

# Phonetic Variation: Connotations and Frameworks

Wang Yanan<sup>1</sup>, Zhou Yuanzhang<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Foreign Studies, North China Electric Power University, Baoding, Hebei, China

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36348/sijll.2026.v09i10.003>

| Received: 24.08.2026 | Accepted: 17.10.2026 | Published: 22.10.2026

\*Corresponding author: Zhou Yuanzhang

Department of Foreign Studies, North China Electric Power University, Baoding, Hebei, China

## Abstract

As a type of linguistic variation, phonetic variation manifests universal characteristics in human linguistic communication, carries complex sociocultural connotations, and demonstrates significant academic research value. This phenomenon has long served as an important entry point for sociolinguistic research. This paper systematically reviews existing research findings, focusing on the motivational mechanisms and sociocultural background that may give rise to phonetic variation. **Keywords:** Phonetic Variation, Linguistic Variation, Sociolinguistics, Phonetics, Human Linguistic Communication, Sociocultural Factors, Language Variation.

**Copyright © 2026 The Author(s):** This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

## 1. CONCEPTS AND DEFINITIONS

Phonetic variation is a significant phenomenon in linguistics, referring to the phenomenon in which language users deliberately deviate from phonetic norms in specific contexts due to expressive needs or social, psychological, and other factors, creating non-standard pronunciation forms. Its essence lies in "deviation from norms" and "creative use," aiming to achieve special expressive effects through changes at the phonetic level.

From a sociolinguistic perspective, phonetic variation carries great significance. It not only reflects speakers' social characteristics such as social class, gender, and ethnicity, but also embodies the vitality and adaptability of language as a communication tool. Through phonetic variation, individuals can manifest their unique social identity and cultural background in linguistic communication, while also providing impetus for the development and evolution of language.

## 2. Classification Research on Phonetic Variation

### 2.1 Social Class

Social class has a significant influence on phonetic variation. Generally speaking, the higher the social class, the higher the level of education people may receive, and the stricter the social constraints on their communicative language rules; their pronunciation tends to be closer to the standard language. For example, a pronunciation survey of salesclerks in New York department stores found that clerks in first-class stores (whose clerks mostly belong to the upper-middle class) did not pronounce post-vocalic [r], clerks in mid-range stores partially pronounced [r], while clerks in low-end stores more frequently pronounced [r] (Song, 2004). In addition, research in London, UK, has also conducted detailed classification of pronunciation among people of different classes, further confirming the close relationship between social class and phonetic variation.

| Research Item | Details                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Study Name    | Survey on the use of /r/ in communication among New York department store salesclerks                                                                                                             |
| Subjects      | Salesclerks from first-class, mid-range, and low-end stores in New York                                                                                                                           |
| Method        | By asking "Excuse me, where are the women's shoes?" to collect pronunciation samples of post-vocalic /r/ in the phrase "fourth floor"                                                             |
| Key Findings  | Approximately 38% of first-class store clerks omitted post-vocalic /r/, 49% of middle-class clerks omitted /r/, while working-class clerks in low-end stores had the highest omission rate at 83% |
| Conclusion    | Social class is positively correlated with the frequency of standard pronunciation use; the higher the class, the closer to standard English                                                      |

| Research Item       | Details                                                                                                                                                         |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Study Name</b>   | Analysis of pronunciation index across different social classes in London                                                                                       |
| <b>Subjects</b>     | Five social classes in London divided by occupation and income (upper middle class, middle class, lower middle class, upper working class, lower working class) |
| <b>Method</b>       | Through quantitative analysis of /r/ pronunciation differences, an "R-index" was established to measure class phonetic features                                 |
| <b>Key Findings</b> | Middle-class women had the highest R-index (70%), while working-class men had the lowest (25%)                                                                  |
| <b>Conclusion</b>   | The higher the social class, the more standardized the use of standard pronunciation; language is subject to stronger social constraints                        |

## 2.2 Gender

Gender is also one of the important factors influencing phonetic variation. In many cases, women's pronunciation is closer to standard English than men's. This is related to the higher social normative expectations regarding women's language. Traditionally, language has been regarded as one of the important components of women's social status in social communication; therefore, women pay more attention to

using pronunciation closer to the standard to appear more educated and of higher social standing (Song, 2004). For example, studies of African American Vernacular English in Detroit, USA, and in Norwich, UK, both show that regardless of social class, women are closer to standard English in terms of post-vocalic [r] pronunciation and the pronunciation of progressive verb endings.

| Research Item       | Details                                                                                                                       |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Study Name</b>   | Research on verb ending pronunciation in Norwich                                                                              |
| <b>Subjects</b>     | Men and women of different social classes in Norwich                                                                          |
| <b>Method</b>       | Analyzing the ratio of progressive verb ending "-ing" pronounced as /ɪŋ/ vs. /ən/                                             |
| <b>Key Findings</b> | Women used the standard pronunciation /ɪŋ/ more frequently, while men tended to use the non-standard /ən/                     |
| <b>Conclusion</b>   | Gender differences lead to phonetic variation; women proactively standardize their pronunciation to enhance social evaluation |

| Research Item       | Details                                                                                                                                       |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Study Name</b>   | Comparison of post-vocalic /r/ in Detroit African American Vernacular English                                                                 |
| <b>Subjects</b>     | African American men and women of different social classes in Detroit                                                                         |
| <b>Method</b>       | Calculating the percentage of post-vocalic /r/ pronunciation to compare gender differences                                                    |
| <b>Key Findings</b> | Women of the same class had a significantly higher /r/ pronunciation rate than men (e.g., 90% for upper-middle-class women vs. 66.7% for men) |
| <b>Conclusion</b>   | Gender influences phonetic choices through social norms; women tend to use standard language more                                             |

## 2.3 Ethnicity

Ethnic differences can also lead to phonetic variation. Due to the influence of cultural values, living environments, and native languages, different ethnic groups exhibit distinct characteristics in their English pronunciation. For instance, there are numerous pronunciation differences between African American Vernacular English and White American English. Features in African American Vernacular English include omission of post-vocalic [r], nasalization of vowels before nasal consonants with concurrent omission of the nasal consonant (Wang, 2023). Singapore English, Hong Kong English, and Indian English all carry strong regional and ethnic characteristics, reflecting the variation of English as a foreign language across different ethnic groups.

between certain vowels, simplification of diphthongs or weakening of the gliding process, vowel substitution due to phoneme omission, and addition of final vowels. For example, Indonesian English, influenced by the short syllables and fast speech rate of Indonesian, pronounces long vowels as short vowels; in Bruneian and Malaysian English, /æ/ is often pronounced as /e/; in Thai, Bruneian, and Burmese English, there is diphthong simplification and substitution (Wang, 2023).

Consonant variation includes dental fricatives /θ/ and /ð/ being replaced by /t/ and /d/, addition of final consonants, omission of word-final consonants, and simplification of consonant clusters. For instance, Lao English, influenced by colonial French, confuses /p/ and /b/, /s/ and /z/, /h/ and /p/; Indonesian English pronounces "worry" as /wʌri/ and "ministry" as /ministri/; Cambodian English pronounces "tax" as /tak/; Thai English sometimes simplifies consonant clusters into single consonants (Wang, 2023).

## 3. Features of Phonetic Variation

### 3.1 Segmental Level

Vowel variation includes unclear distinction between long and short vowels, lack of distinction

### 3.2 Suprasegmental Level

**Stress shift:** For example, Lao English has backward stress shift, Malaysian English stress often shifts backward to the final syllable, while Singapore English has forward stress shift.

**Rhythm and intonation:** Some regional varieties of English have weak rhythm, with indistinct sentence stress and unstressed patterns. For instance, Singapore English has almost no rhythmic variation and few intonation types. Adjacent consonants and vowels hardly form liaison (Wang, 2023).

## 4. MOTIVATIONS OF PHONETIC VARIATION

### 4.1 Social Stratification

Social stratification plays a central role in phonetic variation. In the social stratification structure, people of different social classes possess varying degrees of linguistic capital. People in the upper social strata tend to use pronunciation closer to the standard language, as it is regarded as a symbol of high social status and good educational background. For example, in some English-speaking countries, pronunciation using standard British or American English is usually associated with social groups who are well-educated and engaged in high-income professions. This phonetic advantage can help them gain more social resources and opportunities, such as being more favored in job seeking or earning greater respect and recognition in social settings.

Relatively speaking, the speech of lower social groups may be considered non-standard, even labeled as "lower-class." This phonetic difference not only reflects social class differentiation but also to some extent deepens the barriers between classes. The phonetic differences between dialects and Mandarin are a typical example. Local urban residents may consider dialect speakers to have more local character and warmth, while also perceiving Mandarin speakers as more culturally literate and modern. Such perceptions, to a certain extent, influence communication and integration among different social classes.

### 4.2 Identity Construction

Identity construction is another core motivation for phonetic variation. Phonetic variation features can serve as markers of group boundaries, helping individuals construct and reinforce their social identity. In some groups with unique cultures, specific phonetic variation features are important symbols of their group identity. For example, in punk culture, punk groups use unique pronunciation methods that contradict mainstream culture to highlight their individuality and rebellious spirit. They may deliberately distort the pronunciation of certain vowels or consonants, or use non-standard phonetic intonation, thereby drawing a line with mainstream society and expressing dissatisfaction with traditional values and authority.

### 4.3 Language Contact and Spread

Against the backdrop of globalization, language contact and spread have exerted a profound influence on phonetic variation. With the increasing frequency of international trade, cultural exchange, and population migration, contact and communication between different languages have grown. This contact promotes phonetic borrowing and integration, driving the spread of phonetic variation. As a global lingua franca, English has exerted a significant influence on the phonological systems of many native languages through loanwords. In some non-English speaking countries, English vocabulary, when introduced into local languages, often undergoes phonological adjustment and modification according to the phonetic rules of the local language.

## 5. Theoretical Framework: Sociolinguistic Explanatory Models for Phonetic Variation

### 5.1 Speech Community Theory

Speech community theory was proposed by sociolinguist Hymes (1972), referring to a social group based on shared language norms, communicative rules, and cultural identity. This theory emphasizes that linguistic variation is a product of intra-group interaction and identity construction, rather than an isolated phonetic phenomenon.

Speech communities construct "in-group/out-group" boundaries through specific phonetic features, such as dialects, accents, and pronunciation of professional terminology. Speech community theory holds that each variant feature is a collective choice made by community members driven by power, identity, and social needs.

### 5.2 Markedness Theory

Markedness theory originated in phonology and was later extended to sociolinguistics. Its core logic is as follows: unmarked forms are linguistic features regarded as "conventional" and "natural," with high social acceptance, such as standard pronunciation. Marked forms are variant features endowed with "special" and "deviant" attributes, often bound to specific social meanings, such as dialect accents. Markedness theory essentially conceptualizes phonetic variation as "phonetic symbols of social meaning," where the markedness of each variant feature is the result of social power, cultural identity, and historical construction.

### 5.3 Linguistic Identity Theory

Linguistic identity theory regards phonetic variation as a dynamic process in which individuals or groups construct and negotiate identity through linguistic features. By solidifying specific phonetic features, group members transform variation into "identity badges," strengthening in-group identity.

## 6. RESEARCH METHODS

### 6.1 Social Survey Method

Questionnaire survey: The social survey method is one of the basic methods in phonetic variation research. It collects information on language usage habits and phonetic features of large target populations through scientifically designed questionnaires. Questionnaire content usually covers respondents' social background (such as age, gender, occupation, education level), language usage environment (such as family language, social language), and perceptions and attitudes toward specific phonetic phenomena. For example, in studying phonetic variation between dialect and Mandarin in a particular region, questionnaires can be used to understand the frequency of dialect and Mandarin use among different age groups, the contexts of use, and subjective perceptions of phonetic differences between the two. This helps to deeply analyze the influencing factors and variation patterns of phonetic variation from sociocultural and individual cognitive perspectives.

Speech sample collection: When Labov studied phonetic variation among salesclerks in New York department stores, to avoid subjects deliberately changing their speech because they knew they were being recorded, he employed an ingenious covert observation method. He and his assistants pretended to be customers, asking simple questions about product locations to induce clerks to naturally produce sentences containing target phonetic features (such as post-vocalic [r]), and then quickly recorded the pronunciation characteristics. This method enables the collection of speech samples in a relatively natural language environment, reducing artificial interference and ensuring the authenticity and reliability of the data.

### 6.2 Corpus Analysis

Corpus analysis is a method used in phonetic variation research to process and analyze large-scale linguistic data. By comparing phonetic databases of different social groups, the patterns and features of phonetic variation can be systematically revealed. Taking the BNC (British National Corpus) as an example, this corpus covers a wide range of British English texts and annotates their pronunciation. Researchers can utilize the corpus's retrieval and statistical functions to extract phonetic feature data from speakers of different regions, genders, and age groups for comparative analysis.

### 6.3 Experimental Phonetics

Experimental phonetics employs advanced instruments and acoustic analysis software to deeply explore the characteristics of phonetic variation from a physical level. Acoustic analysis is its core content, mainly measuring various acoustic parameters of speech signals, such as fundamental frequency (reflecting pitch) and formants (reflecting sound quality and vowel differences). By measuring fundamental frequency

changes across different speakers, pitch variation in speech can be studied, which is of great significance for analyzing intonation, stress, and emotional expression.

### 6.4 Digital Humanities Methods

Digital humanities methods have opened up new perspectives and approaches for phonetic variation research. With the widespread application of social media, massive amounts of text, speech, and other data have been generated. Through big data analysis technology, phonetic-related data on social media platforms (such as Twitter) can be mined to discover new trends and characteristics of phonetic variation. For example, studying the frequency of use of phonetic emoji on Twitter and its pronunciation associations, analyzing users' pronunciation interpretations and innovative uses of phonetic emoji across different regions and cultural backgrounds, helps to understand the dynamic development of phonetic variation in online language environments and the influence of cultural factors on phonetic variation.

## 7. Applications and Influence of Phonetic Variation in Different Contexts

### 7.1 Advertising Slogans

Phonetic variation in advertising slogans is a common rhetorical device aimed at attracting consumers' attention and enhancing the appeal and memorability of advertisements. Phonetic variation in advertising slogans is mainly realized through the following methods: first, rhythmic beats in speech, such as "Drink Jinzhongzi, live a good life"; second, rhyme, such as "If you want good skin, use Dabao morning and night"; third, reduplication, such as "Sour, sweet, nutritious, great taste"; fourth, repetition, such as "This festival no gifts, only gifts this festival is Brain Platinum"; fifth, coordinate words, such as "Vivi soybean milk, joyous embrace"; sixth, homophonic puns, such as "Cough (moment) cannot be delayed."

### 7.2 Internet Slang

Phonetic variation in internet slang features economy and conciseness, strong visual appeal, humor, and vulgarization. The external forms of phonetically varied words mainly include numeric-letter forms, Chinese character forms, and compound forms. Numeric-letter forms include abbreviated pinyin words, numeric homophones, English initialisms, word-number combinations in English contexts, and special numeric combinations; Chinese character forms cover Mandarin homophone substitutions, dialect homophone substitutions, and Chinese character transliterations of loanwords.

### 7.3 English Films and Television Works and Their Translation

Phonetic variation in English films and television works can reveal themes, characterize figures, and create comedic effects. However, in subtitle translation, due to the limitations of time, space, visuals,

and context, how to handle phonetic variation presents a challenge. Common translation strategies include homophonic puns, grammatical variation, lexical variation, and phonetic variation in the target language. However, in practice, the treatment of phonetic variation is not yet sufficient; sometimes it is even ignored, thereby affecting the artistic effect of the original work and the audience's viewing experience (Gu, 2013).

## 8. CONCLUSION

As a complex linguistic phenomenon, phonetic variation has broad research prospects in the field of sociolinguistics. It is influenced by multiple factors such as social class, gender, and ethnicity, and demonstrates diverse characteristics and applications in different contexts. Future research on phonetic variation can further explore its interaction with other linguistic variation factors, as well as new trends and characteristics of phonetic variation with continuous social changes and deepening cultural exchanges, in order to more comprehensively understand the nature of language and human communicative behavior.

The study was financially supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2026MS215)' entitled "Research on Digital Intelligence Application Competence of English Teachers in Energy-oriented Universities".

## REFERENCES

1. Song, X. D. (2004). Phonetic variation and class, gender, and ethnic differences. *Journal of Shanghai Normal University (Philosophy & Social Sciences Edition)*, 33(6), 117-119. DOI: 10.13852/j.cnki.jshnu.2004.06.022
2. Wang, Q. Features of English phonetic variation in RCEP agreement countries and prevention of interpretation interference[J]. *New Education Era Electronic Journal (Teacher Edition)*, 2023(52): 163-165. DOI: 10.12218/j.issn.2095-4743.2023.52.163.
3. Wang, M. H., Yan, D. W., & Du, Z. Q. (2014). A latest research review of phonetic variation phenomena in Mandarin. *Journal of Hotan Normal College*, 33(1), 31-34.
4. Gu, X. Q., & Liu, H. (2013). Phonetic variation in English films and television works and its translation. *Modern Language Journal (Language Research Edition)*, (09), 151-154.
5. Rong, L. L., & Wang, D. L. (2015). "Linguistic variation" in Hamlet from the perspective of cognitive linguistics. *Language Construction*, (15), 91-92. doi: 10.16412/j.cnki.1001-8476.2015.15.046.
6. Jahiu, E., & Elezi, S. (2024). Gender-related phonetic variation of vowels in Prishtina. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, 14(7), 1971-1980.
7. Ng, B. K., & Chiew, P. S. (2024). Phonetic variation of initial stop consonants in Malaysian English. *English World-Wide*, 45(3), 367-407.