Outcome of Open Reduction and Internal Fixation through Anterior Approach in Failed Closed Reduction of Supracondylar Humerus Fracture in Children
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Abstract

Supracondylar fractures are a common entity among the pediatric age group and have been managed conservatively as well as operatively in various setups. In tertiary care setups, however, delayed presentation or failed closed reduction has posed a considerable challenge. Literature is few for anterior approach as it is a relatively new technique, but the outcomes were found to be satisfactory; it is also a safe approach. The present institution based, longitudinal, prospective study included 43 patients with Garland type II/III fracture, aged 4–12 years attending the Orthopedics department within 2 weeks of fracture. 2 attempts of closed reduction after manipulation had failed in all patients. Open reduction and internal fixation was performed with K-wire through anterior cubital approach. Mayo Elbow Performance Score was used to assess functional outcome at 6 weeks, 3 months and 6 months. At 6 months of follow up 55.8% of patients had excellent functional outcome and 41.9% patients had good outcome. Anterior approach is thus a viable alternative that can be explored further in cases of delayed presentation and failed reduction of supracondylar fractures in the pediatric patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Supracondylar fractures of the humerus (SFH) account for 3% to 18% of all fractures in children [1]. SFH is the most frequent fracture in children before the age of seven [2]. Ischemia of muscle and injury to nerve is the most serious complication of the injury [3]. Injury to any of the three major nerves around the elbow occurs in 6% to 16% of cases [4]. Cubitus varus is the most frequent problem with a mean incidence of 30% in the series reviewed by Smith [5]. Prevention of complications by careful clinical and radiological evaluation during initial treatment of the supracondylar fracture should be done [6]. Thus, the first step towards management of SFH is careful assessment of the fracture, taking into account the different factors that may modify the outcome, to minimize sequelae and complications.

Given these plethora of complications, it is not surprising that SFH management is also varied, and this has been studied in many ways by different researchers, with varying outcome and no single or unanimous decision regarding the best approach to fulfill all needs or to prevent all complications. A variety of methods of treatment for displaced fractures has been recommended including closed reduction and immobilization [7], closed reduction and posterior intrafocal pinning [8], closed reduction and lateral external fixation [9], traction by various methods [10] and closed [11] or open reduction [12] stabilised by Kirschner (K-) wires. These options display that the treatment goal in displaced supracondylar humerus fractures in children is anatomic reduction; if an anatomic reduction cannot be achieved with closed reduction, open reduction is indicated, and this can be done without an increased risk of complications [13].
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Walmsley et al found that delay increases the need for open reduction of type-III supracondylar fractures of the humerus [14]. The commonly accepted treatment of displaced supracondylar fractures of the humerus in children is fracture reduction and percutaneous pin fixation; however, there is controversy about the optimal placement of the pins. A crossed-pin configuration is believed to be mechanically more stable than lateral pins alone; however, the ulnar nerve can be injured with the use of a medial pin [15]. In a 10-year follow-up study of children with SFH, Dunlop's method with skin traction was followed by minimal loss of elbow motion, less deformity, and no vascular complications [16].

So, we hypothesized that pediatric cases of SFH, who could not be managed by closed reduction or presented to the authors late, might be managed by open reduction and internal fixation via anterior approach.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study was an institution-based longitudinal, prospective study conducted for 14 months in the Department of Orthopedics in a tertiary care hospital in West Bengal. The study was approved by the local ethical committee and all patients gave their informed consent to take part in this investigation. The present study included 43 patients aged 4-12 years with Gartland type II/III fracture, attending the Orthopedics department within 2 weeks of fracture. 2 attempts of closed reduction after manipulation had failed in all patients. No other associated injuries were present. Complete history, physical and radiological examination (X Ray elbow- AP and lateral) of all cases were undertaken. Exclusion criteria included subjects who had Gartland type I fracture, age <4 or >12 years and attending the Orthopedics department after 2 weeks of fracture. After proper pre-op investigation and pre-anesthetic check-up, open reduction and internal fixation was performed with K-wire through anterior cubital approach. After post-operative management, follow up was done at 6 weeks, 3months and 6 months.

**RESULTS**

There were 26 males and 17 females and the mean age was 6 years 2 months. The right limb was affected in 31 cases and left limb in 12 cases. Mean interval from injury to operation was 8 days.

Complications- 1 patient had temporary vascular insufficiency, which resolved after conservative management. 4 patients developed cubitus varus and 1 patient had median nerve injury which resolved partly.
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At 6 months of follow up 55.8% of patients had excellent functional outcome and 41.9% patients had good outcome according to MEPS.

Goals in the treatment of pediatric supracondylar humerus fractures are full recovery of elbow movements, achieving normal cosmetic view of elbow and protecting the patient from neurovascular complications that may occur. Peak incidence for SFH has been reported to be between the ages of 5 and 7
years [17]. In the present study, the mean age was 6 years 2 months. SFH is commoner in boys than girls [18], as also found in the present study. Supracondylar humerus fractures in children are frequently associated with various complications such as neurovascular deficit and compartment syndrome. In total, 7% to 16.1% neurological injuries are reported in the literature [19]. 1 case of median nerve involvement was noted in our study. This patients with neurological deficit was operated after 24 hours. A bonesetter had intervened in this case before hospital admission. Bonesetters intervene frequently in our society, and major complications may occur in the patients [20]. The patient intervened by a bonesetter was followed for one week due to edema and nerve injury. In the follow-up of this patient, 10° flexion loss deformity was observed which was later corrected.

Closed reduction and percutaneous pinning have been accepted as the gold standard by many authors [11]. If closed reduction cannot be achieved, open reduction should be preferred in serious displaced fractures, flexion-type fractures, nerve injuries after closed reduction, open fractures requiring irrigation and debridement, in posterolaterally displaced fractures with a high risk of neurovascular injury [21]. On the other hand, Kazimoglu et al compared primarily open reduction and internal fixation versus closed reduction and percutaneous cross-pinning of Gartland type 3 extension supracondylar fractures in children. The study performed at two different centres included 80 cases. They reported that according to Flynn’s criteria [22], the outcomes of the open and closed reduction groups were not statistically significant. In conclusion, they say that closed reduction showed no superiority over open reduction [23]. Oh et al found that selective open reduction for displaced supracondylar fractures of the distal humerus produced as good results as closed reduction [24]. In our study, the patients were treated only with surgery. We observed 55.8% excellent and 41.9% good result for functional outcome after 6 months of follow up (Table-1).

With respect to various approaches, the authors believe that the medial approach prevents iatrogenic ulnar nerve injuries, it gives a good vision ensuring the restoration of the medial column, and it causes the least incisional scar; the lateral approach is more secure because it is away from the neurovascular structures; the anterior approach is better in the assessment of the joint and neurovascular structures; the posterior approach is better than other approaches in manipulation of fracture fragments. Gennari et al., [25] reported that although the anterior approach is more technically demanding, it gives better functional results. Ersan et al., [20] reported that a total of 46 patients were operated through anterior and 38 through lateral approach. According to Flynn’s criteria [22], results were excellent in 19, good in 18, and fair in one in the lateral incision group, whereas in the anterior incision group, excellent results were obtained in 31 patients and good results in 15 of them. The authors say that when open reduction is needed in pediatric supracondylar fractures, anterior incision offers the advantage of a small scar and easy access to structures that might be injured between the fractured fragments. In the present study through anterior approach, at final follow up 24 of the patients had excellent results, 18 of them had good results and 1 patient had fair result at functional assessment using MEPS (Table-2).

As stated earlier, cubitus varus is the most frequent problem with a mean incidence of 30% in the series reviewed by Smith [5]. Surgical intervention decreases the rate of varus deformity. There were 4 cubitus varus cases (9.3 %) in our study group.

The present study was not without its limitations. The sample size was less; larger sample size will definitely have more reliable results. Duration of study was limited to approximately 14 months; late complication can arise at longer follow up period. The study was only an institutional based observational study, no comparison were made. Observer variation of clinical, radiological and surgical result was a limitation in spite of standardization of assessment methods.

Despite these limitations, the authors believe that data from the study might be of help in further research.

CONCLUSION

Anterior approach is a viable alternative that can be explored further in cases of delayed presentation and failed reduction of SFH in the pediatric patients. More studies with larger number of patients and less limitations should be carried out to validate the data from the present study, for better management of SFH in children.
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