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Abstract

The negative effect of the Covid-19 pandemic on the growth potential of the economy, as it affects the health and educational sector serves as an inspiration for this paper to examine the effect of government expenditures on education and health on the economic growth of Nigeria. The study utilized data from the Central Bank of Nigeria statistical bulletin, World Development Indicators, and the Nigeria Centre for Disease Control (NCDC). The dependent variable was gross domestic product, while the explanatory variables include gross fixed capital formation, labour force, and government expenditure on education, government expenditure on health, broad money supply, inflation rate, and exchange rate. The data were analysed using the Bounds test for cointegration and error correction mechanism to investigate both the short run and long run relationship. The Bounds test revealed the existence of a long run relationship between government expenditures on education and health and economic growth. Further, the error correction model revealed that both government expenditures on education and health exert positive and significant effect on economic growth both in the short run and in the long run. The coefficient of the error correction term (0.5833) indicates that 58.33% of the short run disequilibrium is corrected annually. The paper concludes by stating that Nigeria needs to invest massively on the education and health sector so as to make provisions for the necessary infrastructures that could be required for their smooth operations in this period of global pandemic and beyond.


INTRODUCTION

The role of the government in promoting economic growth was pronounced right from the mercantilist doctrine of trade protectionism until the classical economist led by Adam Smith pronounced and promoted laissez faire economic system. This doctrine gained weight over centuries until the Great Depression of the 1930s forced a new dimension to the management of the economy. The Keynesian revolution therefore gained weight and prompted the role of the government in managing the economy through aggressive spending in the time of depression and a reduction in such spending in the time of boom. This economic management practices have been described as fiscal policy to economic management.

The role of the government in promoting economic growth in the country cannot be overemphasised though the classicalist described its role as being inefficient and wasteful. The government on her part can employ strategic expenditure on crucial aspect of the economy so as to derive the desired benefit. Based on the human capital development perspective, government expenditure on human capital development is far more beneficial than investment in physical capital thus; expenditures on education and health are keen to promoting sustainable economic growth in a country.

Education and health are crucial for a nation’s sustainability. Taking education for instance, it is the mental skills that are transferred to the creation and operation of physical capital hence, human intelligence is duly transferred to machines before a functional operation can be achieved. Education is crucial in the development of any society. As noted by [1], improving the education of people is not only a goal in itself for a better quality of life but also its positive impact on the economic development of a country is far-reaching. Also, education remains an end in itself and a means for achieving other goals of development like economic
growth, poverty reduction, improved health care, great equity and reduced fertility [2].

Health on its on part is wealth. A wealthy workforce is likely to exhibit greater productivity as expressed in the production function of a nation. Improvement in health status of the citizenry is an important prerequisite for achieving human capital development in every economy with its multiplier effect in skilful, efficient and productive investment in human capital that will translate into economic development [3] cited in [4].

Both expenditures on education and health are seen as being crucial in human capital development which is important in the economic growth of any nation. This made [5] to incorporate human capital in the study of economic growth under the neoclassical framework. This theory of growth was based on the accumulation of factor inputs which include physical and human capital [6]. The theory views exogenous demographic factors such as population growth rate, labour force and the rate of technical change as being the determinants of long term equilibrium growth rate in the economy.

Investment in education and health have present itself to be crucial in human capital development which is important in the economic growth of any nation. This made [5] to incorporate human capital in the study of economic growth under the neoclassical framework. This theory of growth was based on the accumulation of factor inputs which include physical and human capital [6]. The theory views exogenous demographic factors such as population growth rate, labour force and the rate of technical change as being the determinants of long term equilibrium growth rate in the economy.

The real sector of the Nigeria economy has witnessed severe shock as a result of the pandemic. The economy experienced a 6.1% decline in output in the second quarter of 2020; with a forecast 3.2% decline at the end of the year. A successful management of the pandemic and development of possible cure will be a green light to Nigeria’s economic recovery. Thus, investment in the health sector is crucial for this to be achieved. The educational system could also be revamp if inadequate infrastructure is put in place to device means for a successful academic exercise while giving due attention to the Covid-19 laid down procedures. The sector will also aid in the provision of research findings that will help in the fight against the virus. In this way, investment in education and health will help the Nigeria economy to return to normalcy by the very possible moment. This study therefore seeks to investigate the effect of investment on education and health on economic growth of the country. The study specifically aims at examining the effect of government expenditure on health and education on the gross domestic product of Nigeria.

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

Human capital theories have been utilized in explaining the linkages between human capital development and economic growth. The theory views human capital as the stock of economically productive capabilities, which can be formed by combining innate abilities with investments in human beings [11]. Such investment involves expenditures on education, on-the-job-training, health, and nutrition. The importance of human capital development has been emphasized by the neoclassical growth theorist. With output (Y) defined as a function of capital (K) and labour (L),

\[
Y = f(K, L) \tag{1}
\]

This neoclassical model as developed by [12] is augmented by [5] to capture the role of human capital, expressed as:

\[
Y = K, H, (AL) \tag{2}
\]

Where Y, K, and L are as defined above; and H and A are human capital and productivity coefficient respectively. Thus, an increase in the stock of human capital will throttle economic growth.

Empirical studies on the effect of human capital on economic growth have been carried out by several researchers over the years [13]. Examined the long-run relationship between education and economic growth in Nigeria using the Johansen co-integration approach as a frame work of analysis. The study revealed the existence of a long run relationship
between enrollment in primary and tertiary levels of education and the average years of schooling with output per worker.

Examined the effect of human capital components on economic growth in Nigeria using the OLS and observed a positive and significant effect of human capital development, in terms of expenditure on health and education, on economic growth [14].

Also, [15] utilized the cointegration approach and the Granger causality test to investigate the effect of human capital development on economic growth in Nigeria. The study revealed that there is a long run relationship between public expenditures on education and economic growth. Also, the Granger causality test revealed that expenditure on education Granger cause economic growth.

Bakare, A. S. and Olubokun, S. [16] investigated the effect of health expenditures on economic growth in Nigeria. The study showed that there exists a positive and significant effect of health expenditures on economic growth.

Akintunde, T. S. and Satope, B. F. [17] in his attempt to examine the effect of human capital development and economic growth utilized the Vector Error Correction Mechanism. Their findings showed that there exists a long run relationship between health expenditure and economic growth. Also, health expenditure has a positive and significant effect on economic growth. Similarly, [18] observed that health indicators have a long run impact on economic growth.

Using panel regression analysis, [19] examined the effect of health outcomes on economic growth in developing countries. The study is of the view that improvement in life expectancy has a positive effect on economic growth in developing countries.

In Ethiopia, [20] utilized the ARDL approach to cointegration and the error correction mechanism to study the effect of government expenditure on education and health on economic growth. The findings of the study revealed that there exists a long run relationship and that government expenditure on education and health significantly affect economic growth. However, [21] observed a negative impact of both capital and recurrent expenditure on education on economic growth.

A study by [22] utilized the cointegration approach, error correction mechanism, and Granger causality test. They observed that there exists a long run effect between government expenditure on education and economic growth. Also, the Granger causality test revealed that both government capital and recurrent expenditures Granger cause economic growth.

Finally, [4] examined the effect of government expenditure on health on the quality of life in Nigeria. The study employed the Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) model and observed that government expenditure on health have a significant positive effect on life expectancy in Nigeria.

**METHODOLOGY**

This study employs an econometric approach in examining the effect of human capital development on economic growth of Nigeria. The study utilizes the cointegration approach and the error correction mechanism in achieving such feat. The model for the study is specified as follows:

\[
\text{GDP} = f(K, L, GE, GH, MS, INF, EXC) \quad \text{--------- (3)}
\]

Transforming Equation (3) into its estimable form and linearizing the function by introducing logarithm yields:

\[
\log \text{GDP} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log K + \beta_2 \log L + \beta_3 \log GE + \beta_4 \log GH + \beta_5 \log MS + \beta_6 \log INF + \beta_7 \log EXC + \mu \quad \text{(4)}
\]

Where:
- \( \text{GDP} \): real gross domestic product
- \( K \): gross fixed capital formation (a proxy for capital)
- \( L \): labour force (a proxy for labour)
- \( GE \): government expenditure on education
- \( GH \): government expenditure on health
- \( MS \): broad money supply
- \( INF \): consumer price index (a proxy for inflation)
- \( EXC \): exchange rate
- \( \log \): natural logarithm
- \( \beta_0 \) to \( \beta_7 \): parameters to be estimated
- \( \mu \): the random error term.

Transforming Equation (4) into an error correction model yields,

\[
\Delta \log \text{GDP} = \beta_0 + \sum_{t=1}^{m} \beta_1 \Delta \log K_t + \sum_{t=1}^{m} \beta_2 \Delta \log L_t + \sum_{t=1}^{m} \beta_3 \Delta \log GE_t + \sum_{t=1}^{m} \beta_4 \Delta \log GH_t + \sum_{t=1}^{m} \beta_5 \Delta \log MS_t + \sum_{t=1}^{m} \beta_6 \Delta \log INF_t + \sum_{t=1}^{m} \beta_7 \Delta \log EXC_t + \lambda \text{ECT}_{t-1} + \mu_t \quad \text{(5)}
\]

Where \( \lambda \) captures the speed of adjustment of the short run disequilibrium and \( \text{ECT}_{t-1} \) is the error correction term. The coefficient of the ECT (\( \lambda \)) must be negative and statistically significant before such adjustment is regarded to be error correcting.
Data for the study were all obtained from secondary sources. Specifically, gross domestic product (GDP), government expenditure on education (GE), government expenditure on health (GH), broad money supply (MS), and exchange rate (EXC), were obtained from the Central Bank of Nigeria Statistical Bulletin; while Gross fixed capital formation (K), labour force (L), and inflation rate (INF) were obtained from the World Development Indicators. The data are obtained for the period 1986 to 2018.

**EMPIRICAL FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION**

The result of the Bounds test for cointegration is presented in Table 1.

### Table-1: Bounds Test for Levels Relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F-Bounds Test</th>
<th>Null Hypothesis: No levels relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test Statistic</td>
<td>Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-statistic</td>
<td>11.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Extracted from Eviews 10.

From Table 1, K denotes the number of parameters estimated which turns out to be 7. The null hypothesis for the test is that there is no levels relationship, implying that there is no cointegration. Meanwhile, the F-statistic (11.105) is greater than both the lower I(0) and upper I(1) bounds. This implies that it is significant at the 5% level of significance. The implication here is that the null hypothesis of no levels relationship is therefore rejected hence, there is cointegration.

The existence of cointegration leads to the estimation of the error correction model of which the result is presented in Table 2.

### Table-2: Short Run Error Correction Model Result

| ΔlogK | 0.0070 | 0.0074 | 0.9385 | 0.3651 |
| ΔlogK(-1)) | 0.0271 | 0.0077 | 3.5149 | 0.0038*** |
| ΔlogL | 0.1268 | 0.0329 | 3.8506 | 0.0005*** |
| ΔlogMS | 0.2363 | 0.0422 | 5.5955 | 0.0001*** |
| ΔlogGH | 0.0357 | 0.0163 | 2.1916 | 0.0472** |
| ΔlogGH(-1)) | 0.0395 | 0.0132 | 2.9761 | 0.0107** |
| ΔlogGE | 0.0337 | 0.0147 | 2.2871 | 0.0396** |
| ΔlogGE(-1)) | 0.0265 | 0.0111 | 2.3758 | 0.0336** |
| ΔINF | -0.0008 | 0.0002 | -3.4352 | 0.0044** |
| ΔINF(-1)) | 0.0012 | 0.0002 | 4.5440 | 0.0006*** |
| ΔEXC | -0.0007 | 0.0001 | -4.4451 | 0.0007*** |
| ECT(-1) | -0.5833 | 0.0071 | -11.690 | 0.0000*** |

R-squared = 0.8342 Durbin-Watson Statistic = 1.549

Note: *** and ** denotes significance at the 1% and 5% level respectively

Source: Output Extracted from Eviews 10.

The coefficient of the adjusted short run dynamics is presented in Table 2. From the result, both government expenditure on education and health are growth promoting. They indicate a positive and significant effect on economic growth at the 5% level of significance. Thus, a unit percentage increase in government expenditure on health will lead to a 3.57% increase in economic growth in the short run. Also, a unit percentage increase in government expenditure in education will exert a 3.37% increase in economic growth. The one-period lag of both government expenditures on education and health also exerts a positive and significant effect on economic growth. Hence, a one-period lag of government expenditure on health increases economic growth by 3.95%. Similarly, a one-period lag of government expenditure on education increases economic growth by 2.65%.

Other variables in the model such as broad money supply and labour force are also observed to exert positive and significant effect on economic growth. A unit percentage increase in labour force will yield a 12.68% increase in economic growth; while a unit percentage increase in broad money supply yield to a 23.63% increase in economic growth. However, inflation and exchange rate yields a negative and significant effect on economic growth. A unit percentage increase in inflation rate will prompt a 0.08% decline in economic growth; while a unit percentage increase in exchange rate will lead to a 0.07% decrease in economic growth in Nigeria.

The error correction term (ECT) is negative and statistically significant as expected. The coefficient of the ECT (0.5833) is an indication that 58.33% of the
The long run result is presented in Table 3.

**Table 3: Long Run Result**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LogMS</th>
<th>-0.1515</th>
<th>0.0831</th>
<th>-1.8218</th>
<th>0.1281</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LogL</td>
<td>6.3901</td>
<td>0.6873</td>
<td>9.2962</td>
<td>0.0002***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LogK</td>
<td>-0.0759</td>
<td>0.0469</td>
<td>-1.6168</td>
<td>0.1668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LogGH</td>
<td>0.5330</td>
<td>0.0865</td>
<td>6.1592</td>
<td>0.0016***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LogGE</td>
<td>0.8594</td>
<td>0.0771</td>
<td>11.1414</td>
<td>0.0001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INF</td>
<td>0.1112</td>
<td>0.1500</td>
<td>0.7413</td>
<td>0.4588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXC</td>
<td>-0.0037</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>-6.7636</td>
<td>0.0011***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.96205</td>
<td>11.243</td>
<td>-8.5562</td>
<td>0.0004***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *** and ** denotes significance at the 1% and 5% level respectively.

Source: Output Extracted from Eviews 10.

In the long run, both government expenditures on education and health still exert a positive and significant effect on economic growth in Nigeria. Thus, a unit percentage increase in government expenditure on health will lead to a 53.30% increase in economic growth. Similarly, a unit percentage increase in government expenditure on education will lead to an 85.94% increase in economic growth. This is an indication that investment in human capital is key for a sustainable economic growth of any nation.

Meanwhile, labour force exerts a positive and significant effect on economic growth in the long run; while exchange rate exerts a negative and significant effect. Thus, a unit percentage increase in labour force yields a 6.39% increase in economic growth, while a unit percentage increase in exchange rate will lead to a 0.004% decrease in economic growth. Broad money supply and inflation rate are observed to exert an insignificant effect on economic growth in the long run.

**CONCLUSION**

The Covid-19 pandemic has revealed to Nigeria that there is need for massive investment on the education and health sectors. This study was an attempt to examine the effect of government expenditures on education and health on economic growth of Nigeria for the period 1986 to 2018. The study utilized the Bounds test for cointegration and error correction mechanism. The result shows that government expenditure on education has a positive effect on economic growth of Nigeria both in the short run and in the long run. Also, government expenditure on health exerts a positive and significant effect on the economic growth of Nigeria both in the short run and in the long run. With this, Nigeria needs to invest massively on the education and health sector so as to make provisions for the necessary infrastructures that could be required for their smooth operations in this period of global pandemic and beyond. Government should increase its expenditure on education up to the United Nations’ recommendation of 15% of her total budget to the health sector in line with the implementation of the 2001 World Health Organization (WHO) recommendation. In this way, our health sector will be able to adequately manage emergence of any pandemic as well as efficiently and effectively serving the basic health care needs of the populace. Going by the words of [24], health should not only be viewed as the absence of illnesses; it is also the ability of people to develop to their potential during their entire lives. As such, health affects economic growth directly through labour productivity and the economic burden of illnesses.
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