is an effective procedure to treat achalasia with a low morbidity, provided that it is carried out in an expert center with suitable and good quality material.
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BACKGROUND

Endoscopic peroral myotomy was performed for the first time in Japan in 2008 by Professor Haru Inoue to treat achalasia. Since then multiple studies have reported the effectiveness of this technique in the short and long term.

It is a promising technique but has some constraints. Indeed, it requires special training, and an adequate technical platform with expensive equipment, and can expose to multiple complications of which GERD remains the most common.

This study evaluate the therapeutic efficacy and morbidity of POEM, which was carried out in a center with modest experience in Endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) and third space therapy.

METHODS

13 cases of achalasia treated by POEM, between January 2017 and June 2023, were retrospectively included. It is noted that endoscopic activity was stopped (except for urgent procedures) during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic between 2019 and 2020.

The assessment of dysphagic patients included esogastroscopy and esophageal manometry. The majority also had an esophagram (Fig.1).

The analysis of the manometry results was carried out by MMS 9.5 software, so lower esophageal sphincter (LES) integrated relaxation pressure (IRP) was considered abnormal when it was more than 21mmHg.
Patients were placed on a liquid diet 3 days before the procedure. POEM was performed under CO2 insufflator on the posterior or posterolateral side of the esophagus. The incision was made between 6 and 12 cm above the Z line, then the dissection was carried on until 2 or 3 cm under the esophagogastric junction (EGJ) where we could see the penetrations vessels. Myotomy was performed by a triangular or hook knife. Usually between 5 and 7 endoclips were used to close the mucosa incision.

The median operation time was 90 minutes (range 80 to 150 min). Patients were treated with bi-antibiotic therapy and proton pump inhibitors (PPIs). Liquid diet was started after 3 days and regular diet after 2 or 3 weeks. Length of hospital stay was a median of 6 days (range 5 to 12 days). Clinical monitoring was based on the evaluation of the Eckardt score at 2 months post-operative, then at 1 year and 2 years. The esophageal manometry at 6 months could not be performed in all patients due to lack of means and accessibility.

 RESULTS

As shown in Table 1, the median age was 40.5 years [extremes: 20 and 62 years]. Women were more affected than men: 69% and 31% respectively, the sex ratio was 0.44 H/F. Only 15% of cases (2/13) had previous treatment: one case had a history of pneumatic dilation and the second one was treated previously by Heller myotomy. Weight loss was a common sign (85% of cases). Half of the patients had regurgitations but only 8% of the cases reported a nighttime cough.

The type I of achalasia (61.5%) was the most frequent, followed by patients with type II (30.8%) and finally 1 case with type III of achalasia (7.7%) according to the Chicago classification system. The mean of Eckardt’s scores before procedure was 8 (range 4 to11). The median total length of the endoscopic myotomy was 7.3 cm (range 5 to 10 cm).

The clinical success rate reached 84.6%. The mean of Eckardt’s scores decreased after two months to 3 (Fig.2), and after 2 years to 1. The IRP’s mean decreased from 23.9 mmHg to 15.6 mmHg after the gesture (Fig.3).
We report the occurrence of perioperatives complications. As shown in Table 2: two cases of mucosal injuries, they were closed by endoclips, and two cases of distal cap detachment in the submucosal tunnel, one case had an endoscopic extraction (Fig. 4) and the second one had a surgical extraction due to impaction of the cap (Fig. 5). The POEM procedures were accomplished successfully in all cases despite the occurrence of some adverses events.
Figure 5: Endoscopic Cap (arrow) trapped in the esophageal submucosal tunnel. A. CT image before extraction; B. after surgical extraction.

Table 2: Procedure-Related Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>median operating time, min</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myotomy length, cm</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucosal tear</td>
<td>2 (15.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>detachment of the cap</td>
<td>2 (15.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capno-peritoneum (requiring needle exsufflation)</td>
<td>3 (23.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural effusion</td>
<td>4 (30.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empyema</td>
<td>1 (7.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hospital stay, d</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The postoperative complications were dominated by pneumoperitoneum (38%) followed by pleural effusion in about 31% of cases. A patient was re-admitted after two weeks for management of fever and deterioration of general condition, the initial post-POEM CT esophagram (before his discharge) didn’t show postoperative leak while the recent radiological examinations found left empyema (Fig. 6), this case has been treated by esophageal exclusion.

Figure 6: chest CT images showing pleural collection with air-fluid level (signs for empyema).
Two cases of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) were encountered. The upper endoscopy found stage 1 and 2 esophagitis. They were put on long-term proton pump inhibitor (PPI) therapy with good clinical evolution.

DISCUSSION

Multiples studies, including two meta-analyses of over 1000 patients each, have demonstrated the short-term success of POEM in reducing Eckardt scores and LES pressures [1, 2]. Clinical success generally exceeds 90%, with a few rare exceptions [3, 4] (Table 3).

Table 3: A comparison between previous monocentric studies and the current study (technical aspects and success rate)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Participants (N)</th>
<th>Site of myotomy</th>
<th>Previous treatments (%)</th>
<th>Myotomy length (cm)</th>
<th>Operating time (min)</th>
<th>Eckardt score before/after POEM</th>
<th>Clinical success (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Costamagna et al., 2012 [5]</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Ant</td>
<td>18 (44%)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>7/1</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungness et al., 2013 [4]</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Ant</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>7/1</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inoue et al., 2015 [6]</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Ant (98%)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>6/1</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramchandani et al., 2018 [7]</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Ant (50%) Post (50%)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>7/0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xu et al., 2021 [8]</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>Post</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6.9/1</td>
<td>95.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modayil et al., 2021 [9]</td>
<td>610 (Achalasia =561)</td>
<td>Ant / Post (44%) (56%)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>7/0.5</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The current study 2023</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Post</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>8/3</td>
<td>84.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The clinical success has been found to be comparable between the anterior and the posterior orientations of myotomy in all the trials [10, 11]. Initially introduced as an anterior approach for potential surgical correction of the possible complications, POEM is nowadays increasingly performed via a posterior approach [12, 13].

POEM is a valuable second-line approach, several published studies [14-17] demonstrated that POEM was equally efficacious and safe in achalasia patients with and without previous surgical or endoscopic intervention.

No procedure-related deaths have been reported to date. The reported incidence of Adverts Events associated with POEM procedure has been variable and ranged between 0 and 72.2% [18, 19]. There is no consensus about the terminology of Adverts Events. Not all studies considered the development of subcutaneous emphysema, asymptomatic capnoperitoneum/capnothorax, and mediastinal emphysema as Adverts Events. Most of POEM-related complications can be managed conservatively.

Table 4: Procedure-related adverse events of comparative studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Participants (N)</th>
<th>Average hospital stay (In days)</th>
<th>Mucosal injury (%)</th>
<th>Capnoperitoneum requiring decompression (%)</th>
<th>Pleural effusion (%)</th>
<th>GERD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Costamagna et al., 2012 [5]</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inoue et al., 2015 [6]</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramchandani et al., 2018 [7]</td>
<td>30 (Ant) 30 (Post)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xu et al., 2021 [8]</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modayil et al., 2021 [9]</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The current study 2023</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Three cases of empyema caused by achalasia was reported secondary to aspiration pneumonia [20-22]. Empyema was rarely reported as adverts events of surgical or endoscopic achalasia treatment [18, 23-25]. The post POEM empyema reported by Chavez et al., [18] was caused by severe esophageal leak and did require thoracotomy and chest tube insertion.

The early stages of oesophageal leakage is difficult to diagnosis. Radiology (Chest CT scans and esophageal X-ray) remains the cornerstone of leakage evaluation, but they are also not completely reliable in detecting small leaks. In Zhang et al., study [26], all leakages were detected by endoscopic exploration. Patients with esophageal leakage after POEM can achieve complete recovery in a short time without
CONCLUSION
POEM is an effective and safe procedure to treat achalasia, provided that it is carried out in an expert center with suitable and good quality material. Endoscopic monitoring after the intervention may be necessary in order to prevent the progression of minor and moderate complications.
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