Saudi Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences Scholars Middle East Publishers Dubai, United Arab Emirates Website: <u>https://saudijournals.com/</u> DOI: 10.36348/sjmps.2017.v03i06.019

ISSN 2413-4929 (Print) ISSN 2413-4910 (Online)

Original Research Article

Assess Nurses Knowledge and Practices towards Care and Maintenance of Peripheral Intravenous Cannulation in Services Hospital Lahore, Pakistan

Zonobia Qamar¹, Muhammad Afzal², Robina Kousar³, Ali Waqas⁴, Dr. Syed Amir Gilani⁵

² Principal, Lahore School of Nursing, The University of Lahore, Pakistan

³ Assistant Professor, Lahore School of Nursing, The University of Lahore, Pakistan

⁴Visiting Lecturer, Lahore School of Nursing, The University of Lahore, Pakistan

⁵Dean, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, The University of Lahore, Pakistan

*Corresponding Author:

Zonobia Qamar Email: <u>zonobiaqamar1@gmail.com</u>

Abstract: The current study assesses the knowledge and practices towards maintenance and care of the peripheral intravenous cannulation among the nurses of public hospitals of Lahore, Pakistan. The data was collected from 240 nurses of Services hospital Lahore, Pakistan through convenient sampling. The results of the current study depict that nurses of Services hospital, Lahore, Pakistan have good knowledge regarding care and IV cannula but lack the practices. Therefore, healthcare provider should train the nurses to improve the practices in the public hospitals especially. SPSS 21 used to analyze the data. Limitations and recommendations are given in the end of this study. **Keywords:** Knowledge, Nurses, Practice, Peripheral intravenous (I/V) cannulation

INTRODUCTION

This study is to assess the knowledge and practices of nurses regarding care and maintenance of intravenous cannula. Peripheral venous Cannulation is the insertion of a vascular access device into a peripheral vein. This procedure needs manual skills, professional competency, knowledge about the anatomy and physiology of vascular system. Intravenous cannulas are small hollow advance device over a needle which penetrates into vein and it is used more frequently for administration of different drugs, fluids, blood, nutrition, for sampling and other purposes [1].

However, the incidences of local or blood stream infections are related to IV therapy. A considerable number of deaths occur due to blood stream infections like every 10th person is suffering from one type of hepatitis which is life threatening. This problem occurs due to the poor practices of intravenous cannulation or therapy [2]. Moreover, may cause the universal infection which can be mechanical or infectious like Occlusion, thrombosis, dislodgment, infiltration, leakage, phlebitis and scar formation are the mechanical complication while fungal and bacterial sepsis are included in infectious complication [3].

Peripheral intravenous cannulation is the most common source of infection due to the migration of skin flora on the site of insertion into the cutaneous tract of risk of infection and embolism, superficial veins of the lower limbs are avoided. If the cannula is placed in the lower limbs it may resisted soon [5].

cannula with outer surface of catheter [4]. Due to high

Blood stream infections are also associated with peripheral or intravenous catheters through contamination of microorganisms on the venous puncture site. Organisms include staphylococcus epidermidis, staphylococcus aureus, candida species and enterococci which introduced within contaminated infusion fluids [6].

In today's world of health care, nurses must possess up to date knowledge while practicing intravenous therapy for safe nursing practice as well as excellent quality of care. Nurses are responsible for provision of safe, patient centered and effective care to the patients. To minimize the severity of complications, puncture site must be constantly monitored for early identification of signs. In addition, hands should be decontaminated properly before gathering equipment, palpation of the veins, cannulation and placing gloves on hand, repeat it after removing gloves and before and after the contact with patients [7]. Likewise, American National Guidelines emphasizes on the cleansing of the port as it is important for the patency of I/V line [4]. However, documentation plays a vital role in the generation of real time data and the improvement of

¹Posr RN Student, Lahore School of Nursing, The University of Lahore, Pakistan

staff abidance with care guidelines that helps to provide the quality care with peripheral venous cannula [1].

Furthermore, majority of nurses know how to care and maintain peripheral intravenous line but still there is some gap in this basic nursing skill. The aim of this study is to determine and assess the knowledge and practice of nurses towards care and maintenance of peripheral intravenous line among the public hospitals of Lahore, Pakistan.

Problem Statement

Peripheral intravenous cannulation related infections are very common problem among hospitalized patients. Such infection is dangerous for the patients and decreases the quality of care. The study noted that intravenous infection rate is 20% in Pakistan [8]. Intravenous associated infections are present in different health care settings but have not enough baseline/electronic data available. Almost same condition is in every public hospital of Lahore, Pakistan. Therefore, it should be investigated among the public hospitals especially, so that the infection rate can be controlled and solution for this high rate of IV-line infection can be controlled.

Objectives

- To assess the nurse's knowledge towards maintenance and care of the peripheral I/V Cannulation.
- To determine the practices of nurses regarding insertion, removing and care of I/V Cannulation.

Study Significance

The following study will help to reveal the understanding and practices of nurses regarding care and maintenance of peripheral intravenous cannulation. Factors would be identified might lead to practice modification through education, in-service training, and equipment / procedure changes according to the standards for quality of care. This study would help to control the efficiency of the interventions and prevent patients from trouble, reduce hospital stay and quality of care will be improved. This study will be helpful for the authorities of the hospital to increase the knowledge regarding infections related to peripheral intravenous cannulations.

Literature Review

Peripheral intravenous cannulation is an invasive procedure in which skin is punctured with a needle of short temporary device [9]. Intravenous cannulation and therapy are always associated with infections either skin around the site of insertion and may need the control measures [10]. The study noted that traumatic phlebitis develops when catheter inserted on the high mobility areas [11].

The study noted that 53.8% nurses had poor knowledge, 39.3% average and 5.9% had good knowledge about the indications and contraindications of I/V lines in Dhaka [10]. On the other hand, practices were excellent among 2.67% nurses and 12% had poor practices which is an alarming sign for health care organizations and health care providers.

The study noted the factors which may be helpful to reduce the phlebitis like diameter of cannula can affect the complications and awareness of nurses has significant importance for the maintenance of peripheral intravenous cannulation [12]. In addition, necessary documentation plays a key role for safe handling of patients with peripheral venous catheters [13]. Priority should be given to the education of nurses on proper documentation for maintenance of intravenous lines.

The study accessed the knowledge and practices among nurses of Celal Bayar University Hospital regarding patients with intravenous catheter and phlebitis interventions [14]. The result depicts that nurses have enough knowledge but their practices were comparatively low and 67.24% patients developed signs of phlebitis that indicate the poor practices [14].

Furthermore, peripheral intravenous catheterization has numerous effects on patients in health care that might lead to various complications like thrombophlebitis or sepsis. Several standard guidelines developed to assist nurses for care of peripheral intravenous lines. The study determines the nurse's bonding to national and local guidelines on peripheral intravenous catheters and results depict that nurses partially follow the national and local guidelines. [15].

The study results show that 62.7% patients had colony and the amount of time influences colonization with risk of infection through the nursing care provided to the patients [15]. Moreover, there is lack of standardized nursing practices and uniformity in handling the intravenous Cannulation [15].

After reviewing the literature, I/V therapy is an integral part of job of health care providers. Standard aseptic measures and correct practices of health care professionals according to the standard guidelines can reduce the risk of infection related to intravenous therapy.

METHODOLOGY Study Design

This study is of descriptive study design. The adopted questionnaire was distributed to 240 nurses of Services hospital Lahore, Pakistan. The questionnaire consists of study purpose and consent as well. The questionnaire composed three Section A (demographic information) and section B was about section C questions. All Head Nurses, paramedic staff, student nurses who are not participating in delivering medication system and other health care professionals were excluded. The data security was ensured to the study participants. SPSS 21 was used to analyze the data.

RESULTS Demographic Information

Gender of the subject

Table-1.1: Gender of the subject	;
----------------------------------	---

	Tuble 111 Genuel of the Subject								
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent				
Valid	male	14	5.8	5.8	5.8				
	female	226	94.2	94.2	100.0				
	Total	240	100.0	100.0					

Table no.1,1 shows the frequency distribution of gender of the study participants. the results show that

94.2% (n=226) were female nurses while 5.8% (n=14) male nurses participated.

Marital status

	Table-1.2: Marital status									
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent					
		riequency	rereent	vana i ereent	Cumulative refeelit					
Valid	Married	83	34.6	34.6	34.6					
, and		00	0.110	2.1.0	0.110					
	Unmarried	157	65.4	65.4	100.0					
	Total	240	100.0	100.0						

Table 1.2 show that show that 65.4% (n=157) study participant were unmarried nurses and 34.6% (n=83) married were married.

Age of the subject

Table-1. 3: Age of the subject

	Tuble Title of the Subject									
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent					
Valid	21-25yrs	81	33.8	33.8	33.8					
	26-30yrs	105	43.8	43.8	77.5					
	31-35yrs	33	13.8	13.8	91.3					
	36-40yrs or above	21	8.8	8.8	100.0					
	Total	240	100.0	100.0						

Table no. 1.3 shows the participant's age. The results show that 43.8% (n=105) were young nurses under age group of 26-30 years, 33.8% (n=81) were in

21-25 years, 13.8% (33) nurses were from age group 31-35 years and only 8.8% (n=21) nurses were above 36-40years and above group.

Qualification

	Table-1.4: Qualification									
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative					
					Percent					
Valid	Diploma in G nursing and midwifery	116	48.3	48.3	48.3					
	Specialization	62	25.8	25.8	74.2					
	Post RN	58	24.2	24.2	98.3					
	Others	4	1.7	1.7	100.0					
	Total	240	100.0	100.0						

Table no.1.4 show the qualification level of the study participants. The results show that 48.3% (n=116)

nurses did just diploma holder, Specialized nurses were 25.83% (n=62) and 24.2% (n=58) did Post RN

Stay in Organization

	Table-1.5: Stay in Organization									
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent					
Valid	< 1 year	30	12.5	12.5	12.5					
	1-5 yrs	119	49.6	49.6	62.1					
	6-10yrs	63	26.3	26.3	88.3					
	Above 10yrs	28	11.7	11.7	100.0					
	Total	240	100.0	100.0						

Table-1.5: Stay in Organization

Table 1.5 show the job experience of nurses participated. The results show that 12.5% (n=30) respondents have less than 1 year of experience, 49.6%

(n=119) have 1-5 years of experience, 28.2% (n=63) nurses had 6-10 years of experience and only 11.7% (n=28) nurses had above 10 years of experience.

Knowledge Towards Care and Maintenance of Peripheral IV Cannula.

Table- 2.1: Knowledge towards Care and Maintenance of Peripheral IV Cannula.

Sr.	Variables	Strongly	Disagree		Agree	Strongly	Mean	S. D
#		Disagree	0		0	Agree		
1	The cannula gauge 14G, 16G, 18G and	n=10	n=28	n=43	n=113	n=46	3.65	1.048
	20G are suitable to use for peripheral	4.2%	11.7%	17.9%	47.1%	19.2%		
	intravenous Cannulation.							
2	Veins use for intravenous cannulation	n=12	n=24	n=47	n=121	n=36	3.60	1.022
	normally located at dorsal) and ventral	5.0%	10.0%	19.6%	50.4%	15.0%		
	surface of the upper extremities right and							
	left hand/arm (metacarpal, cephalic and							
	basilic)							
3	Peripheral IV cannula must be removed	n=4	n=6	n=48	n=102	n=80	4.03	.886
	every 12-72 hours from insertion time.	1.7%	2.5%	20.0%	42.5%	33.3%		
4	Based on Universal Infection Control	n=6	n=14	n=38	n=134	n=48	3.85	.893
	Guidelines, IV cannula can be used 48-72	2.5%	5.8%	15.8%	55.8%	20.0%		
	hours if no signs and symptoms of							
	complication							
5	Phlebitis is the most identifiable infection	n=4	n=10	n=21	n=120	n=58	4.13	.862
	related to IV cannulation.	1.7%	4.2%	8.8%	50.0%	35.4%		
6	The environment situation (e.g.	n=5	n=17	n=35	n=133	n=50		.899
	cleanliness) will influent the risk of	2.1%	7.1%	14.6%	55.4%	20.8%	3.86	
	infection related to IV cannulation.		_					
7	Hand hygiene before procedure IV	n=5	n=3	n=28	n=98	n=106	4.24	.862
	insertion is important in order to prevent	2.1%	1.3%	11.7%	40.8%	44.2%		
0	infection.	- 10	•			7 0		1.0.62
8	Maintaining aseptic technique only during	n=12	n=20	n=36	n=114	n=58	2.70	1.063
	insertion of IV cannula will help to prevent	5.0%	8.3%	15.0%	47.5%	24.2%	3.78	
0	infection occur	54	20	20			2.00	1 200
9	Wearing non-sterile gloves during insertion	n=54	n=29	n=32	n=92	n=33	3.09	1.398
10	of IV cannula are advisable.	22.5% n=10	12.1%	13.3% n=35	38.3%	13.8%		1.005
10	Skin preparations at insertion site are require before IV cannula inserted.	n=10 4.2%	n=15 6.3%	n=35 14.6%	n=119 49.6%	n=61 25.4%	3.86	1.005
11	Increase attempts for cannulation will	4.2% n=9	n=10	n=22	49.0% n=142	23.4% n=57	3.95	
11	increase attempts for camuation will increase the risk of infection.	n=9 3.8%	4.2%	n=22 9.2%	n=142 59.2%	11=37 23.8%	5.95	913
12	Using transparent dressing will help to	n=8	4.2% n=7	9.2% n=52	n=110	n=63	3.89	915
12	recognize early signs and symptoms of	n=8 3.3%	n=7 2.9	n=32 21.7	45.8	11=03 26.3%	5.09	.942
	infection	5.570	2.7	21.1	+5.0	20.370		.742
13	Removing IV cannula immediately if not	n=3	n=7	n=44	n=117	n=69	4.01	
15	in use, will help to reduce risk of infection	1.3%	2.9	18.3	48.8	28.8%	4.01	.838
	occur.	1.570	2.7	10.5	10.0	20.070		.050
14	Giving intravenous therapy will increase	n=10		n=54	n=126	n=34	3.66	.946
_ <u> </u>	Siming mutationous morupy will moreuse	10		1-51	11-120		5.00	

Zonobia Qamar et al.; Saudi J. Med. Pharm. Sci.; Vol-3, Iss-6B (Jun, 2017):608-614

	risk of infection through peripheral IV	4.2%	n=16	22.5%	52.5%	14.2%		
	catheter.		6.7%					
15	Patient educations on how to care IV	n=4	n=7	n=31	n=130	n=68	4.05	.825
	cannula is important as it do help to reduce	1.7%	2.9%	12.9%	54.2%	28.3%		
	risk of infection.							

Table 2.1 show he results of the nurses' knowledge care and maintenance of peripheral IV cannula. In table 2.1, 15 question analyzes the nurses' knowledge and it depicts that majority of the nurses

were agree and strongly agree regarding the questions of knowledge which means that nurses of Services hospital have knowledge regarding care and peripheral IV cannula safe usage.

	Table-3.1: Nurses Practices toward				pheral IV	Cannulati		
Sr. #	Practice Variables	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	Mean	S. D
1	I always change IV cannula after 72 hours inserted.	n=38 15.8%	n=43 17.9%	n=29 12.9%	n=145 60.4%	N=100 41.7%	3.17	1.307
2	When I saw there is a sign of phlebitis I immediately change the IV cannula to non-affected part.	n=25 10.4%	n=34 14.2%	n=22 9.2%	n=98 40.8%	n=61 25.4%	3.57	1.29
3	I always use transparent dressing when securing IV cannula.	n=33 13.8%	n=57 23.8%	n=37 15.4%	n=81 33.8%	n=32 13.3%	3.09	.961
4	I always write date, time, site, size, due date change and name of person cannulated	n=37 15.4%	n=56 23.3%	n=28 11.7%	n=70 29.4%	n=49 20.4%	3.16	.905
5	I use administration set for IV cannula within 72 hours.	n=18 7.5%	n=63 26.3%	n=35 14.6%	n=77 32.1%	n=47 19.6%	3.30	.894
6	I aware of complications of IV cannulation for instance infiltration, phlebitis and extravasation	n=7 2.9%	n=37 15.4%	n=29 12.1%	n=104 14.3%	n=63 30.0%	3.75	.835
7	I always maintain aseptic technique during preparing, inserting and removing of IV cannula.	n=8 3.3%	n=27 11.4%	n=37 15.4%	n=104 49.3%	n=64 26.7%	3.79	.818
8	I always change the dressing when it wet or dislodge.	n=11 4.6%	n=34 14.2%	n=34 14.2%	n=99 41.3%	n=62 25.8%	3.70	.863
9	I always educate my patient how to care the IV cannula.	n=11 4.6%	n=36 15%	n=20 8.3%	n=119 49.6%	n=54 22.5%	3.55	.835
10	I always educate my patient how to recognize the signs and symptoms of IV cannulation infection.	n=13 5.4%	n=42 17.5%	n=40 16.7%	n=93 38.8%	n=52 21.7%	3.75	.868
11	I aware the important of hand hygiene before IV cannulation being carried out.	n=11 4.6%	n=33 13.8%	n=29 12.1%	n=99 41.3%	n=68 28.3%	3.69	.819
12	I aware the important of doing skin preparation before the procedure insertion of IV cannula.	n=14 5.8%	n=21 8.8%	n=44 13.3%	n=108 53.3%	n=53 22.55%	3.83	.860
13	I aware the factors that influence the risk of infection occur.	n=33 13.8%	n=57 23.8%	n=37 15.4%	n=81 33.8%	n=32 13.3%	3.09	.810
14	I always follow guidelines that given by my management when carried out IV cannulation.	n=37 15.4%	n=56 23.3%	n=28 11.7%	n=70 29.4%	n=49 20.4%	3.16	.898
15	I am confident enough to carry out this procedure (IV cannulation) because I have enough knowledge and experience.	n=5 2.1%	n=79 32.9%	n=30 12.5%	n=121 50.4%	n=5 2.1%	4.10	.847

Table 3.1 shows the responses of the study participants regarding practices of IV cannula. The results in table 3.1 depicts the respondents' practices regarding IV cannula and its safe usage. The results show that on average level nurses follow the protocols and lack in the practices. Therefore, it means that nurses practices are lacking at moderate level at the Services hospital, Lahore, Pakistan.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The current study examines the knowledge and practices regarding caring and maintaining peripheral intravenous cannulation among the nurses of Services hospital, Lahore, Pakistan. This study found that nurses have good knowledge regarding IV cannula protocols. The results show that nurses are not practicing appropriately. Similarly, nurses offering pathetic practices in maintaining aseptic technique while procedure. performing However. this nurses' knowledge and practice about care and maintenance of IV cannulation is good but still the practices are not according the standard protocols.

In addition, health care providers are accountable for safe and quality care delivery to the patients, so they should be well resourced and enough trained nursing staff. Furthermore, public hospital administration should emphasize on training of the nurses to fulfil the practices protocols, so that the quality care can be provided.

Limitation and Recommendation

This review has following limitations and recommendations:

- This study is undertaken only in the Services hospital due to limited time. Further studies should consider the public and private hospital of different regions as well.
- This study analyzes through the quantitative design only, however, qualitative study design should also be examined.
- The studies should also examine the factors which may affect the nurses' knowledge and practices.
- Evidence base concerning I/V therapy need to be reviewed and strengthened by further research because it is still weak in many clinical areas.

REFERENCES

- 1. Boyd, S., Aggarwal, I., Davey, P., Logan, M., & Nathwani, D. (2011). Peripheral intravenous catheters: the road to quality improvement and safer patient care. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 77(1), 37-41.
- 2. Gahlot, R., Nigam, C., Kumar, V., Yadav, G., & Anupurba, S. (2014). Catheter-related bloodstream

infections. International journal of critical illness and injury science, 4(2), 162.

- 3. Tuffaha, H. W., Rickard, C. M., Inwood, S., Gordon, L., & Scuffham, P. (2014). The epic3 recommendation that clinically indicated replacement of peripheral venous catheters is safe and cost-saving: how much would the NHS save?. *The Journal of hospital infection*, 87(3), 183.
- O'grady, N. P., Alexander, M., Burns, L. A., Dellinger, E. P., Garland, J., Heard, S. O., ... & Raad, I. I. (2011). Guidelines for the prevention of intravascular catheter-related infections. *Clinical infectious diseases*, 52(9), e162-e193.
- Dougherty, L., & Lamb, J. (Eds.). (2009). Intravenous therapy in nursing practice. John Wiley & Sons.
- Pratt, R. J., Pellowe, C. M., Wilson, J. A., Loveday, H. P., Harper, P. J., Jones, S. R. L. J., ... & Wilcox, M. H. (2007). epic2: National evidence-based guidelines for preventing healthcare-associated infections in NHS hospitals in England. *Journal of Hospital infection*, 65, S1-S59.
- van Loggerenberg, F., Mlisana, K., Williamson, C., Auld, S. C., Morris, L., Gray, C. M., ... & Karim, S. S. A. (2008). Establishing a cohort at high risk of HIV infection in South Africa: challenges and experiences of the CAPRISA 002 acute infection study. *PloS one*, 3(4), e1954.
- 8. Ahmed, B., Khan, I. M., & Beg, M. A. (2016). Frequency of Central Venous Catheter Related Infections and their Culture and Sensitivity Pattern. *Journal of Islamabad Medical & Dental College (JIMDC)*, 5(2), 63-66.
- 9. Aziz, A. M. (2009). Variations in aseptic technique and implications for infection control. *British Journal of Nursing*, 18(1).
- 10. Higginson, R. (2011). IV therapy and infection control in patients in the community. *British Journal of Nursing*, 20(3).
- 11. Do Rego Furtado, L. C. (2011). Incidence and predisposing factors of phlebitis in a surgery department. *Br J Nurs*, 20(14 suppl), S16-S25.
- 12. Salgueiro-Oliveira, A., Parreira, P., & Veiga, P. (2012). Incidence of phlebitis in patients with peripheral intravenous catheters: the influence of some risk factors. *Australian Journal of Advanced Nursing, The*, *30*(2), 32.
- Ahlqvist, M., Bogren, A., Hagman, S., Nazar, I., Nilsson, K., Nordin, K., ... & Nordström, G. (2006). Handling of peripheral intravenous cannulae: effects of evidence-based clinical guidelines. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 15(11), 1354-1361.
- 14. Karadeniz, G., Kutlu, N., Tatlisumak, E., & Özbakkaloğlu, B. (2003). Nurses' knowledge regarding patients with intravenous catheters and phlebitis interventions. *Journal of Vascular Nursing*, 21(2), 44-47.

15. Graveto, J. M., Vidal Santos, D., Oliveira, S., & de Sousa, A. (2016). Nursing Care in Peripheral Intravenous Catheter: Impact on Microbiological Profile.