Scholars Bulletin

(Mathematics)

An Official Publication of "Scholars Middle East Publishers", Dubai, United Arab Emirates
Website: http://scholarsbulletin.com/

ISSN 2412-9771 (Print) ISSN 2412-897X (Online)

On Generalized (σ, τ) -i-n-Derivations in Near-Rings

Mohammad Aslam Siddeeque

Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh -202002, India

*Corresponding author

Mohammad Aslam Siddeeque

Article History

Received: 10.10.2017 Accepted: 17.10.2017 Published: 30.10.2017

DOI:

10.21276/sb.2017.3.10.12



Abstract: In the present paper, we introduce the notion of generalized (σ, τ) -i-nderivation in near-ring N and investigate a property involving generalized (σ, τ) -i-n-derivation of a prime near-ring N, which forces N to be a commutative ring. Additive commutativity of a prime near-ring N satisfying certain identities involving generalized (σ, τ) -i-n-derivation has also been obtained.

Keywords: Prime near-ring, derivation, i-n-derivation, generalized $(\sigma; \tau)$ -i-n-derivation and commutativity

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 16W27, 16W30.

INTRODUCTION

A nonempty set N equipped with two binary operations '+' and '.' is called a left nearring provided that (N, +) is a group (not necessarily abelian), (N, .) is a semigroup and x(y+z) = xy + xz for all $x, y, z \in N$. A left near-ring N is called zero symmetric if 0x = 0 for all $x \in N$ (recall that in a left near-ring N, x.0 = 0 holds for all $x \in N$). N is called a prime near-ring if $xNy = \{0\}$ implies x = 0 or y = 0. The symbol Z will denote the multiplicative center of N, that is, $Z = \{x \in N \mid xy = yx \text{ for all } y \in N\}$. For any $x, y \in N$ the symbols [x, y] = xy - yx and (x, y) = x + y - x - y stand for multiplicative commutator and additive commutator of x and y respectively. Let x and x be nonempty subsets of x. By the notation x, y, we mean a subset of x defined by x, y = x

An additive map $d: N \to N$ is called a derivation if d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y) (or equivalently d(xy) = xd(y) + d(x)y) holds for all $x, y \in N$. The concept of derivation has been generalized in several ways by various authors [2-8]. Very recently the authors [5, 6] extended the above notion of derivation by introducing the notions of generalized n-derivation and (σ, τ) -n-derivation, where n is a positive integer.

Let *n* be a fixed positive integer. An *n*-additive (i.e., additive in each argument) mapping $D: N \times N \times \cdots \times N \longrightarrow N$ is called an *n*-derivation if the relations $D(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i x_i', x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$

= $D(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_b, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)x'i + xiD(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x'i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$ hold for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x'i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ [4].

An *n*-additive mapping $F: N \times N \times \cdots \times N \longrightarrow N$ is called a *left generalized n-derivation* of N with associated n-derivation D if the relations $F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i x^i i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$

= $D(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi_{-1}, xi, xi_{+1}, \dots, xn)x'i + xi F(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi_{-1}, x'i, xi_{+1}, \dots, xn)$ hold for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, xi_{-1}, xi, x'i, xi_{+1}, \dots, xn \in \mathbb{N}, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$.

An *n*-additive mapping $F: N \times N \times \cdots \times N$ -! N is called a *right generalized n-derivation* of N with associated n-derivation D if the relations $F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i x_i'_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$

= $F(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi_{-1}, xi, xi_{+1}, \dots, xn)x'i + xiD(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi_{-1}, x'i, xi_{+1}, \dots, xn)$

hold for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_i'$, x_i'

An *n*-additive (i.e., additive in each argument) mapping $D: N \times N \times \cdots \times N \longrightarrow N$ is called a (σ, τ) -*n*-derivation of N if there exist functions $\sigma, \tau: N \longrightarrow N$ such that the relations $D(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{i-1}, x_i x_i 0_i, x_{i+1}, \cdots, x_n)$

= $D(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)\sigma(x_i) + \tau(x_i)D(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_i)$ hold for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_i, x_i, x_i, x_i)$ $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{N}$, An *n*-additive mapping $F: N \times N \times \cdots \times N \longrightarrow N$ is called a *left generalized* (σ, τ) -*n*-derivation of N if there exists a (σ, τ) -*n*-derivation D and the relations $F(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{i-1}, x_i x_i', x_{i+1}, \cdots, x_n) = D(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \cdots, x_n)\sigma(x^i) + \tau(x_i)F(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{i-1}, x^i, x_{i+1}, \cdots, x_n)$ hold for all $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_i', x_{i+1}, \cdots, x_n \in N$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, n$.

An *n*-additive mapping $F: N \times N \times \cdots \times N \longrightarrow N$ is called a *right generalized* (σ, τ) -*n*-derivation of N if there exists a (σ, τ) -*n*-derivation D and the relations $F(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{i-1}, x_i x_i', x_{i+1}, \cdots, x_n)$

= $F(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi_{-1}, xi, xi_{+1}, \dots, xn)\sigma(x'i)+\tau(xi)D(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi_{-1}, x'i, xi_{+1}, \dots, xn)$ hold for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, xi_{-1}, xi, x'i, xi_{+1}, \dots, xn \in I$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$. Here we say that F is a left generalized (σ, τ) -n-derivation (resp. right generalized (σ, τ) -n-derivation) of N with associated (σ, τ) -n-derivation D. Finally an n-additive mapping $F: N \times N \times \dots \times N \to N$ is called a generalized (σ, τ) -n-derivation of N if there exists a (σ, τ) -n-derivation D and D is both a left generalized (σ, τ) -n-derivation as well as a right generalized (σ, τ) -n-derivation of D with associated (σ, τ) -n-derivation D of D [6].

The literature on near-ring N contains a number of theorems asserting additive or multiplicative commutativity of N. Bell & Mason [6, 9], Ashraf $et\ al.$ [2-6], G"olbasi [10-12] etc. have proved several results on commutativity of addition and multiplication of prime near-rings which admit derivations, generalized derivations, (σ , τ)-derivations and n-derivations. Our aim in this paper is to study the commutativity of addition and multiplication of a prime near-ring which admits a generalized (σ , τ)-i-n-derivation. In fact our theorems extend, generalize and unify several results obtained earlier.

PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Now we introduce a weaker family of derivations in near-ring N. Of course this family generalizes the notions of n-derivations, generalized n-derivations, (σ , τ)-n-derivations and generalized (σ , τ)-n-derivations discussed above.

Let n be a fixed positive integer and i be an integer with $1 \le i \le n$. An n-additive (i.e., additive in each argument) mapping $D: N \times N \times \cdots \times N \longrightarrow N$ is called a i-n-derivation of N if the relation $D(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{i-1}, xix', xi+1, \cdots, xn) = D(x_1, x_2, \cdots, xi-1, xi, xi+1, \cdots, xn)$ holds for all $x_1, x_2, \cdots, xi-1, xi, xi+1, \cdots, xn$ is obvious that if D is a i-n-derivation of N for each i with $1 \le i \le n$, then D is an n-derivation of N and conversely. It can be also observed that every n-derivation is a i-n-derivation but its converse is not true.

An *n*-additive mapping $F: N \times N \times \cdots \times N \to N$ is called a *right generalized i-nderivation* of N with associated *i-n*-derivation D if the relation

```
F(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi_{-1}, xix', xi_{+1}, \dots, xn) = F(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi_{-1}, xi, xi_{+1}, \dots, xn) \ x'i + xiD(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi_{-1}, x'i, xi_{+1}, \dots, xn) holds for all x_1, x_2, \dots, xi_{-1}, xi, x'i, xi_{+1}, \dots, xn \ 2N.
```

An *n*-additive mapping $F: N \times N \times \cdots \times N \longrightarrow N$ is called a *left generalized i-n-derivation* of N with associated *i-n-derivation* D if the relation

```
F(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{i-1}, x_i x_i', x_i + 1, \cdots, x_n)
```

= $D(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)x^i + x_i F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x^i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$ holds for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x^i$, x_i, x_i , x_i

An *n*-additive (i.e., additive in each argument) mapping $D: N \times N \times \cdots \times N$ -! N is called a (σ, τ) -i-n-derivation of N if there exist functions $\sigma, \tau: N \longrightarrow N$ such that the relation $D(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{i-1}, x_i x_i^i, x_{i+1}, \cdots, x_n)$

= $D(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)\sigma(x_i) + \tau(x_i)D(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$ holds for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_i$, x_i

An *n*-additive mapping $F: N \times N \times \cdots \times N \to N$ is called a *left generalized* (σ, τ) -*i-n-derivation* of N if there exists a (σ, τ) -*i-n*-derivation D and the relation $F(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{i-1}, x_i x_i'_i, x_{i+1}, \cdots, x_n)$

```
= D(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)\sigma(x_i) + \tau(x_i)F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)
hold for all x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_i, x_i, x_i, x_i, x_i \in \mathbb{N}.
```

An *n*-additive mapping $F: N \times N \times \cdots \times N \longrightarrow N$ is called a *right generalized* (σ, τ) -*i-n*-derivation of N if there exists a (σ, τ) -*i-n*-derivation D and the relation $F(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{i-1}, x_i x_i', x_{i+1}, \cdots, x_n)$

 derivation) of N with associated (σ, τ) -i-n-derivation D. Finally an n-additive mapping $F: N \times N \times \cdots \times N \to N$ is called a generalized (σ, τ) -i-n-derivation of N if there exists a (σ, τ) -i-n-derivation D and F is both a left generalized (σ, τ) -i-n-derivation as well as a right generalized (σ, τ) -i-n-derivation of N with associated (σ, τ) -i-n-derivation D of N.

We facilitate our discussion with the following lemmas which are essential for developing the proofs of our main results. Proofs of Lemmas 2:1 & 2:2 can be seen in [9, 13]. Throughout further discussion, σ and τ will represent automorphisms of N.

Lemma 2:1: Let *N* be a prime near-ring. If $Z \setminus \{0\}$ contains an element *z* for which $z + z \in Z$, then (N, +) is abelian. **Lemma** 2:2: Let *N* be a prime near-ring. If $z \in Z \setminus \{0\}$ and *x* is an element of *N* such that $xz \in Z$ or $zx \in Z$ then $x \in Z$:

In a left near-ring N, right distributive law does not hold in general, however, we can prove the following partial distributive properties in N.

Lemma 2:3: Let N be a near-ring admitting a generalized (σ, τ) -i-n-derivation F with associated (σ, τ) -i-n-derivation D of N. Then, $(D(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi-1, xi, xi+1, \dots, xn)\sigma(x'i) + \tau(xi)F(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi-1, x'i, xi+1, \dots, xn))y$ = $D(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi-1, xi, xi+1, \dots, xn)\sigma(x'i)y + \tau(xi)F(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi-1, x'i, xi+1, \dots, xn)y$

```
Proof. For all x_1, \dots, x_i, x_i, x_i, x_i, \dots, x_i \in N,
F(x1, \dots, (xix'i)x''i, \dots, xn) = F(x_1, \dots, xix'i, \dots, xn)\sigma(x''i)
+\tau(xix'i)D(x_1, \cdots, x''i, \cdots, xn)
= fD(x_1, \cdots, xi, \cdots, xn)\sigma(x'i)
+\tau(xi)F(x_1, \cdots, x'i, \cdots, xn)g\sigma(x''i)
+\tau(xi)\tau(x'i)D(x_1,\cdots,x''i,\cdots,xn)
Also
F(x_1, \dots, xi(x'ix''i), \dots, xn) = D(x_1, \dots, xi, \dots, xn)\sigma(x0ix00i)
+\tau(xi)F(x_1,\cdots,x'ix''i,\cdots,xn)
= D(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) \sigma(x_i) \sigma(x_i)
+\tau(xi)fF(x_1, \cdots, x'i, \cdots, xn)
\sigma(x'' i) + \tau(x'i)D(x1, \dots, x'' i, \dots, xn)g
= D(x_1, \cdots, xi, \cdots, xn)\sigma(x'i)\sigma(x''i)
+\tau(xi)F(x_1,\cdots,x'i,\cdots,xn)
\sigma(x00 \ i) + \tau(xi)\tau(x'i)D(x_1, \cdots, xi, \cdots, xn)
Combining the above two relations, we get
fD(x_1, \dots, xi, \dots, xn)\sigma(x'i) + \tau(xi)F(x_1, \dots, x'i, \dots, xn)g\sigma(x''i)
= D(x_1, \dots, xi, \dots, xn)\sigma(x'i)\sigma(x''i) + \tau(xi)F(x_1, \dots, x'i, \dots, xn)\sigma(x''i)
Since \sigma is an automorphism, replacing x" i by \sigma-1(y), where y 2 N we find that
fD(x_1, \dots, xi, \dots, xn)\sigma(x'i) + \tau(xi)F(x_1, \dots, x'i, \dots, xn)gy
= D(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)\sigma(x'_i)y + \tau(x_i)F(x_1, \dots, x'_i, \dots, x_n)y
```

Lemma 2:4: Let N be prime near-ring admitting a generalized (σ, τ) -i-n-derivation F with associated nonzero (σ, τ) -i-n-derivation D of N and $x \ge N$:

```
(i) If xF(N, N, \dots, N) = \{0\}, then x = 0:
```

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_i', x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n \in N$:

(ii) If $F(N, N, \dots, N)x = \{0\}$, then x = 0:

Proof. (i) Given that $xF(x1, \dots, xix'i, \dots, xn) = 0$ for all $x1, \dots, xi, x'i, \dots, xn \in \mathbb{N}$.

This yields that $xfF(x_1, \dots, xi, \dots, xn)\sigma(x'i) + \tau(xi)D(x_1, \dots, xi', \dots, xn)g = 0$: By hypothesis, we have $x\tau(xi)D(x_1, \dots, x'i, \dots, xn) = 0$. Since τ is an automorphism of N, this implies that $xND(x_1, \dots, xi', \dots, xn) = \{0\}$. Now primeness of N and $D \neq 0$, provide us x = 0:

(ii) It can be proved in a similar way by using Lemma 2:3.

MAIN RESULTS

The main result of the present paper states as follows:

Theorem 3:1: Let N be a prime near-ring admitting a nonzero generalized (σ, τ) -i-nderivation F with associated (σ, τ) -i-n-derivation D of N: If $F(N, N, \dots, N) \in Z$, then N is a commutative ring.

Proof. Here we divide the proof in two cases:

Case I: Assume that $D \neq 0$. We have for all $x_1, \dots, x_i, x_i, \dots, x_n \in N$

 $F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i x_i', x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) = D(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)\sigma(x_i') +$

 $\tau(xi)F(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi_{-1}, x'i, xi_{+1}, \dots, xn) \in Z: (3:1)$

Hence

 $fD(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi_{-1}, xi, xi_{+1}, \dots, xn)\sigma(x'i) + \tau(xi)F(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi_{-1}, x'i, xi_{+1}, \dots, xn)g\tau(xi)$

= $\tau(xi)fD(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi_{-1}, xi, xi_{+1}, \dots, xn)\sigma(x'i) +$

 $\tau(xi)F(x_1, x_2, \cdots, xi_{-1}, x'i, xi_{+1}, \cdots, xn)g$:

By hypothesis and Lemma 2:3 we obtain $D(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)\sigma(x_i)\tau(x_i) = \tau(x_i)D(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)\sigma(x_i)\tau(x_i)$, putting x_i where $y \in N$ for x_i in the preceding relation and using it again we get

 $D(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \cdots, x_n)\sigma(x_i)(\sigma(y)\tau(x_i) - \tau(x_i)\sigma(y)) = 0$

i.e., $D(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)N[\sigma(y), \tau(x_i)] = \{0\}$. But primeness of N yields that for each fixed x_i either $\tau(x_i)$ 2 Z or $D(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) = 0$ for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in N$. If first case holds then $x_i \in Z$. If second case holds then equation (3:1) takes the form

 $F(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi_{-1}, xix'i, xi_{+1}, \dots, xn) = \tau(xi)F(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi_{-1}, x'i, xi_{+1}, \dots, xn)$

for all x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i , x_i

Case II: Now let D = 0. Then under this condition relation (3:1) takes the form

 $F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i x_i', x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) = \tau(x_i) F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i', x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \in Z$

for all $x1, \dots, xi, xi', \dots, xn \in N$. Using the hypothesis and Lemma 2:2, we infer that $\tau(xi) \in Z$ i.e., $xi \in Z$. This implies that N = Z: Now arguing in the similar lines as in the above Case I, we conclude that N is a commutative ring.

Corollary 3:1([6], Theorem 3:1). Let N be a prime near-ring admitting a nonzero generalized (σ, τ) -n-derivation F with associated (σ, τ) -n-derivation D of N: If $F(N, N, \dots, N) \subseteq Z$, then N is a commutative ring.

Theorem 3:2: Let F_1 and F_2 be generalized (σ, τ) -*i*-*n*-derivations of prime near-ring N with associated nonzero (σ, τ) -*i*-*n*-derivations D1 and D2 of N respectively. If $[F_1(N, N, \dots, N), F_2(N, N, \dots, N)] = \{0\}$, then (N, +) is abelian.

Proof. If both z and z + z commute element wise with $F_2(N, N, \dots, N)$, then $zF_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi, \dots, xn) = F_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi, \dots, xn) = F_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi, \dots, xn)$ and $(z + z)F_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi, \dots, xn) = F_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi, \dots, xn)(z + z)$ for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, xi, \dots, xn \in N$. In particular, $(z + z)F_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi + x^ii, \dots, xn) = F_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi + x^ii, \dots, xn)(z + z)$ for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, xi, x^ii, \dots, xn \in N$. From the previous equalities we get $zF_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, xi + x^ii - xi - x^ii, \dots, xn) = 0$, i.e., $zF_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, (xi, x^ii), \dots, xn) = 0$. Putting $z = F_1(y_1, y_2, \dots, yn)$ we get $F_1(y_1, y_2, \dots, yn)F_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, (xi, x^ii), \dots, xn) = 0$. By Lemma 2:4(ii) we conclude that $F_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, (xi, x^ii), \dots, xn) = 0$. Putting $w(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$. By Lemma 2:4(ii), we conclude that $w(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$. Previous equality yields $w(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$. By Lemma 2:4(ii), we conclude that $w(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$. But $w(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$ and hence $w(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$. But $w(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$ and hence $w(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$.

Corollary 3:2([6], Theorem 3:2). Let F_1 and F_2 be generalized (σ, τ) -n-derivations of prime near-ring N with associated nonzero (σ, τ) -n-derivations D_1 and D_2 of N respectively. If $[F_1(N, N, \dots, N), F_2(N, N, \dots, N)] = \{0\}$, then (N, +) is abelian.

Theorem 3:3: Let F and G be generalized (σ, τ) -i-n-derivations of prime nearring N with associated nonzero (σ, τ) -i-n-derivations D and H of N respectively.

If $F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)H(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = -G(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)D(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ is abelian.

```
Proof. For all x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in N we have, F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)H(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_i, \dots, y_n) = -G(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)D(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_i, \dots, y_n).
```

We substitute yi + yi' for yi in preceding relation thereby obtaining,

```
F\left(x_{1},\,x_{2},\,\cdots,\,xn\right)H(y_{1},\,y_{2},\,\cdots,\,yi+yi,\,\cdots,\,yn)+G(x_{1},\,x_{2},\,\cdots,\,xn)\,D(y_{1},\,y_{2},\,\cdots,\,yi+yi0,\,\cdots,\,yn)=0\text{ i.e., }F\left(x_{1},\,x_{2},\,\cdots,\,xn\right)H(y_{1},\,y_{2},\,\cdots,\,yi,\,\cdots,\,yn)+\\F(x_{1},\,x_{2},\,\cdots,\,xn)H(y_{1},\,y_{2},\,\cdots,\,yi',\,\cdots,\,yn)+G(x_{1},\,x_{2},\,\cdots,\,xn)D(y_{1},\,y_{2},\,\cdots,\,yi,\,\cdots,\,yn)+\\G(x_{1},\,x_{2},\,\cdots,\,xn)D(y_{1},\,y_{2},\,\cdots,\,yi,\,\cdots,\,yn)\text{. Using the hypothesis we get, }F(x_{1},\,x_{2},\,\cdots,\,xn)H(y_{1},\,y_{2},\,\cdots,\,yi,\,\cdots,\,yn)+\\F(x_{1},\,x_{2},\,\cdots,\,xn)H(y_{1},\,y_{2},\,\cdots,\,yi',\,\cdots,\,yn)-F(x_{1},\,x_{2},\,\cdots,\,xn)H(y_{1},\,y_{2},\,\cdots,\,yi,\,\cdots,\,yn)-\\F(x_{1},\,x_{2},\,\cdots,\,xn)H(y_{1},\,y_{2},\,\cdots,\,yi',\,\cdots,\,yn)=0\text{ i.e., }F(x_{1},\,x_{2},\,\cdots,\,xn)H(y_{1},\,y_{2},\,\cdots,\,yi'),\,\cdots,\,yn)=0\text{ Now using }\\Lemma\ 2.4(ii)\ \text{we get}\ H(y_{1},\,y_{2},\,\cdots,\,yi,\,yi'),\,\cdots,\,yn)=0\ \text{ Replacing }(yi,\,yi')\ \text{by }w(yi,\,yi')\ \text{where }w\in N\ \text{in the previous relation and using it again we have }H(y_{1},\,y_{2},\,\cdots,\,yi,\,yi',\,\cdots,\,yn)\sigma(yi,\,yi')=0\ \text{for all }w,\,y_{1},\,y_{2},\,\cdots,\,yi,\,yi',\,\cdots,\,yn\in N\text{: By Lemma}\ 2.4(ii)\ \text{, we conclude that }\sigma(yi,\,yi')=0\ \text{ and hence }(N,+)\ \text{is abelian.}
```

Corollary 3:3([6], Theorem 3:3). Let F and G be generalized (σ, τ) -n-derivations of prime near-ring N with associated nonzero (σ, τ) -n-derivations D and H of N respectively. If $F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)H(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = -G(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)D(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in N$, then (N, +) is abelian.

REFERENCES

- 1. Pilz, G., (1983), Near-rings, 2nd ed., North Holland /American Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- 2. Ashraf, M., Ali, A and Ali, S., (1983), (σ, τ)-Derivations of prime near-rings, Archivum Mathematicum (BRNO), 40, 281 286.
- 3. Ashraf, M. and Siddeeque, M.A., (2013), On permuting n-derivations in near-rings, Commun.Korean Math.Soc., 28, No. 4, pp. 697 707:
- 4. Ashraf, M. and Siddeeque, M.A., (2013), On (σ, τ)-n-derivations in near-rings, Asian-European Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 6, No. 4, (14 pages).
- 5. Ashraf, M. and Siddeeque, M.A., (2014), On generalized n-derivations in near-rings, Palestine J. Math., Vol. 3(Spec 1), 468 480.
- 6. Ashraf, M. and Siddeeque, M.A., (2017), On generalized (σ , τ)-n-derivations in prime near-rings, Georgian Math. J., DOI: 10:1515=gmj 2016 0083.
- 7. Ozt urk, M.A. and Yazarli, H., (2010), Some results on symmetric bi-(σ, τ)- derivations in near-rings, Miskolc Mathematical Notes, 11(2), pp. 169 173:
- 8. Ozt"urk, M.A. and Yazarli, H., (2011), A note on permuting tri-derivation in near-"ring, Gazi University Journal of Science, 24(4), 723 729: 8
- 9. Bell, H.E., (1997), On derivations in near-rings II, Kluwer Academic Publishers Dordrecht, Vol. 426, 191 197:
- 10. G"olbasi, O., (2006), Notes on prime near-rings with generalized derivation, "Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, 30, 49 54:
- 11. G"olbasi, O., (2006), On generalized derivations of prime near-rings, "Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, Vol. 35(2), 173 180.
- 12. G"olbasi, O., (2010), On prime and semiprime near-rings with generalized deriva- "tions, Quaestiones Mathematicae, Vol. 33, 387 390:
- 13. Bell, H.E. and Mason, G., (1987), On derivations in near-rings, Near-rings and Near-fields (G. Betsch editor), North-Holland / American Elsevier, Amsterdam 137, 31-35.