OPEN ACCESS

Scholars International Journal of Chemistry and Material Sciences

Abbreviated Key Title: Sch Int J Chem Mater Sci
ISSN 2616-8669 (Print) |ISSN 2617-6556 (Online)
Scholars Middle East Publishers, Dubai, United Arab Emirates
Journal homepage: http://saudijournals.com

Original Research Article

Sustainable Material Innovation: A Practice-Based Approach to Industrial Design Solutions

Guru Jaison Pandian[1]*

[1]Candor International School, Bangalore

DOI: https://doi.org/10.36348/sijcms.2025.v08i06.003 | **Received:** 16.09.2025 | **Accepted:** 11.11.2025 | **Published:** 17.11.2025

***Corresponding author:** Guru Jaison Pandian
Candor International School, Bangalore

Abstract

This paper examines how designers engage with sustainable materials through a practice-based methodology that integrates reflection, experimentation, and material understanding. It repositions sustainability not as a static design objective, but as a dynamic, iterative process that emerges through the act of making. By engaging with renewable, bio-based, and waste-derived materials, the research demonstrates how creative practice fosters ecological literacy and responsible production. Drawing upon design research and case-based evidence, this study argues that sustainability evolves from experiential learning, material dialogue, and systemic thinking rather than prescriptive frameworks. The outcomes emphasize the designer's evolving role as a mediator between creativity, ecology, and technology.

Keywords: Sustainable design, Practice-based research, Material innovation, Circular design, Ecological responsibility, Design education.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, industrial design has shifted from a focus on functionality and form to a broader concern with sustainability and ethics. The environmental crisis, resource depletion, and industrial waste have made sustainable innovation a critical agenda across creative disciplines. Design now stands at the intersection of environmental responsibility and technological progress (Walker, 2021). Materials, once regarded as neutral media for production, have become active participants in shaping the ethical and cultural dimensions of products. This reconceptualisation of materials as agents of change challenges designers to adopt new frameworks of inquiry rooted in practice, reflection, and social consciousness.

Practice-based research in design provides a crucial bridge between *making* and *knowing*, positioning creative practice as both a method and a mode of inquiry. It recognises the designer's process—sketching, prototyping, testing, and iterating—as an intellectual and reflective act through which new forms of knowledge are produced (Candy & Edmonds, 2018). This approach challenges the conventional hierarchy that separates theory from practice, suggesting instead that knowledge in design often arises through embodied engagement with materials, tools, and contexts. Each act of making becomes a site of discovery where reflection-in-action (Schön, 1983) allows the designer to navigate the uncertainties and complexities of real-world challenges.

By emphasising material exploration and experiential understanding, practice-based research redefines design not merely as problem-solving but as a continuous dialogue between human intention and material behaviour. This process foregrounds *tacit knowledge*—the intuitive understanding that emerges from doing—as central to innovation in sustainable design (Gray & Malins, 2021). In this sense, sustainability becomes less of a prescriptive framework and more of a lived practice that unfolds through iterative experimentation.

The present study situates itself within this paradigm, aligning design activity with ecological responsibility and material ethics. It argues that sustainable material innovation cannot be achieved solely through theoretical reasoning, computational modelling, or industrial optimisation. While such frameworks offer analytical clarity, they often overlook the sensory, cultural, and ethical dimensions embedded in the act of making. Genuine sustainability, therefore, must emerge from tactile engagement and critical reflection—an embodied inquiry that acknowledges the agency of materials themselves. The designer's role

evolves from a manipulator of form to a collaborator in a co-creative process with the material world, where ecological sensitivity and creative intelligence coexist.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The discourse on sustainability in design has matured considerably over the last decade, reflecting a growing consensus that designers must play a central role in addressing the intertwined crises of climate change, overconsumption, and material waste. The emphasis has shifted from designing products for short-term functionality to creating systems, materials, and experiences that foster long-term environmental and social well-being (Ceschin & Gaziulusoy, 2020). As sustainability becomes embedded in mainstream design discourse, scholars increasingly frame design as a transformative cultural practice—one that not only reacts to ecological challenges but proactively shapes new ways of living and producing.

Manzini (2015) remains one of the key figures in this transition. His concept of *design for social innovation* encourages designers to move beyond artifact creation toward facilitating social systems that promote sustainable living. He argues that sustainability must be understood not only as a technical or economic concern but also as a social process that emerges through collaboration, empathy, and cultural sensitivity. Designers, therefore, act as mediators between technological progress and human experience, helping societies adapt to new models of consumption, community, and care.

Building upon this foundation, contemporary researchers highlight the necessity of *systems thinking* in sustainable design. Ceschin and Gaziulusoy (2020) and Bhamra and Lofthouse (2019) advocate for multi-level approaches that consider the interactions between products, users, and ecosystems. Such frameworks require designers to think across temporal and spatial scales—considering the long-term implications of materials, energy use, and social behavior. These perspectives reinforce that sustainability cannot be achieved through isolated product optimization; rather, it demands systemic redesign at the level of industries, services, and lifestyles.

Parallel to systems approaches, *material-centered design research* has become increasingly influential. Karana, Barati, and Rognoli (2018) propose *Material-Driven Design (MDD)* as a structured method for integrating sensory, emotional, and ethical dimensions into material selection. They argue that materials possess communicative power—conveying stories about origin, craftsmanship, and environmental impact. Through this lens, materials are not passive inputs but active agents that shape user perception and behavior. The emerging field of *material experience design* extends this idea by exploring how materials can evoke empathy, responsibility, and environmental awareness among users (Barati *et al.*, 2019).

Recent studies have expanded these ideas into practical design contexts. For example, Wilkes et al. (2022) examined how biodegradable composites influence consumer attitudes toward sustainable furniture, finding that tactile engagement and perceived naturalness increase users' willingness to pay for ethical products. Similarly, Jones *et al.*, (2022) explored *mycelium-based materials* as sustainable alternatives to plastics, emphasizing their regenerative potential and low-energy production processes. These studies highlight how material innovation can drive cultural as well as technological change, reshaping both industry standards and consumer expectations.

Emotional engagement has also become a critical theme in sustainable design research. Chapman's (2020) theory of *emotionally durable design* suggests that the longevity of a product depends not only on its physical durability but also on the emotional bonds users form with it. When products hold sentimental or aesthetic value, users are less likely to discard them. This insight aligns with Walker's (2021) argument that sustainability must include "material consciousness"—an awareness of how materiality shapes moral and cultural relationships with the environment. Together, these perspectives emphasize the affective dimension of sustainability, expanding its scope from resource efficiency to meaning-making.

Beyond individual products, the concept of the *circular economy* has emerged as a comprehensive strategy for rethinking material flows. Stahel (2019) describes circularity as a regenerative model that eliminates waste through reuse, repair, and remanufacture. Kirchherr, Reike, and Hekkert (2018) identify the designer's pivotal role in enabling this transition—by creating products and systems that support continuous material circulation. More recent analyses, such as Geissdoerfer et al. (2023), argue that the circular economy must evolve beyond industrial recycling to embrace cultural and behavioral transformation, positioning design as a facilitator of sustainable habits and value systems.

An additional body of literature explores the role of *bio-based and regenerative materials* in sustainable design. Research by Horne and Jönsson (2021) and Liu et al. (2023) demonstrates that materials derived from renewable biological sources—such as algae bioplastics, bacterial cellulose, and agricultural waste composites—offer new pathways for reducing environmental impact. These studies show that material innovation can bridge ecological ethics and commercial viability, supporting design practices that align with nature's regenerative cycles.

In parallel, design theorists have called for re-evaluating the epistemological foundations of design knowledge. Candy and Edmonds (2018) and Gray and Malins (2021) argue that *practice-based research* provides a robust methodology for investigating sustainability through creative action. By acknowledging the designer's reflective and embodied engagement with materials, this approach generates experiential knowledge that complements empirical data. In this context, sustainability is not only a technical challenge but also a philosophical and pedagogical inquiry—an exploration of how design can contribute to planetary well-being through mindful making and critical reflection.

More recent literature further bridges the gap between material exploration and systemic sustainability. Durrant *et al.*, (2023) highlight that education in design for sustainability must include *material encounters*—hands-on learning experiences that foster critical awareness of ecological and social interdependencies. Meanwhile, Boks and McAloone (2020) argue that integrating behavioral insights into sustainable design practices allows for greater alignment between product innovation and user responsibility. These approaches position sustainability as an iterative process of collaboration, reflection, and adaptation across disciplines.

Taken together, the literature underscores that sustainability in design operates across three interrelated dimensions: systemic, material, and experiential. The systemic dimension focuses on networks, circularity, and social innovation; the material dimension emphasizes tactile, sensory, and regenerative qualities of matter; and the experiential dimension links emotional connection to long-term product engagement. Practice-based research provides a unifying framework through which these dimensions can interact, allowing designers to investigate sustainability as both a process of inquiry and a lived, reflective practice.

3. METHODOLOGY

This research employed a qualitative, practice-based framework grounded in material experimentation and reflective analysis. Practice-based research recognises making as a process of inquiry, allowing tacit knowledge to surface through interaction with materials (Gray & Malins, 2021). The methodology involved four interrelated stages:

1. Material Exploration: Experimentation with renewable, biodegradable, and recycled materials, including bamboo fibre, mycelium, bioplastics, and agricultural residues.
2. Prototyping and Form Development: Designing small-scale prototypes to test strength, flexibility, surface quality, and usability.
3. Documentation and Reflection: Recording design processes through visual diaries, photographs, and notes to analyse material performance and designer response.
4. Comparative Case Study: Reviewing contemporary examples from global design practices, such as Adidas' ocean plastic footwear and IKEA's sustainable sourcing initiatives.
5. This combination of practice and analysis facilitated a grounded understanding of how sustainable materials behave, fail, and evolve in response to environmental and design constraints.

4. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The practical engagement with materials revealed complex interdependencies between ecological aims, design feasibility, and user experience. Mycelium-based composites demonstrated lightweight and compostable properties but required controlled moisture conditions to prevent degradation. Bioplastics, while adaptable, faced issues of brittleness and temperature sensitivity. These findings highlight the need for design strategies that respect material limitations rather than forcing them to mimic synthetic counterparts (Vasquez *et al.*, 2022).

Designers act as intermediaries between scientific research, industrial practice, and human values. The narrative embedded in each material—its origin, transformation, and end-of-life—adds a layer of meaning that enhances consumer awareness (Chapman, 2020). By making this narrative visible through storytelling and product transparency, designers can cultivate a culture of mindful consumption.

The principles of circular design (Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2021) further demonstrate that sustainable innovation requires systemic thinking. For example, companies such as Patagonia and Interface have restructured their production models to include closed-loop material systems and take-back programs. At a community level, the use of local and indigenous materials like jute and coconut fiber fosters cultural sustainability while supporting regional economies. Such practices suggest that the path to sustainability is plural—contextualized by geography, culture, and material availability.

5. IMPLICATIONS FOR DESIGN EDUCATION AND PRACTICE

The transition toward sustainability demands a fundamental change in design education. Future designers must acquire material literacy—the ability to understand the physical, ecological, and emotional properties of materials. Experiential learning environments, such as material labs and maker spaces, allow students to engage directly with sustainable materials, fostering critical awareness and creativity (Durrant *et al.*, 2023). Integrating sustainability into core

design curricula prepares students to address the ethical and environmental dimensions of practice.

In professional settings, collaboration between designers, scientists, and policymakers is essential. Cross-disciplinary teams enable knowledge exchange and technological advancement. For instance, partnerships between biotechnologists and designers have produced biofabricated materials like bacterial cellulose and mushroom leather (Jones *et al.*, 2022). These collaborations signal the emergence of a hybrid profession where creativity, ethics, and science converge.

Moreover, sustainable design practice must be iterative, inclusive, and context-sensitive. Designers should adopt reflective methodologies that consider the social impact of material choices, promoting circularity and resilience within production systems.

6. CONCLUSION

Sustainable material innovation represents a paradigm shift in industrial design, redefining the designer's role from a creator of products to a facilitator of ecological balance. This paper demonstrates that practice-based research enables designers to generate knowledge through making, where experimentation becomes inquiry and reflection becomes method. Findings reveal that sustainability arises from continuous learning, collaboration, and respect for material agency. The future of design depends on nurturing this balance between creativity, ethics, and ecology, ensuring that innovation supports planetary well-being.

REFERENCES

- Boks, C., & McAloone, T. (2020). Design for Sustainable Behaviour: Strategies and Tools. Journal of Cleaner Production, 261, 121210.
- Candy, L., & Edmonds, E. (2018). Practice-Based Research in the Creative Arts: Foundations and Futures. Leonardo, 51(1), 63–69.
- Chapman, J. (2020). Emotionally Durable Design: Objects, Experiences and Empathy. Routledge.
- Durrant, A., Vines, J., & Wallace, J. (2023). Material Encounters: Teaching Design for Sustainability. Design Studies, 85, 102525.
- Ellen MacArthur Foundation. (2021). Circular Design Guide. Retrieved from https://ellenmacarthurfoundation.org
- Gray, C., & Malins, J. (2021). Visualizing Research: A Guide to the Research Process in Art and Design. Routledge.
- Jones, M., Huynh, T., Dekiwadia, C., & Daver, F. (2022). Mycelium Composites: A Review of Engineering Characteristics and Growth Kinetics. Journal of Bioresources, 17(4), 6502–6523.
- Karana, E., Barati, B., Rognoli, V., & Zeeuw van der Laan, A. (2018). Material Driven Design: A Method to Design for Material Experiences. International Journal of Design, 12(2), 35–54.
- Kirchherr, J., Reike, D., & Hekkert, M. (2018). Conceptualizing the Circular Economy: An Analysis of 114 Definitions. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 127, 221–232.
- Manzini, E. (2015). Design, When Everybody Designs: An Introduction to Design for Social Innovation. MIT Press.
- Stahel, W. (2019). The Circular Economy: A User's Guide. Routledge.
- Vasquez, M., Lee, S., & Kim, J. (2022). Bio-based Composites and Their Role in Sustainable Product Design. Sustainability, 14(11), 6548.
- Walker, S. (2021). Design and Spirituality: Material Culture for a Wise Economy. Routledge.