

Research Article

Allelopathic Effect of Sorghum Plants Parts Water Extract to Control Weeds in Wheat Field

Santosh Kumar Paul¹, Santa Mazumder², Md. Maksudul haque^{3*}, Towhidi Almas Mujahidi⁴ and Subarna Kundu⁵

¹Agronomy Division, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Joydebpur, Gazipur-1701, Bangladesh.

²Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural university, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh.

³Scientific officer (Golden Rice), Plant Breeding Division, Bangladesh Rice Research Institute, Joydebpur, Gazipur-1701, Bangladesh.

⁴Plant Breeding Division, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Joydebpur, Gazipur-1701, Bangladesh.

⁵Planning and Evaluation Division, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Joydebpur, Gazipur-1701, Bangladesh.

***Corresponding Author:**

Md. Maksudul Haque

Email: maksudulhq@gmail.com

Abstract: An observation trial was conducted at the research field of Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Joydebpur, Gazipur during November 2012 to March 2013. This experiment was under taken to find out the efficiency of weed control in wheat field by the application of sorghum plant parts water extract. The treatments were: i) T₁= 2 kg dry sorghum plant parts/10 lit water extract/ha ii) T₂= 1 kg dry sorghum plant parts/10 lit water extract/ha and iii) T₃= only water spray. Treatment, T₁ showed the minimum number of weeds (28) compared to treatment T₂ (43). But minimum dry wt of weeds were found in treatment T₂. Weed control efficiency, (WCE) was also highest in treatment, T₂ (17.08) compared to treatment T₁ (13.76). The grain yield was highest in treatment, T₂; though the length of spike and no. of grains/spike was highest in treatment, T₃ (Only water spray).

Keywords: Allelopathic, sorghum, water extract, weed, wheat.

INTRODUCTION

In Bangladesh wheat is the 2nd cereal crops after rice [1]. Wheat is an important crop which is successfully grown under different environments due to its flexibility to unfavorable conditions [2, 3]. But every year the yield loss occurs due to different weed infestations. If could be able to control the weeds of wheat field then the yield will be increased [4]. In Bangladesh different chemicals were used to control the weeds. These chemicals are very much costly and have residual effects. This is also harmful for the environment and health of human beings. Yield ranged 0.5-1.3 t ha⁻¹ depending on the amount of rainfall in rainfed areas and 2.5-3 t ha⁻¹ depending on the amount of water available and other factors in irrigated areas [5]. The major reasons for low productivity and variation includes; delayed harvesting of kharif crops such as cotton, sugarcane and rice, and consequently late planting of wheat, unavailability of improved inputs such as seed, inefficient fertilizer use, weed infestation, shortage of irrigation water, drought, terminal heat stress, soil degradation, inefficient extension services, etc. [6].

In present study we focused on the use of natural chemicals produced by the plants that might have negative or positive effect on weed or wheat growth which is also known as allelopathy. It is an interference mechanism, in which plant materials (living or dead) release chemical substances, which inhibit or stimulate the associated plant growth [7-9]. It is also assumed that allelopathy, plays an important role in the intraspecific and interspecific plant competition and may determine the type of interspecific association between them. The plant may exhibit inhibitory or rarely stimulatory effects on germination and growth of other plants in the immediate vicinity [10-12]. Using similar allelopathic technique [13] suggested that three weed species (*Fumaria indica*, *Asphodelus tenuifolius* and *Euphorbia hirta*) produced allelopathic chemicals which reduced germination as well as the subsequent growth of wheat plant.

Cheema *et al.* [14] reported that yield of wheat increased and weed was suppressed when cultivated after sorghum cultivation [15]. Also reported that sorgaab used alone or in combination with herbicide has great promise in increasing weed control and grain yield of wheat. If the allelochemicals of sorghum extract

could be used to control weeds of wheat field, it might be economic as no hazardous and no residual effect exists. The experiment was undertaken to find out the effects of allelochemicals of sorghum plant parts water extract to control weeds in wheat field.

MATERIALS OF METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the Agronomy research field at Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Joydebpur, Gazipur during November 2012 to March 2013. The treatments were: i) T₁= 2 kg dry sorghum plant parts/10 lit water extract/ha ii) T₂= 1 kg dry sorghum plant parts/10 lit water extract/ha and iii) T₃= only water spray. Three plots 10 x 7 m² area were taken to conduct the experiment with same area and same place. The land was fertilized with 100-26-50-20-1 kg/ha of NPK&B in the form of urea, TSP, MOP, Gypsum & Boric acid respectively and cow dung @ 10 t/ha was applied. Two third of urea and total amount of other fertilizers were applied as basal dose & rest 1/3rd of urea was top dressed at CRI stage (17-21 DAS). The seeds were sown in line on 29 November 2012. The sorghum plant parts water extract were sprayed at 2 DAS & 29 DAS. The experimented fields were irrigated three times at 18 DAS, 37 DAS & 59 DAS. The data of weeds were taken one time at 31 DAS. The crop was harvested at 121 DAS. Data were recorded as plant height, no of tiller plant⁻¹, length of spike, no of grains spike⁻¹, 1000 grains wt, grain yield/m² which was later converted into kg/ha. Data were presented with mean value.

The Relative Density (RD) and Weed Control Efficiency (WEC) were calculated by the following formula-

Relative Density (RD) = (No of specific weed species/Total no of weeds) x 100.

Weed Control Efficiency (WEC) = [(Dry wt. of control plot-Dry wt. of specific plot)/ Dry wt. of control plot] x100.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Spraying of sorghum water extract showed some influenced on weed biomass and grain yield of wheat. The highest plant height was obtained (80.8) from 2kg sorghum plant parts/10 lit water/ha while the lowest was found in control. The number of effective tillers and total number of tillers were highest in 2kg sorghum plant parts/10litwater/ha while the total number of tiller was lowest in control, but effective tiller was lowest (4.2) in both 1kg sorghum plant parts/10 lit water/ha and control. Number of non-effective tillers was highest in 1kg sorghum plant parts/10lit water/ha, but lowest in 2kg sorghum plant parts/10lit water/ha. Length of spike and number of grains spike⁻¹ were highest in control treatment and lowest in 1kg sorghum plant parts/10lit water/ha. 1000 grains wt. and yield were highest in 1kg sorghum plant parts/10lit water/ha and lowest in control. Similar result was found by [14] in maize. These results are in line with [16] who reported that application of Sorghum roots, stem and leaf water extracts, root water extracts were most effective to reduce weeds fresh weight. However, varied response of wheat plant height by the application of different leaf water extracts could be due to their allelopathic effect on wheat vegetative growth, which consequently suppressed plant height (115.00 to 137.50 cm). Similar results were observed when concentrated

Extracts (50 and 75%) of *Chenopodium album* L. [17] and Sorghum plant parts extracts such as stem, leaf and roots [16] were applied which had detrimental effects on plant height.

Table 2 shows weed species relative density weed dry weight and WCE. Eleven types of different weeds were found in the experimental field. Total number of weed was highest in control treatment, and lowest in 2kg sorghum plant parts/10lit water/ha.

Table-1: Yield and yield contributing characters of wheat

| Treatment | Plant height (cm) | No. of tiller per plant | | | Length of Spike (cm) | No. of Grains/spike | 1000 grain wt. (g) | Grain yield (t/ha) |
|--|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | | Effective | None Effective | Total | | | | |
| 2kg sorghum water extract/10 liter /ha | 80.8 | 5.1 | 0.9 | 6.0 | 9.3 | 48.7 | 46 | 3.24 |
| 1kg sorghum water extract/10 liter/ha | 78.7 | 4.2 | 1.6 | 5.8 | 9.2 | 42.5 | 47 | 3.31 |
| Only water spray | 73.9 | 4.2 | 1.5 | 5.7 | 9.8 | 50.8 | 44 | 3.12 |

Table-2: Weed species, relative density, weed dry weight and weed control efficiency in wheat field (31 DAS)

| Treatment | Weed species | | No. (m ²) | Relative Density (%) | Weed dry weight/m ² (gm) | WCE (%) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| | Local Name | Scientific name | | | | |
| 2kg sorghum water extrect/10 liter/ha | Bathua | <i>Chenopodium album</i> | 1 | 3.6 | 5.2 | 13.76 |
| | Anguli | <i>Digitaria Sanguinalis</i> | 1 | 3.6 | | |
| | Helencha | <i>Jussiaea repens</i> | 18 | 64.3 | | |
| | Hatishur | <i>Heliotropium indicam</i> | 2 | 7.1 | | |
| | Shama | <i>Echinochloa crusgalli</i> | 4 | 14.3 | | |
| | Bangchora | | | | | |
| | Swetlomy | <i>Gnaphalium japonicum</i> | 2 | 7.1 | | |
| | Mutha | <i>cyperus rotundus</i> | | | | |
| | Shaknote | <i>Amaranthus viridis</i> | | | | |
| | Gaicha | <i>Paspalum commersonil</i> | | | | |
| | Chapra | <i>Elusine indica</i> | | | | |
| | | Total | 28 | 100 | | |
| 1kg sorghum water extrect/10 liter/ha | Bathua | <i>Chenopodium album</i> | 3 | 7 | 5 | 17.08 |
| | Anguli | <i>Digitaria Sanguinalis</i> | 2 | 4.7 | | |
| | Helencha | <i>Jussiaea repens</i> | 14 | 32.6 | | |
| | Hatishur | <i>Heliotropium indicam</i> | | | | |
| | Shama | <i>Echinochloa crusgalli</i> | 12 | 28 | | |
| | Bangchora | | 1 | 2.3 | | |
| | Swetlomy | <i>Gnaphalium japonicum</i> | 5 | 11.6 | | |
| | Mutha | <i>cyperus rotundus</i> | 4 | 9.2 | | |
| | Shaknote | <i>Amaranthus viridis</i> | 1 | 2.3 | | |
| | Gaicha | <i>Paspalum commersonil</i> | 1 | 2.3 | | |
| | Chapra | <i>Elusine indica</i> | | | | |
| | | Total | 43 | 100 | | |
| Only water spray | Bathua | <i>Chenopodium album</i> | 5 | 13.2 | 6.03 | - |
| | Anguli | <i>Digitaria Sanguinalis</i> | 3 | 7.9 | | |
| | Helencha | <i>Jussiaea repens</i> | 10 | 26.3 | | |
| | Hatishur | <i>Heliotropium indicam</i> | 3 | 7.9 | | |
| | Shama | <i>Echinochloa crusgalli</i> | 2 | 5.3 | | |
| | Bangchora | | | | | |
| | Swetlomy | <i>Gnaphalium japonicum</i> | 12 | 31.5 | | |
| | Mutha | <i>cyperus rotundus</i> | 1 | 2.6 | | |
| | Shaknote | <i>Amaranthus viridis</i> | | | | |
| | Gaicha | <i>Paspalum commersonil</i> | | | | |
| | Chapra | <i>Elusine indica</i> | 2 | 2.5 | | |
| | | Total | 38 | 100 | | |

The relative density, RD of Helencha (*Jussicarepens*) was highest in 2kg sorghum plant parts/10lit water/ha and 1kg sorghum plant parts/10lit water/ha. But RD of Swettlomy (*Gnaphalium japonicum*) was highest in control. Dry wt. of weeds/m² was highest in control treatment and lowest in 1kg sorghum plant parts/10lit water/ha. It might be happened due to allelopathic effect of sorghum plants water extract. Weed Control Efficiency WCE was 13.76 and 17.08 respectively in 2kg sorghum plant parts/10lit water/ha and 1 kg sorghum plant parts/10lit water/ha. Our results are closely related with the findings of [17] who reported that lower concentration of *Chenopodium album* L. extract (25%) promoted number of tillers and grains.

CONCLUSION

From the experiment it was observed that the Weed Control Efficiency (WCE) was very low in treated treatment although weed in the field was minimum. It needs further investigation to observe the efficiency of sorghum plant extracts.

REFERENCES

1. BBS (Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics). (2012). Statistical Year Book of Bangladesh. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics. Statistics Division. Ministry of Planning. Government of the Peoples Republic of Bangladesh. Dhaka.
2. Alderfasi, A.A. and Y.A. Refay. (2010). Integrated use of potassium fertilizer and water schedules on growth and yield of two wheat genotypes under

- arid environment in Saudi Arabia. *American-Eurasian J. Agri. Environ. Sci.*, 9: 239-247.
3. Rasheed, A., A.S. Mumtaz and Z.K. Shinwari. (2012). Genetic characterization of novel *Lr* gene stack in spring wheat variety Chakwal 86 and its effectiveness against leaf rust in rain fed areas of Pakistan. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 44(2): 507-510.
 4. Karim, S. M. R. (2008). Weeds and their impacts on biosecurity, environment and food security. Key note paper of 1st National Conference and Seminar on Weeds and Food Security, 8 November: 5-18.
 5. Qamar, M., S. D. Ahmad, M.A. Rabbani, Z.K. Shinwari and M. Iqbal. (2014). Determination of rust resistance genes in Pakistani bread wheats. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 46(2): 613-617.
 6. Ibrahim, M., N. Ahmad, Z.K. Shinwari, A. Bano and F. Ullah. (2013). Allelopathic assessment of genetically modified and non modified maize (*Zea mays* L.) on physiology of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Pak. J. Bot.*, 45: 235-240.
 7. May, F.E. and J.E. Ash. (1990). An assessment of the allelopathic potential of *Eucalyptus*. *Aust. J. Bot.*, 38: 245-254.
 8. Mubeen, K., M.A. Nadeem, A. Tanveer and Z.A. Zahir. (2011). Allelopathic effect of aqueous extracts of weeds on the germination and seedling growth of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Pak. J. Life Soc. Sci.*, 9: 7-12.
 9. Elahi, M., Z.A. Cheema, S.M.A. Basra and M. Akram. (2011). Use of allelopathic water extract of field crops for weed control in wheat. *Int. Res. J. Pl. Sci.*, 2: 262-270.
 10. Jabeen, N. and M. Ahmed. (2009). Possible allelopathic effects of three different weeds on germination and growth of maize (*Zea mays*) cultivars. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 41: 1677-1683.
 11. Ankita, G. and M. Chabbi. (2012). Effect of allelopathic leaf extract of some selected weed flora of Ajmer district on seed germination of *Triticum aestivum*. *Sci. Res. Rept.*, 2: 311-315.
 12. Ghafarbi, S.P., S. Hassannejad and R. Lotfi. (2012). Allelopathic effects of wheat seed extracts on seed and seedling growth of eight selected weed species. *Int. J Agri. Crop. Sci.*, 19: 1452-1457.
 13. Jabeen, N., M. Ahmed, S.S. Shaukat and Iram-Us-Slam. (2013). Allelopathic effects of weeds on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) germination and growth. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 45: 807-811.
 14. Cheema, Z.A., Khaliq, A. and Ali, K. (2002). Efficiency of sorgaab for weed control in wheat grown at different fertility levels. *Pakistan J. Weed Sci. Res.* 8(1-2): 33-39.
 15. Abdul Razzaq, Zahid Cheema, Khawar Jabran, Mubshar Hussain, Muhammad Farooq, Mohsin Zafar. (2012). Reduced Herbicide Doses Used Together with Allelopathic Sorghum and Sunflower Water Extracts for Weed Control in Wheat. *J. of Plant Protec. Res.* 52 (2): 281-285.
 16. Ashraf, M. and M. Aklaq. (2007). Effects of sorghum leaves, roots and stems water extract, hand weeding and herbicide on weeds suppression and yield of wheat. *Sarhad J. Agri.*, 23: 321-328.
 17. Majeed, A., Z. Chaudhry and Z. Muhammad. (2012). Allelopathic assessment of fresh aqueous extracts of *Chenopodium album* L. for growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Pak. J. Bot.*, 44: 165-167.
 18. Cheema, Z. A., Farid M. S. and Khaliq A. (2003 a). Efficacy of concentrated sorgaab in combination with low rates of atrazine for weed control in maize. *J. Anim. Pl. Sci.* 13: 48-52.