Minimal Hepatic Encephalopathy among Cirrhotics A Cross Sectional, Clinico-Epidemiological, Multi-Centre, Study in Patients of Pakistan
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8Consultant Gastroenterologist, Hearts International Hepatic Encephalopathy Score (PHES) examination to diagnose MHE. Subjects with an abnormal PHES score of ≤ 5 or less were diagnosed with MHE. Subjects with established MHE and those without MHE were assessed for quality of life (QoL). QoL was assessed for Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQoL) using the SF-36 questionnaire. The proportions for the physical component scores (PCS) and mental component scores (MCS) of the SF-36 were calculated and compared. Among the diagnostic scores the mean MMSE score was 27.5 ± 1.8. Of these subjects, 451 were found to have a MMSE score of 24 or higher and were administered the PHES examination. Two hundred and fifty-six (256) subjects (56.8%) had an abnormal score of ≤ -5 and were diagnosed as having minimal hepatic encephalopathy (MHE). Subjects were tested for quality of life through SF-36 questionnaire. Subjects with established MHE were found to have a significantly lower physical component score (PCS) compared to those without MHE. Frequency of Minimal Hepatic Encephalopathy was found to be 56.8% of patients with Chronic Liver Disease presenting to clinics. Impact of MHE on physical component of quality of life may be of clinical relevance.
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INTRODUCTION

Cirrhosis is the end result of injury to the liver due to various insults leading to fibrosis and nodular regeneration. It is one of the most common causes of morbidity and mortality both globally and in our country [1-3]. In a developing country like Pakistan where cost of health care has always been an issue, chronic diseases like cirrhosis and its various complications are a large burden on health economy. Inadequate education, poverty, poor hygienic environment, inadequate nutrition and lack of counselling are important factors due to which the number of cirrhotic patients is increasing and is causing increased mortality in these patients due to various complications [1].

Hepatic encephalopathy (HE) is a potentially reversible, metabolically caused disturbance of the central nervous system function that occurs in patients with acute or chronic liver disease. It encompasses a broad spectrum of neurological symptoms of varying severity [4]. The stages of overt encephalopathy are (1) mild confusion, (2) drowsiness, (3) stupor, and (4) coma. Revised staging system encompasses absent,
covert and stages 2 to 4 encephalopathy. Minimal hepatic encephalopathy (MHE), previously known as subclinical or latent hepatic encephalopathy is the mildest form of HE, that is included in covert encephalopathy and is characterized by subtle motor and cognitive deficits with impairment of health-related quality of life (HRQOL) [5-7]. Patients with MHE have impairment in attention, visuospatial perception, speed of information processing, especially in the psychomotor area, fine motor skills, and short-term memory [8].

Liver cirrhosis is a common cause of mortality amongst the Pakistani population and a frequent cause of admission in our hospitals [2] and the majority of patients with chronic liver disease have evidence of hepatitis B (22%), hepatitis C (28%) viral infection1

Liver cirrhosis causes significant morbidity and mortality, mainly due to complications like hepatic encephalopathy, ascites, hepato-renal syndrome (HRS) and esophageal variceal hemorrhage (EVH) [3]. Hepatic encephalopathy (HE) is present in up to 70% of all patients with cirrhosis, including patients with abnormalities demonstrable only by psychometric testing [9, 10], out of which about 30% patients of chronic liver disease die of hepatic encephalopathy [11-13].

There is no recognized gold standard test for the diagnosis of MHE, so different neuropsychological tests along with varied cutoffs are used in a varied population of patients depending on the study or center involved.

Cirrhotic patients with MHE more frequently develop episodes of overt HE than those without MHE [7, 15]. In one study the probability of overt HE at 3 years was 56% for those with MHE and 8% for those without MHE15. It is probable that MHE is a marker of advanced liver failure, for this reason, MHE has been proposed as an indication for liver transplantation [16].

Chronic liver disease is a worldwide major problem and alcohol is the leading cause of chronic liver disease in western societies, while hepatitis B and C viruses are the major underlying causes in Pakistan. The prevalence of hepatitis B is over 10% in the Asia-pacific region where as the prevalence of chronic hepatitis C in the Asia-pacific region varies between 4 to 12% [17, 18].

Pakistan is in the intermediate zone of hepatitis B and C prevalence areas. In 2003 and 2004, the prevalence was described as around 4% (B) and 6% (C) respectively [19, 20]. In 2010, the Pakistan Medical and Research Council conducted a community-based study showing a reduction in the prevalence rate of hepatitis B & C to 2.5% and 4.9% respectively, in the general population [21]. Higher prevalence however has been reported in certain high risk groups and in family members of hepatitis C patients.

Hepatic encephalopathy (HE) constitutes one of the principal markers of survival among patients with cirrhosis. As hepatic reserve declines, the probability of acute HE episodes increases, thus reducing survival [22, 23]. Although it is part of the spectrum of HE, minimal hepatic encephalopathy (MHE) does not manifest clinically and remains underdiagnosed. The prevalence of MHE has been estimated to be between 22 and 80% [16, 24-27]. This wide range reflects the lack of a gold standard test or absence of standardized diagnostic criteria for MHE across the globe [28-32].

Minimal hepatic encephalopathy negatively affects a patient’s health-related quality of life (HRQoL). Activities requiring attention, motor skills, and visual-spatial abilities (i.e., driving a car) are impaired, whereas basic activities of daily living (i.e., eating, bathing, and personal hygiene) are preserved [33].

Using a simple battery of neuro-psychometric diagnostic tests, functional abnormalities associated with MHE can be recognized even before the appearance of overt symptoms. Such an early diagnosis may improve the quality of life and the prognosis of these patients. An epidemiological (non-interventional), multi-center, cross-sectional study was proposed to identify MHE patients from a cohort of stable cirrhotics who do not have any overt symptoms and assess their health-related quality of life using the SF-36 questionnaire. This study contrasts with other prior studies within single centers aiming at measuring the overall burden of MHE in Pakistan. The findings of the study aim at assisting physicians in the evaluation and regular detection of patients potentially affected by MHE in their routine clinical practice. In addition, the study may assist in the design of future studies and for developing a consensus on the clinical management of MHE in Pakistan.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This study was conducted respecting the principles of Good Clinical Practice (GCP) Guidelines, and all relevant national guidelines. All information generated in this clinical investigation was considered confidential and was not disclosed to any person not directly concerned with the study without prior written permission from the sponsor.

Patient’s written authorization to use and/or disclose personal and/or health data were obtained prior to enrolling each patient in the program and patients not willing to provide such written authorization were not included in the program. All reasonable effort was made to avoid collection of subject identifying...
information (such as name, address, etc.). The physician was responsible for giving the study patient full and adequate verbal and written information about the nature, purpose, possible risks and benefits of the study. The physician was responsible for obtaining signed patient authorization from all patients before including the patient in the observational program.

The protocol and relevant study documents were submitted for review and approval of Institutional Review Board.

Institutional Review Board/ Ethic Committee approval was given by Dr. Ziauddin Hospital IRB located in Karachi, Pakistan dated 23-May-2016. Patient enrollment was started only after obtaining written approval from the IEC.

This was a prospective, multicenter, observational and non-interventional study that recruited patients at eight centers from five major cities of Pakistan between May 2016 and March 2017.

All patients visiting the outpatient department of gastroenterology, hepatology, family medicine or internal medicine for any reason and who were known cirrhotics based on either clinical or biochemical methods or radiological studies or liver biopsy were considered for enrollment.

Liver cirrhosis was considered to be the case if Fibrosis-4 (Fib-4) score was greater than 3.25 [33]. Additionally, any of the data that gave further weightage to the diagnosis which included, patient’s history of drug use, blood transfusion, or alcohol use and fatigue due to hepatitis, physical examination revealing Jaundice/ Ascites/ Spider angioma, hepatomegaly and or Splenomegaly were taken into account and documented. Ultrasonography findings of coarse echo pattern of liver by fibrosis and regeneration were also documented.

Inclusion criteria included patients of either sex, 18-65 years of age, having attended school at least till the 5th Class/Standard and having an established diagnosis of liver cirrhosis without any overt symptoms at time of testing with Grade 0 of West Haven criteria. Patients willing to undergo the neuropsychological (NP) tests and to complete the SF-36 questionnaire for the estimation of HRQOL and patients willing to provide written authorization to provide data for the study were subsequently included.

Patients with inability to perform neuropsychometric tests and to complete the SF-36 questionnaire as decided by the physician were excluded from the study.

All patients fulfilling the inclusion criteria underwent screening of mental state by using the MMSE. MMSE consists of eleven questions which measures five areas of cognitive function namely orientation, registration, attention and calculation, recall and language. Around 5 to 10 minutes were taken to administer it.

Patients having a score of MMSE greater than or equal to 24 were subsequently required to undergo the PHES examination to diagnose MHE.

SF-36 Questionnaire was completed by interviewing all patients (with or without MHE) for HRQoL evaluation.

PHES consist of a standardized battery of paper–pencil test like number connection tests-A (NCT-A), figure connection test –A (FCT-A), the line-drawing test for time (t) and error (e), the serial-dotting test, and the digit symbol test.

The sum of the error points in each of the PHES examination exercise was to be recorded on a scoring sheet and the raw score converted to a standard score using the appropriate normative data. The conversion for the raw scores to standard scores was based on the following procedure. The performance of a control group (n=150), aged 15 to 80 years, served as the basis for establishing normative values. All scores which were lying within one standard deviation for this group’s performance were assigned a score of 0. Test scores below one, two or three standard deviations were assigned scores of -1, -2, or -3 points. Scores higher than one standard deviation were given a score of +1 point. The sum of the standardized scores (summed points) corresponds to the total PHES score, with the possibility to obtain values between +6 and -18 points. Scores below were considered pathological or abnormal.

The Quality of life was assessed by HRQoL using the SF–36 questionnaire. The proportions of lower score and higher score were calculated. The proportions for the physical scores (PCS) and mental scores (MCS) of the SF-36 were calculated and compared between the subjects with MHE and those without MHE. Study design and conduct is shown in Fig.-1.
STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All calculations were performed by section of statistics, department of Medicine at The Aga Khan University.

To estimate the prevalence of MHE among cirrhotic patients, using 48.5% prevalence as reported by an Indian study [40], it was calculated that a maximum sample size of 381 would be required, with 95% level of confidence and 5% (0.05) bound on the error of estimation.

To identify the factors associated with MHE, predisposing factors proportion from 29-53%. Taking into account 95% confidence interval, 80% power and odds ratio of 2.00, final sample size will be approximately 289 patients.

To evaluate the HRQoL in MHE patients using the SF-36 questionnaire, assuming a standard deviation of 20 and mean difference of 5 or more points between the two groups is clinically and practically relevant, given a standardized effect size of 0.25, using this standardized effect size with a two-sided 5% significance level and 80% power generated the estimated required number of subjects per group as 253 MHE patients (n=500).

It was concluded that a sample size of 500 cirrhotic patients would achieve all the study objectives. The sample size was further inflated by 10% up to 550 to account for drop outs.

Continuous variables with normal and non-normal distributions has been reported as mean (SD) and median [inter-quartile range (IQR)], respectively. Prevalence of MHE in cirrhotic patients with 95% confidence Interval (CI) has been calculated. Continuous variables between group compared by using Independent sample t test, and categorical variables compared by using the chi-square test. The likelihood ratio test used to assess the association between the explanatory variables and the risk of the MHE. Univariable analyses will perform to examine the effect of each variable on the risk of MHE. In multivariate analysis all insignificant variables (p >0.05) will check for their confounding and interaction effects before their removal from the final model. The Quality of life will be assessed by HRQoL using the SF-36 questionnaire. The proportions of lower score and higher score will be calculated. The proportions for the physical scores (PCS) and mental scores (MCS) of the SF-36 will be calculated. Intergroup comparisons would be conducted using the Mann–Whitney U-test. Correlation analyses will perform using Spearman’s rank correlation analysis.

All p-values will base on two-sided tests and significance will be set at a p-value less than 0.05. The
analyses will perform using SPSS (Statistical Package of Social Sciences) version 19.

RESULTS

A total of five hundred and fifty participants were recruited in this study (Table-1). The mean age was 47.8 (±8.9) years (range 22-65 years). There was a preponderance of males 306 (68.0%) versus females 144 (32.0%). The majority of the participants were married 418 (92.9%), 312 (69.3%) participants had primary schooling.

Out of the subjects enrolled, 451 had MMSE score of ≥ 24 and underwent PHES testing. Out of the subjects that underwent PHES evaluation, 256 had an abnormal score of ≤ -5 and were diagnosed as having MHE.

Table-1: Descriptive Characteristics of Study Population n=451

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>n (%), Mean ±SD, Median[IQR]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, in years</td>
<td>48 ± 8.9, 49[42-55] years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤40</td>
<td>98(21.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>183(40.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>143(31.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60 years</td>
<td>27(6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>306(67.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>145(32.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height(cm); mean ±SD; Median[IQR]</td>
<td>165.6 ± 9.4; 165.6[157.4-172.7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg); mean ±SD; Median[IQR]</td>
<td>68.1 ± 11.8; 67[60-74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI; mean ±SD; Median[IQR]</td>
<td>24.9 ± 4.2; 24.5[22.1-26.8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;18.5 Underweight</td>
<td>16(3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5-24.9 Normal</td>
<td>240(53.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29.9 Overweight</td>
<td>152(33.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥30 Obese</td>
<td>43(9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>419(92.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>24(5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced/separated</td>
<td>8(1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schooling</td>
<td>312(69.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>80(17.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate and above</td>
<td>59(13.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall the MHE rate was 56.8% (95% CI, 52-61). MHE was found predominantly in men (66%).

The most common etiological cause for cirrhosis was hepatitis C followed by hepatitis B in the group with established MHE. In the non-MHE group, hepatitis B was the most common cause of cirrhosis, followed by hepatitis C (Table-2).

Table-2: Etiology of Cirrhosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Etiology</th>
<th>MHE n=256</th>
<th>Non-MHE (n=195)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B</td>
<td>62 (24.2%)</td>
<td>103(52.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C</td>
<td>172 (67.2%)</td>
<td>87 (44.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B + C</td>
<td>13 (5%)</td>
<td>2 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcoholic cirrhosis</td>
<td>3 (1.2%)</td>
<td>1 (0.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoimmune hepatitis</td>
<td>3 (1.2%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson’s disease</td>
<td>1 (0.4%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown cause</td>
<td>2 (0.8%)</td>
<td>2 (1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quality of Life (QoL) was evaluated for all 451 subjects by administrating the SF-36 questionnaire. QoL was compared between the group with MHE (n=256) and the non-MHE group (n=195).

The Mental Component Score (MCS) and Physical Component Score (PCS) were calculated for both groups and were compared.

The average MCS score in the MHE group was 38.21 compared to 39.6 in the non-MHE group. Regarding PCS, there was a statistically significantly
lower score in the MHE group (41.79) compared to the non-MHE group (44.89) (Table-3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PCS</th>
<th>MCS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MHE</td>
<td>41.8 ± 7.3</td>
<td>38.2 ± 10.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-MHE</td>
<td>44.8 ± 6.6</td>
<td>39.5 ± 10.9</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The score for Physical activity level was less in the MHE group. Boxplots of value of MCS and PCS according to MHE status are depicted in Figure-2.

**DISCUSSION**

Covert hepatic encephalopathy is prevalent amongst cirrhotics. It does not manifest clinically and requires targeted special testing and therefore there is a high likelihood of being overlooked. The study has demonstrated that patients with MHE have poor quality of life compared to those who do not have MHE, especially where physical impact is concerned.

Various studies have found MHE to be associated with poorer quality of life and increased work disability [6, 34].

MHE may increase the risk of accidents. MHE affects attention, psychomotor function and working memory, all of which are essential for safe driving. Most studies assessing driving ability using on-road driving tests have demonstrated that MHE patients have significant defects in reaction time, resulting in their pronouncement as unsafe drivers [35, 37].

Cirrhotic patients with MHE more frequently develop episodes of overt HE than those without MHE [7, 14]. In one study the probability of overt HE at 3 years was 56% for those with MHE and 8% for those without MHE [14]. It is probable that MHE is a marker of advanced liver failure, for this reason, MHE has been proposed as an indication for liver transplantation [15].

**LIMITATION OF THE STUDY**

The study was carried out across five cities. In Pakistan, there are towns where the prevalence of chronic liver disease is much higher than other regions. Another limitation of the study was that, although there is international consensus that psychometric tests are the gold standard for the diagnosis of MHE, no agreement exists as to what combination of tests should be carried out and what the threshold value is at which MHE may be reliably diagnosed. This central problem is reflected in the varying reported prevalence for the disease, which range from 22% to 80% depending on which test is chosen and definition of the threshold.

**CONCLUSION**

The study shows high prevalence of MHE and hence clinicians should consider treating MHE in patients with known cirrhosis. Frequency of Minimal Hepatic Encephalopathy was found to be 56.8% of patients with Chronic Liver Disease presenting to clinics. Physical Component Score (PCS) of SF-36 was statistically different in patients with MHE compared to...
non-MHE. Impact of MHE on physical component of quality of life may be of clinical relevance.
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