Scholars International Journal of Anatomy and Physiology

Abbreviated Key Title: Sch Int J Anat Physiol ISSN 2616-8618 (Print) | ISSN 2617-345X (Online) Scholars Middle East Publishers, Dubai, United Arab Emirates Journal homepage: http://saudijournals.com/sijap/

Original Research Article

Morphological and Morphometric Parameters of Human Kidneys - A Cadaveric Study

K. Dharmadas¹, S. Lokanadham¹ and Arun Raj Pusthela^{2*}

¹Department of Anatomy, Santhiram Medical College and Hospital, NH-18, Nandyal, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh-518501, India ²Teaching Faculty, Department of Anatomy, Santhiram Medical College, NH-18, Nandyal, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh-518501, India

*Corresponding author: Mr. ArunRaj Pusthela **DOI**:10.21276/sijap.2019.2.4.5

| Received: 07.04.2019 | Accepted: 17.04.2019 | Published: 30.04.2019

Abstract

Background: The size of the kidney can be considered as an important indication for Congenital anomalies and in many clinical signs. *Aim:* to study the morphological and morphometric parameters of human kidneys in Andhra Pradesh. *Materials and Methods:* Fifty formalin fixed kidney specimens (Right-25; Left-25) obtained from cadavers with age range 55-60 years were utilized from the Department of Anatomy, Santhiram Medical College, Nandyal to study the morphological and morphometric parameters of human kidney specimens. The morphometric parameters like length, width, thickness and weight of the kidneys were measured and recorded. *Results:* The means of length, width, and thickness of the right kidneys were 7.63±1.039, 3.93±0.431, 2.62±0.373 whereas left kidneys were 7.68±1.004, 3.96±0.426, 2.58±0.396 in the present study. The mean weight of the right kidney was 126.92±24.923 whereas the mean of left kidney weight was131±24.363 in the present study. The morphometric parameters like length, width, thickness, and weight were more in left kidney compared to right kidneys. The higher morphometric values were noted in the male kidney specimens when compared to female kidney specimens in the present study *Conclusion:* Morphometric parameters have a significant role in size, vascular diseases and congenital anomalies of human kidneys and possess greater clinical importance.

Keywords: Kidney, lobulation, morphometry.

Copyright @ 2019: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use (NonCommercial, or CC-BY-NC) provided the original author and source are credited

Introduction

The kidneys are reddish brown in colour and lie behind the peritoneum high up on the posterior abdominal wall on either side of the vertebral column; they are largely under cover of the costal margin. The right kidney lies slightly lower than the left kidney because of the large size of the right lobe of the liver [1]. Each kidney is approximately 11-14 cm in length, 6 cm wide and 4 cm thick and weighs about 120-150 grams [2]. The size of the kidney influenced by congenital anomalies, neoplasia, vascular diseases. The morphometric parameters alterations play a significant role in diagnosing kidney disease [3]. Renal morphometry and its importance were obtained from radiological investigations like ultrasound, computed tomogram, and nuclear magnetic resonance but studies on anatomical aspects of renal morphometry are lack [4, 5]. The present study was aimed to study the morphological and morphometric parameters of human kidneys in Andhra Pradesh population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Formalin-fixed 50 kidneys (Right -25; Left-25) obtained from the cadavers with age range 55-60 years were utilized from the Department of Anatomy. Santhiram Medical College, Nandyal to study the morphological and morphometric parameters of human kidneys in Andhra Pradesh population (Figure-1). The morphological parameters like shape, size were noted and the morphometric parameters like length, width, and thickness of the kidneys by using vernier calipers and recorded. The length of each kidney measured as the distance from superior pole to inferior pole, the width of kidney considered as transverse diameter from medial border to lateral border of the kidney, and anteroposterior diameter of kidney considered as the thickness of the kidney by using vernier calipers. The weight of the kidneys was measured by using a digital weighing machine and recorded. The variation in the size of the kidneys, lobulation were included whereas cystic kidneys and exaggeration of hilum were excluded in the present study.

RESULTS

All the kidney specimens are bean-shaped on morphological observation in the present study. Two kidney specimens of the right side out 25 specimens showed lobulation whereas left kidneys were normal (Figure-2). The means of length, width, and thickness of the right kidneys were 7.63 ± 1.039 , 3.93 ± 0.431 , 2.62+0.373 whereas left kidneys were 7.68+1.004, 3.96+0.426, 2.58+0.396 in the present study. The mean weight of the right kidney was 126.92+24.923 whereas the mean of left kidney weight was 131+24.363 in the present study (Table-1). The morphometric parameters like length, width, thickness, and weight were more in left kidney compared to right kidneys. The mean of male right kidney parameters like length, width, thickness, and

were $7.82\pm1.2335,4.00\pm0.4667,2.66\pm0.4289$, and 130.06 ± 28.3611 whereas male left kidneys were $7.86\pm1.1758,4.03\pm0.4369,2.67\pm0.4415$, and 135.53 ± 28.0404 respectively (Table-2). The mean of female right kidney parameters like length, width, thickness, and weight were 7.35 ± 0.6059 , 3.82 ± 0.3675 , 2.57 ± 0.2830 , and 122.20 ± 19.0776 whereas female left kidneys were $7.41\pm0.6367,3.85\pm0.4062$, 2.46 ± 0.2913 , and 124.20 ± 16.5583 respectively. Two specimens of one large size and one smaller size right kidneys were also observed (Figure-3). We have also observed one left side kidney specimen with vascular variation (Figure-4). The higher morphometric values were noted in the male kidney specimens when compared to female kidney specimens in the present study.

Table-1: Morphometric parameters of human kidneys (L-Length; W-Width; T-Thickness)

| Table-1: Morphometric parameters of human kidneys | | | | | | (L-Length; w-wiath; 1-1 nickness) | | | |
|---|--------|--------------|-------|-------|--------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------|---------------|
| | Sex | Right Kidney | | | | Left Kidney | | | |
| S.No | | L | W | T | Weight(Gm) | L | \mathbf{W} | T | Weight (Gm) |
| | | (Cm) | (Cm) | (Cm) | weight(Gill) | (Cm) | (Cm) | (Cm) | weight (Gill) |
| 1 | Male | 7.1 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 161 | 7.1 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 169 |
| 2 | Male | 6.9 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 128 | 7.1 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 134 |
| 3 | Male | 7.6 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 139 | 7.4 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 146 |
| 4 | Male | 6.3 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 118 | 6.4 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 122 |
| 5 | Male | 8.4 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 129 | 8.4 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 135 |
| 6 | Male | 9.6 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 171 | 9.4 | 4.7 | 3.0 | 173 |
| 7 | Male | 7.4 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 133 | 7.2 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 136 |
| 8 | Male | 8.3 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 140 | 8.5 | 4.3 | 2.7 | 143 |
| 9 | Male | 4.8 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 64 | 5.0 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 69 |
| 10 | Male | 9.1 | 4.9 | 3.1 | 121 | 9.2 | 4.8 | 3.3 | 129 |
| 11 | Male | 8.6 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 126 | 8.5 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 130 |
| 12 | Male | 8.1 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 109 | 8.4 | 3.8 | 2.9 | 116 |
| 13 | Male | 9.3 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 181 | 9.1 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 186 |
| 14 | Male | 7.8 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 102 | 8.0 | 4.1 | 2.7 | 109 |
| 15 | Male | 8.0 | 4.3 | 2.8 | 129 | 8.2 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 136 |
| 16 | Female | 7.2 | 3.6 | 2.4 | 116 | 7.3 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 123 |
| 17 | Female | 7.5 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 118 | 7.7 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 129 |
| 18 | Female | 7.0 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 126 | 7.2 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 132 |
| 19 | Female | 6.5 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 101 | 6.5 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 109 |
| 20 | Female | 8.0 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 129 | 8.2 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 123 |
| 21 | Female | 8.3 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 163 | 8.4 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 159 |
| 22 | Female | 7.2 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 126 | 7.1 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 129 |
| 23 | Female | 8.1 | 4.2 | 2.9 | 138 | 8.0 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 131 |
| 24 | Female | 6.9 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 104 | 6.8 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 101 |
| 25 | Female | 6.8 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 101 | 6.9 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 106 |
| N | Mean | | 3.93 | 2.62 | 126.92 | 7.68 | 3.96 | 2.58 | 131 |
| Standard Deviation | | 1.039 | 0.431 | 0.373 | 24.923 | 1.004 | 0.426 | 0.396 | 24.363 |

Table-2: Statistical Analysis

| Table-2. Statistical Analysis | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Morphometry | | | | | | | | | |
| Right kidney | Male | Female | | | | | | | |
| Length | 7.82 <u>+</u> 1.2335 | 7.35 <u>+</u> 0.6059 | | | | | | | |
| Width | 4.00 <u>+</u> 0.4667 | 3.82 <u>+</u> 0.3675 | | | | | | | |
| Thickness | 2.66 <u>+</u> 0.4289 | 2.57 <u>+</u> 0.2830 | | | | | | | |
| Weight | 130.06 <u>+</u> 28.3611 | 122.20 <u>+</u> 19.0776 | | | | | | | |
| Left Kidney | | | | | | | | | |
| Length | 7.86 <u>+</u> 1.1758 | 7.41 <u>+</u> 0.6367 | | | | | | | |
| Width | 4.03 <u>+</u> 0.4369 | 3.85 <u>+</u> 0.4062 | | | | | | | |
| Thickness | 2.67 <u>+</u> 0.4415 | 2.46 <u>+</u> 0.2913 | | | | | | | |
| Weight | 135.53 <u>+</u> 28.0404 | 124.20 <u>+</u> 16.5583 | | | | | | | |
| | P<0.05 | P<0.05 | | | | | | | |



Fig-1: Distribution of Right and left human kidney specimens



Fig-2: Large sized, small sized kidneys specimens, both are of right side kidneys



Fig-3: Lobulated right kidney specimen



Fig-4: Kidney specimen with vascular variations on left side

DISCUSSION

We have measured all the morphometric parameters like length, width, and thickness of both the kidneys in both the sexes which were more in males than females [6]. The morphometric parameter values were higher on left kidneys than right kidneys either in males or females. The higher morphometric incidence due to the more blood supply to the left kidney than the right kidney [7]. The range of normal kidney length obtained were 8.3 -12.8 cm and 8.0- 12.5 cm for the left and right kidneys respectively [8]. The mean renal lengths of males were slightly higher than those of females, the morphometric findings in our study were in agreement with the literature [9] whereas the same was in contrast with previous literature [10]. The renal volume had higher on the left than the right kidney in hypertensive patients of both sexes and female hypertensive patients have smaller kidney size compared to males. The higher morphometric values of male kidneys in our study may be due to high vascularity to the kidney [11]. The weight and dimensions of the left kidney were larger than the right kidney [12, 13]. The morphometric parameters like length, width, thickness, and weight can vary of different geographical origin of the population [14]. Significantly higher values of morphometric parameters were noted in male kidney specimens compared to the female kidney specimens in the present study was observed which were similar to previous studies on the morphometry of human kidneys [7, 9, 12, 15].

CONCLUSION

Morphometric parameters have a significant role in size, vascular diseases and congenital anomalies of human kidneys and possess greater clinical importance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are also grateful to authors, editors, and publishers of all those articles, journals, and books from where the literature for this article has been reviewed and discussed.

Conflict of Interest: NIL

REFERENCES

- 1. Emamian, S. A., Nielsen, M. B., Pedersen, J. F., & Ytte, L. (1993). Kidney dimensions at sonography: correlation with age, sex, and habitus in 665 adult volunteers. *AJR. American journal of roentgenology*, 160(1), 83-86.
- 2. Setty, R. S., & Katikireddi, R. S. (2013). Morphometric Study of Human Adult Cadaveric Kidneys-Research Article. *International Journal of Current Research and Review*, 5(20), 109-115.
- 3. Kadioglu, A. (2010). Renal measurements, including length, parenchymal thickness, and medullary pyramid thickness, in healthy children: what are the normative ultrasound values? American Journal of Roentgenology, 194(2), 509-515.
- 4. Shin, H. S., Chung, B. H., & Lee, S. E. (1989). Morphometry of the kidney. Applied study in urology and imaging. *Journal of Urology*, 50(2):262-265.
- Desmots, F., Souteyrand, P., Marciano, S., Lechevallier, E., Zink, J. V., Chagnaud, C., & André, M. (2013). Morphometric scores for kidney tumours: Use in current practice. *Diagnostic and Interventional Imaging*, 94(1):116-118.
- Bannister LH, Berus MM, Collins P, Dyson M, Dusek JE, Ferguson MWJ. Grays Anatomy, 40th ed. Churchill Living Stone, Edinburgh. 2008. p. 1225-1233

- Sampaio, F. J., & Mandarim-de-Lacerda, C. A. (1989). Morphometry of the kidney. Applied study in urology and imaging. *Journal d'urologie*, 95(2), 77-80.
- 8. Last, R. J. (1988). Kidney, Ureter and Supra renal gland" Anatomy-Regiona and Applied. 7th Edition; Churchil Living stone, 3196.
- Okoye, I. J., Agwu, K. K., & Idigo, F. U. (2005). Normal sonographic renal length in adult southeast Nigerians. African journal of medicine and medical sciences, 34(2), 129-131.
- Barton, E. N., West, W. M., Sargeant, L. A., Lindo, J. F., & Iheonunekwu, N. C. (2000). A sonographic study of kidney dimensions in a sample of healthy Jamaicans. *The West Indian medical journal*, 49(2), 154-157.
- 11. Egberongbe, A., Adetiloye, V., Adeyinka, A., Afolabi, O., Akintomide, A., & Ayoola, O. (2010). Evaluation of renal volume by ultrasonography in patients with essential hypertension in Ile-Ife, south western Nigeria. *Libyan Journal of Medicine*, *5*(1), 4848.
- 12. Satheesh, N. K., Gurushanthaiah, M., & Sharmila, T. (2014). Human cadaveric kidneys morphometry. *International Journal Medical Pharmatical Sciience*, 4(11):15-19.
- 13. Muthusami, P., Ananthakrishnan, R., & Santosh, P. (2014). Need for a nomogram of renal sizes in the Indian population–findings from a single centre sonographic study. *The Indian journal of medical research*, 139(5), 686-693.
- 14. More, M. S., Togale, M. D., Dixit, D., & Desai, S. P. (2015). A morphometric study of human adult cadaveric kidneys. *MedPulse–International Medical Journal*, 2(6), 355-358.
- 15. Khatun, H., Sultana, Z., & Kibria, G. M. (2009). Morphological Study of the Kidney in Relation to Age. *Bangladesh Journal of Anatomy*, 7(1), 19-21.