Journal of Advances in Sports and Physical Education

Abbreviated Key Title: J Adv Sport Phys Edu ISSN 2616-8642 (Print) |ISSN 2617-3905 (Online) Scholars Middle East Publishers, Dubai, United Arab Emirates Journal homepage: https://saudijournals.com

Original Research Article

Adapted Riding Intervention Programs in Individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Scoping Review

Charikleia Patsi^{1*}, Androniki Ntolia¹, Christina Evaggelinou¹

¹School of Physical Education & Sports Science-Serres, Laboratory of Adapted Physical Education, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

DOI: https://doi.org/10.36348/jaspe.2025.v08i04.001 | **Received:** 28.03.2025 | **Accepted:** 03.05.2025 | **Published:** 06.05.2025

*Corresponding author: Charikleia Patsi

School of Physical Education & Sports Science-Serres, Laboratory of Adapted Physical Education, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

Abstract

Adapted riding has proven to be a successful sports intervention, yielding significant functional, social, educational, and therapeutic outcomes. Adapted riding offers tailored horsemanship experiences for participants, including those with autism spectrum disorder. Individuals with autism spectrum disorder appear to have motor difficulties based on standard motor assessments. It is also possible for them to present difficulties in executing standard motor tasks based on their age group. In addition, they experience challenges in controlling their posture, planning motor sequences, and mimicking movements, potentially hindering their ability to achieve age-appropriate motor milestones. The purpose of the study was to explore the possible benefits of adapted riding in individuals with autism spectrum disorder. For this purpose, a scoping review was performed. A total of 14 studies of recent years were examined. The age range was between 2 and 19 years, and the intervention programs lasted approximately 4 to 30 weeks. Results showed positive effects on motor, social, and behavioral areas, along with enhanced communication skills, ultimately leading to a better quality of life for this population. Notably, these programs resulted in advancements across several quality-of-life areas, such as reduced aggression and improved communication. Positive effects were also found on sensory seeking, sensory sensitivity, and focus, leading to less distraction and sedentary behaviors. Adapted Riding also had a positive impact on postural control, increased stability, and encouraged more participation in leisure activities. In conclusion, adapted riding is characterized as a valuable rehabilitative, educational, therapeutic and athletic method for individuals with autism spectrum disorder.

Keywords: Human-Animal Interaction, Animal-Assisted Intervention, Therapeutic Riding, Disability, Autism Spectrum Disorder.

Copyright © 2025 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

1. INTRODUCTION

Autism Spectrum Disorder

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by social communication deficits, repetitive behaviors, and challenges in self-perception, learning, and adapting to daily situations (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Those with ASD frequently experience challenges in communication, socializing, and engaging in repetitive behaviors, affecting their functioning in various areas. There's been a significant increase in ASD diagnoses in recent years, with an estimated 1 in 44 children in the US diagnosed with ASD by age 8 in 2018. This diagnosis is more frequently observed in boys (Maenner *et al.*, 2021). Diagnosis takes place during childhood through the appearance of certain symptoms,

such as lack of social interaction, communication delay, limited interests and stereotypical movements.

DSM-V (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, 5th Edition, 2013) outlines three levels of severity for ASD diagnoses.

Level 3: requires a high level of assistance. Severe impairments in functioning can occur due to significant difficulties with both verbal and nonverbal communication in social settings.

Level 2: demanding substantial aid. Deficits in verbal and nonverbal social communication skills contribute to social impairments.

Level 1: requiring support. Concerning social communication, there are deficits that can cause impairments. There is decreased interest in social interactions.

Individuals with ASD appear to have motor difficulties based on standard motor assessments. It is also possible for them to present difficulties in executing standard motor tasks based on their age group (Moraes et al., 2017). In addition, they experience challenges in controlling their posture, planning motor sequences, and mimicking movements, potentially hindering their ability to achieve age-appropriate motor milestones (Downey & Rapport, 2012). Motor skill limitations, like poor coordination and balance, greatly restrict their options for physical activities. Additionally, children with ASD may struggle with gross motor skills like poor visual-motor coordination, bilateral coordination, posture, and balance, both static and dynamic (Bhat, Landa & Galloway, 2011; The inability to execute complex motor tasks may lead to preferences relating to sedentary leisure activities, such as watching television or the use of a computer. At the same time, social difficulties relating mainly to communication make their participation in team sports and the creation of relationships difficult (Srinivasan, Pescatello & Bhat, 2014).

Individuals with ASD are part of a complex multisystem of disorders characterized by multiple disorders in many areas (Srinivasan et al., 2014). Regarding sensory disorders, they may present difficulties in tactility, hearing, vision, and the vestibule system with rapid or slow response to sensory stimulation. Many individuals with ASD appear comorbidities, such as gastrointestinal disorders and dietary restrictions. Furthermore 90% of them experience variations in the process of sensory situations, such as hypersensitivity in touch and other sensoryrelated disorders that may limit their ability to fully engage in motor activities and other tasks typically required for learning, especially in relation to their age group (Robertson & Baron-Cohen, 2017; Schauder & Bennetto, 2016). To support the development of new motor skills, individuals with ASD may benefit from targeted strategies and interventions designed to enhance motor control, improve motor learning techniques, and build overall motor skills (Djordjevic, Memisevic, Potic & Djuric, 2022; Ruggeri, Dancel, Johnson & Sargent, 2020). Adapted Riding (AR) intervention programs offer opportunities for activity participation communication, which can positively impact the social impairments commonly seen in individuals with ASD. As a result, these interventions have the potential to enhance the overall quality of life for individuals with ASD (Date, Munn & Frey, 2024).

Adapted Riding

The interaction of individuals with animals may significantly enhance their psychosocial well-being. The

documented benefits of adapted riding interventions include reduced stress, lower heart rate and blood pressure, diminished feelings of loneliness and isolation, and improved social interaction and socioemotional functioning (Friedmann & Son, 2009). The incorporation of animals in therapeutic activities is known as animal-assisted intervention (AAI), which encompasses both animal-assisted therapy and animalassisted activities. AAI started around the end of the 18th century, where patients interacted with animals in mental institutions to improve their social skills. Today, the implementation of animal-assisted therapy has been linked to positive outcomes for individuals with ASD, as they can benefit from participating in animal-assisted therapeutic activities (O'Haire, 2013).

AR is applied by specialists in sports science, kinesiology, physical and occupational therapy and is considered as a valuable tool when engaging with the therapy of developmental disorders within the ASD. Due to the unique relationship that is developed among the individual, the horse, and the trainer, AR is characterized as a widely recognized method for rehabilitation and teaching therapeutic tool for individuals with ASD (O'Haire, 2013). Incorporating animals into the treatment process to reduce problem behaviors and improve functioning has been identified as a promising intervention approach for individuals with ASD (Esposito *et al.*, 2011; Gabriels *et al.*, 2015).

Therefore AR is mentioned for the use of horses in activities aiming to the achievement of many therapeutic goals relating to physical and mental benefits as well as socio-cognitive, behavioral, and educational goals. Beyond recreational therapeutic activities (e.g. learning horseback riding skills), AR is based on the development of a relationship between the animal and the rider. Additionally, AR intervention programs positively influence participants' intentions to engage in activities with the horses, and therefore there are benefits in motor skills, sensory system function, social and communication skills (Hariri et al., 2022). Consequently, it is possible that this alternative therapy provides a multisensory environment that may prove beneficial to them (Bass, Duchowny & Llabre, 2009). Intervention programs are individualized therapies with the trainer holding the basic role. It provides significant support in therapy of developmental disorders within the ASD. Due to the unique relationship that develops among the individual, the horse, and the trainer, AR is characterized as one of the most recognizable methods of rehabilitation, education, therapy, and sport for individuals with ASD with profound motor, social and communication benefits (Lessick et al., 2024).

Based on the previous discussion, the primary aim of this study was to systematically examine and review research on AR intervention programs for individuals with ASD. The findings from this study are expected to provide a comprehensive literature review on

the outcomes and benefits of these interventions, specifically in enhancing social, communication, behavioral, motor, functional, and sensory skills in individuals with ASD.

2. METHODS

Articles that met the following criteria were selected for the review: a) Published in English; b) Published between 2009 to 2024; c) Participants limited to individuals with disabilities and especially individuals with the ASD according to DSM-V (2013) and AR intervention programs; d) The age range of participants should be from 2 to 19 years; e) The duration of intervention programs should be from 4 to 30 weeks; f) AR should be offered by specialists in Sports Science, Kinesiology, Physical and Occupational Therapy. Person, concept, and context (PCC), as recommended by Peters et al., (2015), was used to structure the inclusion criteria as follows: person-developmental disability (ASD); concept-AR intervention programs; and contextindividuals with disabilities; English language. The inclusion and exclusion criteria were used to refine search strategies and provided a framework for searching the literature (Table 1). A scoping review of AR intervention programs and individuals with ASD research showed constant growth over recent years and positive progress towards inclusion of AR intervention programs in individuals with disabilities. The purpose of conducting this scoping review was to identify and map the existing evidence (Arksey & O'Malley, 2005). The framework followed for this scoping study included the following stages: Stage 1: identifying the research question, Stage 2: identifying relevant studies, Stage 3: study selection, Stage 4: charting the data, Stage 5: collating, summarizing, and reporting results (Arksey & O'Malley, 2005).

Stage 1

The aim of this scoping review was to examine the effects of AR in individuals with ASD, with a focus on key characteristics that determine whether AR intervention programs can enhance social, motor, operational, and sensory skills.

The research question addressed in this study was:

 What does the existing literature reveal regarding the effectiveness of AR intervention programs in individuals with ASD?

Stage 2

A review of the literature dated the first semester of 2024 was done. Research took place on main data sources and research engines (Google Scholar and PubMed) to gather all relevant articles. The selection process focused on AR for children and adolescents with ASD. Various key words were used such as AR. riding, therapeutic horseback individuals disabilities, and ASD. From the research, we excluded studies that were implemented prior to 2009 or included in their sample adults with ASD older than 19 years old, as well as studies with duration shorter than 4 weeks since they did not comply with the aim of the present study.

Stage 3

This process resulted in the selection of 14 out of the 40 articles that were studied in order to investigate the effect of their results on the present study (Table 2).

Stage 4

The charted data were entered into a 'data charting form' using the Excel database program. The following information was recorded: 1) Title, 2) Author(s), and year of publication, 3) Intervention type and duration, 4) Study population, 5) Aims of the study, 6) Methodology, 7) Outcome measures and 8) Key results.

Stage 5

We recorded and summarized the key characteristics of all studies, including details such as intervention programs, sample sizes, participants, research methods, outcomes, and evidence of effectiveness.

3. RESULTS

All 14 selected studies were published within the last 15 years (2009-2024), indicating the implementation of AR intervention programs in individuals with ASD. The inclusion and exclusion criteria are summarized in Table 1. Details are described as follows.

Table 1: Inclusion and exclusion criteria for a scoping review regarding studies on AR Intervention Programs for individuals with ASD

Criterion	Inclusion	Exclusion	
Language	Published in the English language	Non English articles	
Time period	January 2009-November 2024	Articles published outside this time frame	
Population	Children and adolescents up to 19	Adults with ASD older than 19 years old	
focus	years old	Individuals experiencing other disability (e.g. mental disorders,	
	experiencing a specific type of	depression, stroke, rehabilitation, special educational needs, motor	
	disability (e.g.) developmental	disorders, visual and hearing impairments, intellectual disabilities,	
	disability (ASD)	cerebral palsy) and individuals without disabilities	

Study focus	AR intervention programs	Studies not primarily focused on AR or animal-assisted		
		interventions		
Literature	Studies that used AR intervention	Review articles including narrative reviews, rapid reviews, critical		
focus	programs in individuals with ASD	reviews, integrative reviews, and the gray literature such as blogs,		
	to export outcomes and benefits	commercial Web sites, opinion articles, commentaries, and		
		information sites		

Participants and Sample

The included studies were conducted in the following countries: two in Spain (Gomez et al., 2014; Vilarroig et al., 2024), ten in the United States of America (Ajzenman et al., 2013; Bass et al., 2009; Fillmore et al., 2019; Holm et al., 2014; Gabriels et al., 2015; Gabriels et al., 2018; Jenkins et al., 2013; Nelson et al., 2011; Steiner et al., 2015; Ward et al., 2013), one in Iran (Ghorban et al., 2013) and one in China (Zhao et al., 2021).

The age of the participants in the included studies ranged from 2 to 19 years. In all studies, the population consisted of children and adolescents with ASD.

Outcomes

The analysis of the studies identified two basic outcomes. Table 2 provides details of the outcomes of AR intervention programs in individuals with ASD.

Social, Communication, and Behavioral Skills Outcomes

There is no doubt that AR intervention programs lead to significant improvements in the social, communication, and behavioral skills of individuals with ASD. Ten studies have demonstrated the positive impact of these programs on individuals with ASD, revealing considerable benefits.

Bass et al., (2009) examined the effect of AR on the social skills of individuals with ASD. Participants in the experimental group received AR sessions lasting one hour per week over a period of 12 weeks. During all sessions, participants received positive feedback after each session while trainers tried to keep constant eye contact with them. Results indicated that individuals with ASD showed increased sensory seeking, sensory sensitivity and social motivation, along with reduced inattention, distractibility, and sedentary behaviors. Furthermore, Fillmore et al., (2019) examined whether social skills and sensory operation were improved following an 8-week AR intervention program for children with ASD. Results revealed that participant's involvement with AR improved their social skills. Nevertheless, researchers mentioned that more studies with larger samples and longer duration are needed to acquire more enhanced data.

In this regard, Gabriels *et al.*, (2015) evaluated the effectiveness of AR on self-regulation, socialization, communication, adaptive behaviors, and motor skills in children with ASD. The intervention group demonstrated

improvements in irritability, hyperactivity, social and cognitive skills, as well as the ability to say new words. The results were consistent with previous studies on children with ASD, demonstrating that interactions between individuals and horses can lead to positive behavioral changes.

In their study, Gabriels *et al.*, (2018) presented 6-month follow-up data for participants with ASD who had taken part in a previously published controlled trial of AR, compared to a no-horse contact active control group. They examined whether the significant improvements in irritability, hyperactivity, and social and communication behaviors observed in participants who received a 10-week manual-based intervention were maintained 6 months after the intervention ended. Comparisons between baseline and the 6-month follow-up revealed that participants sustained the significant initial improvements in social and communication behaviors.

The acquisition of social skills contributes children and adolescents with ASD to create friendships, develop interests and hobbies, learn through the observation of others' behaviors, demonstrate empathy, and improve both their quality of life and psychological health. Specifically, Ghorban *et al.*, (2013) examined the benefits of AR on the social skills of children with ASD. Results showed that the mean score of social skills was higher than the initial measurements, as were the understanding of their feelings, their decisiveness, and their interaction with other individuals. Consequently, AR in children with ASD may improve all areas of their social skills.

According to Holm *et al.*, (2014), who investigated the impact of three different frequencies of AR [one time/week as control dose, three times/week, and five times/week) on parent-identified target behaviors of three participants with ASD, changes in behavior were observed during the sessions and assessed for any carryover into the home and community settings. Compared to baseline, results showed that 70% of the targeted behaviors, improved during the intervention, with 63% of these improvements being retained during withdrawal phase. Parents reported positive outcomes in physical core strength and coordination, including an improved ability to sit upright on the horse for a longer portion of the session, better control of the reins, and enhanced ability to stand in the stirrups.

It is certain that AR has a positive effect on the physical, mental, educational and social levels for

children of various ages with ASD. In this regard, Gómez *et al.*, (2014) studied the social and behavioral effects of a program of an introductory horseback riding and AR program in a group of ASD pupils. Results indicated significant differences of the AR program only on the participant's aggressive behavior and no differences on social interaction.

The purpose of the study of Nelson *et al.*, (2011) was to assess the results of AR intervention programs on social and functional behavior in young children with ASD. Overall, results indicated some evidence that AR can be beneficial to individuals with ASD. Because one out of three participants developed deviant behavior during the first days, probably out of fear of the horse, a specially adapted desensitization program was followed. For the two participants who followed the initial program, measurements showed that during the whole duration of the intervention, their social and deviant behavior were not stable but altered depending on the day. On the contrary, participants that followed the desensitization program showed almost zero deviant behaviors and increased verbal and non-verbal behavior.

According to Ward *et al.*, (2013), the correlation between AR, social communication, and sensory processing skills was studied. The aim of the study was to investigate whether children with ASD would retain the results of therapy following the end of the intervention. Results indicated that participants showed improvements on their social interaction and sensory perception while the severity of symptoms relating to ASD was reduced. Nevertheless, those benefits were not retained after six weeks of absence from AR but were reinstated right after their return to the program.

In this regard, Zhao *et al.*, (2021) examined the results of a 16-week AR program regarding the social interaction and communication skills of children with ASD. Results indicated a positive effect on both social skills and communication. Significant differences were observed in social interaction during and after therapy. Improvements were observed in six out of seven areas of communication, while feelings of responsibility and self-control increased.

In conclusion, individual's participation in AR programs revealed benefits upon social behaviors and social communication. Improvements in social interaction and communication skills were likely due to the opportunities for verbal and nonverbal interactions with horses, peers, and trainers. These interactions provided individuals with ASD the possibility to better

understand others, thereby potentially enhancing their social and communication skills (Chen *et al.*, 2022).

Motor Skills Outcomes

It is widely recognized that AR in individuals with ASD has been proven to improve balance, strength, motor coordination, sensory function, and social skills since individuals often create emotional bonds with the horses they ride. Four studies reported benefits from the participation of individuals with ASD in AR intervention programs. Ajzenman et al., (2013) specified whether AR may increase functional skills and willingness to participate in activities for children with ASD. Results indicated that through AR, instability and body sway were significantly reduced. Significant improvements were also noted in general adaptive behaviors (receptive communication and treatment), self-care, social interactions, and the increase of motivation for participating in leisure activities. In conclusion, results showed that AR had a positive effect on children with ASD and may be a useful therapy tool for this population.

In this regard, Jenkins *et al.*, (2013) evaluated the effect of AR on the behavior of children with ASD. Participants engaged in a 60-minute program over nine weeks. Before the first session, preparatory lessons were conducted to assess each rider's skill level and establish goals. Results showed that the participation in the program did not lead to significant changes in happiness and sadness, response and spontaneity during the initial phase, compliance, behaviors at home, behavioral problems, or overall performance. However, three out of four participants, showed improvement in their body posture.

According to Steiner *et al.*, (2015), AR benefits were investigated in the development of children with ASD. Experiments were conducted to analyze walking and assess motor coordination to observe any alterations. Results revealed significant differences before and after therapy, revealing a much more stable walking cycle on the sagittal plane. Therefore, researchers concluded that AR may be a successful supplementary therapy for children with ASD, particularly in cases where other therapies have not been effective.

The aim of the study by Vilarroig *et al.*, (2024) was to investigate the impact of an AR program on the postural control of children with ASD. Participants took part in a specific AR program, consisting of 45 minute sessions/per week, over the course of three months. The results showed that this horse-based intervention had a positive effect on the postural control of children with ASD.

Table 2: Overview of main characteristics of the selected studies regarding AR intervention programs in individuals with ASD

		individuals with ASD	_
Author(s)	Participants	Instruments	Outcomes
Ajzenman et al.,	6 ASD	Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales-II,	Reduction of instability and postural
(2013)	(ages from 5	Child Activity Card Sort	sway, improvement of general adaptive
	to 12 years)		behaviors, improvement of self-care and
	,		social interactions.
Bass et al.,	34 ASD	SRS Social Responsiveness Scale	Improvement of sensory search, sensory
(2009)	(ages from 4	SP, or Sensory Profile	sensitivity, social motivation, better
(2007)	to 10 years)	Si, or bensory frome	focus, less distraction, and sedentary
	to 10 years)		behavior.
Eller and of al	7 ACD	Carial Danassissanas Carla as CDC	
Fillmore <i>et al.</i> ,	7 ASD	Social Responsiveness Scale, or SRS,	Improvement of social skills.
(2019)	(ages from 6	Sensory Profile Survey, or SPS	
G 1 1 1 1	to 19 years)		X 2 1.111
Gabriels et al.,	127 ASD	Social Communication Questionnaire	Improvement of excitability,
(2015)	(ages from 6	(SCQ)	hyperactivity, social and cognitive skills,
	to 16 years)	Autism Diagnostic Observation	and saying new words.
		Schedule (ADOS) or ADOS-second	
		edition (ADOS-2)	
		Aberrant Behavior Checklist-	
		Community (ABC-C)	
Gabriels et al.,	64 ASD	Aberrant Behavior Checklist-	Maintenance of high levels of
(2018)	(ages from 6	Community (ABC-C),	excitability after 6 months.
(2010)	to 16 years)	Social Responsiveness Scale (SRS)	Maintenance of social relations and
	to 10 years)	Systematic Analysis of Language	communication at the same levels as 6
		Transcripts (SALT)	months before.
Charban at al	6 ASD	Social Skills Rating System (SSRS)	The mean score of social skills of
Ghorban <i>et al.</i> ,		Social Skills Rating System (SSRS)	
(2013)	(ages from 6		children with ASD was higher than the
	to 12 years)		initial measurement as was the
			understanding of their feelings,
			decisiveness, starting and maintaining
			their interaction with other individuals.
Gómez et al.,	16 ASD	Behavior Assessment System for	Significant differences in some of the
(2014)	(ages from 7	Children" (BASC)	quality-of-life indicators and lower
	to 16 years)	Quality of life Questionnaire	levels of aggressiveness (BASC)
Holm et al.,	3 ASD	Social Responsiveness Scale (SRS)	Compared to baseline, 70% of the target
(2014)	(ages from 6	Sensory Profile-Caregiver	behaviors were better during
	to 8 years)	Questionnaire (SP-CQ)	intervention and improvement was
			retained in 63% of the behaviors during
			withdrawal.
Jenkins et al.,	7 ASD	The Child Behavior Checklist	AR did not cause systematic alterations
(2013)	(ages from 6	(CBCL/6–18	to the feelings of happiness and sadness,
(2013)	to 14 years)	Assessment forms that measure ability,	to the answers and spontaneity during
	to 14 years)	adaptive operation, problems in	the start phase, compliance, behaviors at
		externalization and internalization, and	home, behavioral problems, and
NT 1	2 A CD	syndromes.	performance.
Nelson et al.,	3 ASD	Video Tapes, 6 seconds recording	Instability of social and deviant behavior
(2011)	(ages from 2	system,	of the two participants who followed the
	to 4 years)	Single-case reversal designs	entire program. Almost zero level of
			deviant behavior and increase of verbal
			and non-verbal models for the individual
			that followed the desensitization
			program.
Steiner et al.,	26 ASD	Pedagogical Analysis and Curriculum	Stabilization of the walking cycle on the
(2015)	(ages from	(PAC) test,	sagittal plane.
, ,	10 to 13	Instruments for the analysis of the	
	years)	walking cycle	
Vilarroig, et al.,	9 ASD	Postural control with T-plate	Positive effects on the postural control
(2024)	711010	(posturographic platform)	2 sold to circles on the postural control
(2027)	I	(postarograpine piatrorni)	<u> </u>

	(ages from 9	(evaluation of the area covered by the	
	to 12 years)	centre of pressure)	
Ward et al.,	21 ASD	Gilliam Autism Rating Scale: Second	Significant increase in social interaction,
(2013)	(mean age	Edition GARS-2,	improvement in sensory perception,
	8.1 years)	Sensory Profile School Companion	reduction of the severity of symptoms
		SPSC	related to ASD.
Zhao et al.,	61 ASD	Social Skills Improvement System	Improvement of the total social-
(2021)	(ages from 6	Rating Scales, SSIS-RS	communication skills during therapy and
	to 12 years)	Assessment of Basic Language and	afterwards.
		Learning Skills-Revised (ABLLS-R)	

4. DISCUSSION

It is widely known that AR is an intervention method with many significant benefits for individuals with disabilities. The aim of the present study was to investigate all benefits of the AR intervention programs for individuals with ASD. A scoping review took place on a total of 14 studies. Participants were children and adolescents, ages 2-19, with the duration of the intervention programs being 4 to 30 weeks. Results indicated that AR intervention programs significantly contribute to the reduction of symptoms found in individuals with ASD.

specifically, programs More improvements in various aspects areas of quality of life, including reduced levels of aggressive behavior (Gómez et al., 2014), and enhanced abilities in communication, such as accepting, understanding, and expressing oneself. Social skills (Fillmore et al., 2019), social interaction, understanding of feelings, decisiveness, and interaction with others (Ghorban et al., 2013) also improved. Additionally, positive effects were found on sensory seeking, sensory sensitivity, and focus, with reductions in distraction and sedentary behaviors (Bass et al., 2009). Hyperactivity, excitability, cognitive skills, and saying new words were also improved (Gabriels et al., 2015). While AR did not lead to systematic changes in feelings of joy and discontent, response and spontaneity, compliance, behaviors at home, behavioral problems, and performance, some participants did show improvements in body posture (Jenkins et al., 2013). Communication skills were enhanced with increased feelings of responsibility and self-control (Zhao et al., 2021). Furthermore, AR resulted in a stabilization of the walking cycle on the sagittal plane (Steiner et al., 2015), positive effect on postural control (Jenkins et al., 2013; Vilarroig, et al., 2024), increased stability, as well as greater motivation for participation in leisure activities (Ajzenman et al., 2013).

The review of the studies showed that more sessions per week increased the positive effect produced during the session, at home and in society (Holm *et al.*, 2014). It was also observed that high levels of excitability were maintained even after six months of absence from AR programs, but previous levels of hyperactivity returned to where they were prior to their participation to the program (Gabriels *et al.*, 2018).

According to Ward *et al.*, (2013), results indicated a significant increase in social interaction, improvement of sensory understanding, and reduction of the severity of symptoms related to ASD. These benefits did not last past the six-week temporary pause from the program but were reinstated as soon as the individuals began their participation to the program. Therefore intervention programs should be reformed and adjust according to the needs of the participants. In the study of Nelson *et al.*, (2011), participants showed almost zero deviant behaviors and increased verbal and non-verbal behavior.

Regarding the effects of AR interventions programs, results indicated that individual's participation revealed benefits in social behavior and communication. These improvements are likely attributed to the opportunities individual's gained from their interaction with horses, peers, and trainers. Such interactions may have contributed to enhanced social and communication skills (Chen *et al.*, 2022).

Consequently the intervention method with the assistance of the horse may constitute an effective tool for education, rehabilitation and sport participation for individuals with ASD. Therefore AR intervention programs contribute to improving the postural control of individuals and specifically balance ability, since it is an activity that involves coordinating multiple stimuli from different sensory channels (Hameury et al., 2010). Moreover, it is considered an intensive exercise for improving balance. The horse's gait induces continuous changes in the rider's center of gravity, requiring immediate positional adjustments to avoid falling off (Lasa et al., 2015; Tseng et al., 2013). Additionally, it is a motivating and highly structured activity that encourages participants to engage with horse-related tasks (Gómez et al., 2014; Vilarroig et al., 2024). As a result, these interventions have the potential to improve the overall quality of life for individuals with ASD (Date et al., 2024).

5. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, this scoping review aimed to examine the benefits of AR intervention programs in individuals with ASD. Findings and implications indicated that individuals who participated in AR intervention programs experienced significant improvements in their social behavior and

communication as a result of their connection with the horses. It is well-established fact that horses are social beings and display a keen awareness of human reactions. Interacting with horses has been found to positively impact individuals with ASD behavior, resulting in enhanced social understanding and improved communication skills.

In addition, most studies revealed benefits to the postural control of the participants. These improvements likely occurred due to the highly structured and motivating context, which provides intense and specific multisensory stimulation tailored to the participants individual needs. This activity is particularly well-suited to the characteristics of individuals with ASD, as it is based on individual participation while also incorporating multiple interactions in a more structured setting than other team sports.

The effectiveness of these interventions for individuals with ASD may be attributed to their specific nature. In AR intervention programs, interactions are goal-directed, progressive, interconnected. Their effects result from a sequence of training steps and a range of stimuli. Those with ASD rely on verbal and non-verbal communication to guide their horses. Active engagement and focus are essential for carrying out all of the processes. Participants in an AR intervention program can connect and engage with horses. Furthermore, these programs provide an alternative intervention method that utilizes the interaction between horses, individuals with ASD, and riding instructors to promote meaningful engagement in leisure activities that target the core impairments of the participants.

The success of these AR intervention programs varies, highlighting future research to determine the most effective programs for various conditions and age groups. It is important to assess the relevance and feasibility of different techniques. Additionally, further exploration is needed into the intensity, duration, and long-lasting effects of the sessions.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Ajzenman, H. F., Standeven, J. W., & Shurtleff, T. L. (2013). Effect of hippotherapy on motor control, adaptive behaviors, and participation in children with autism spectrum disorder: A pilot study. *The American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 67(6), 653-663. DOI: 10.5014/ajot.2013.008383
- American Psychiatric Association (2013).
 Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, 5th ed. American Psychiatric Publishing: Washington, DC.

- Arksey, H., & O' Malley, L. (2005). Scoping Studies: Towards a Methodological Framework. International Journal of Social Research Methodology, 8(1), 19-32. https://doi.org/10.1080/1364557032000119616
- Bass, M. M., Duchowny, C. A., & Llabre, M. M. (2009). The effect of therapeutic horseback riding on social functioning in children with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 39(9), 1261–1267. DOI: 10.1007/s10803-009-0734-3
- Bhat, A., Landa, R. & Galloway, J.C. (2011). Perspectives on motor problems in infants, children, and adults with autism spectrum disorders. *Physical Therapy*, 91, 1116–1129. DOI: 10.2522/ptj.20100294
- Chen, S., Zhang, Y., Zhao, M., Du, X., Wang, Y., & Liu, X. (2022). Effects of Therapeutic Horseback-Riding Program on Social and Communication Skills in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19(21), 14449.https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192114449
- Date, S., Munn, E., & Frey, G. C. (2024). Postural balance control interventions in autism spectrum disorder (ASD): A systematic review. *Gait & Posture*, 109, 170-182. DOI: 10.1016/j.gaitpost.2024.01.034
- Djordjevic, M., Memisevic, H., Potic, S., & Djuric, U. (2022). Exercise-based interventions aimed at improving balance in children with autism spectrum disorder: a meta analysis. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 129, 90–119. DOI: 10.1177/00315125211060231
- Downey, R., & Rapport, M. J. K. (2012). Motor activity in childrenwith autism: A review of current literature. *Pediatric Physical Therapy*, 24, 2–20. DOI: 10.1097/PEP.0b013e31823db95f
- Esposito, L., McCardle, P., Maholmes, V., McCune, S., & Griffin, J. A. (2011). Introduction. In: McCardle, P., McCune, S., Griffin, J. A., Esposito, L., Freund, L., eds. Animals in Our Lives: Human-Animal Interaction in Family, Community, and Therapeutic Settings. Baltimore: Brookes.
- Fillmore, D. B., Fisher, M. I., Lee, C., Bevins, J., Carter, C., Dailey, S. & Soboslay, S. (2019). The Effects of Therapeutic Riding on Social Skills and Sensory Functioning in Children with Autism. *Physical Therapy Faculty Publications*, 101. Retrieved from: https://ecommons.udayton.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1102&context=dpt_fac_pub
- Fournier, K. A., Hass, C. J., Naik, S. K., Lodha, N., & Cauraugh, J. H. (2010). Motor coordination in autism spectrum disorders: a synthesis and metaanalysis. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 40, 1227–1240.

- Friedmann, E. & Son, H. (2009). The Human-Companion Animal Bond: How Humans Benefit.
 Veterinary Clinics of North America Small Animal Practice, 39(2), 293–326. DOI: 10.1016/j.cvsm.2008.10.015
- Gabriels, R. L., Pan, Z., Dechant, B., Agnew, J. A., Brim, N., & Mesibov, G. (2015). Randomized Controlled Trial of Therapeutic Horseback Riding in Children and Adolescents With Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child* and Adolescent Psychiatry, 54(7), 541–549. DOI: 10.1016/j.jaac.2015.04.007
- Gabriels, R. L., Pan, Z., Guérin, N. A., Dechant, B., & Mesibov, G. (2018). Long-term effect of therapeutic horseback riding in youth with autism spectrum disorder: A randomized trial. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 5. Retrieved from: https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2018.00156
- Ghorban, H., Sedigheh, R. D., Marzieh, G., & Yaghoob, G. (2013). Effectiveness of Therapeutic Horseback Riding on Social Skills of Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder in Shiraz, Iran. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 2(3), 79–84. DOI:10.5539/jel.v2n3p79
- Gómez, G. A., Risco, M., Rubio, J. C., Guerrero, E. & Peña, I. M. (2014). Effects of a Program of Adapted Therapeutic Horse-riding in a Group of Autism Spectrum Disorder Children. *Electronic Journal of Research in Education Psychology*, 12(32), 107–128. http://dx.doi.org/10.14204/ejrep.32.13115
- Hameury, L., Delavous, P., Teste, B., Leroy, C., Gaboriau, J. C., & Berthier, A. (2010). Équithérapie et autisme. *Annales Médico-psychologiques Revue Psychiatrique*, 168(9), 655–659. DOI: 10.1016/J.AMP.2009.12.019
- Hariri, R., Nakhostin, A,A,M Mohammadi, F., Memari, A.H., Oskouie, I.M. & Haghparast, A. (2022). An Overview of the available intervention strategies for postural balance control in individuals with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism Research and Treatment*, Retrieved from: https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/3639352.
- Holm, M. B., Baird, J. M., Kim, Y. J., Rajora, K. B., D'Silva, D., Podolinsky, L., Mazefsky, C., & Minshew, N. (2014). Therapeutic horseback riding outcomes of parent-identified goals for children with autism spectrum disorder: An ABA' multiple case design examining dosing and generalization to the home and community. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 44(4), 937–947. DOI: 10.1007/s10803-013-1949-x
- Jenkins, S. R., & Reed, F. D. D. (2013). An experimental analysis of the effects of therapeutic horseback riding on the behavior of children with autism. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders, 7(6), 721-740. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2013.02.008

- Lasa, M. S., Bocanegra, N., Alcaide, V., Atín, R., Arratibel, M. A., Donoso, V. E., & Ferriero, G. (2015). Animal assisted interventions in neurorehabilitation: A review of the most recent literature. *Neurología* (English Edition), 30(1), 1–7. DOI: 10.1016/j.nrl.2013.01.012
- Lessick, M., Shinaver, R., Post, K. M., Rivera, J. E., & Lemon, B. (2004). Therapeutic horseback riding. AWHONN lifelines, 8(1), 46-53. DOI: 10.1177/1091592304263956
- Maenner, M. J., Shaw, K., Bakian, A., Bilder, D. A., Durkin, M. S., Esler, A., Furnier, S. M., Hallas, L., Hall-Lande, J., Hudson, A., Hughes, M. M., Patrick, M., Pierce, K., Poynter, J. N., Salinas, A., Shenouda, J., Vehorn, A., Warren, Z., Constantino, J. N., DiRienzo, M., Fitzgerald, R. T., Grzybowski, A., Spivey, M. H., Pettygrove, S., Zahorodny, W., Ali, A., Andrews, J. G., Baroud, T., Gutierrez, J., Hewitt, A., Lee, L. C., Lopez, M., Mancilla, K. C., McArthur, D., Schwenk, Y. D., Washington, A., Williams, S. & Cogswell, M. E. (2021). Prevalence and characteristics of autism spectrum disorder among children aged 8 years-Autism and developmental disabilities monitoring network. Surveillance Summaries, 70(11), 1–16. DOI: 10.15585/mmwr.ss7011a1
- Moraes, I. A. P., Massetti, T., Crocetta, T. B., da Silva, T. D., de Menezes, L. D. C., de Mello Monteiro, C., & Magalhães, F. H. (2017). Motor learning characterization in people with autism spectrum disorder. *Dementia and Neuropsychologia*, 11, 276–186. DOI: 10.1590/1980-57642016dn11-030010
- Nelson, K., Axtell, J., Derby, K. M., Moug, R., Berrera, S., & McLaughlin, T.F. (2011). A Preliminary Analysis of Therapeutic Horseback Riding. *International Journal of Social Sciences & Education*, 1(4), 644-656.
- O'Haire, M. E. (2013). Animal-assisted intervention for autism spectrum disorder: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 43(7), 1606–1622. DOI: 10.1007/s10803-012-1707-5
- Peters, M. D., Godfrey, C. M., Khalil, H., Mcinerney, P., Parker, D., & Soares, C. B. (2015). Guidance for conducting systematic scoping reviews. *International Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare*, 13, 141–146. DOI: 10.1097/XEB.0000000000000050
- Robertson, C. E. & Baron-Cohen, S. (2017).
 Sensory perceptionin autism. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 18, 671–684. DOI: 10.1038/nrn.2017.112
- Ruggeri, A., Dancel, A., Johnson, R., & Sargent, B. (2020). The effect of motor and physical activity intervention on motor outcomes of children with autism spectrum disorder: A systematic review. *Autism*, 24(3), 544-568. DOI: 10.1177/1362361319885215

- Schauder, K. B., &Bennetto, L. (2016). Toward an interdisciplinary understanding of sensory dysfunction in autism spectrum disorder: An integration of the neural and symptom literatures. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 10, 268. DOI: 10.3389/fnins.2016.00268
- Srinivasan, S. M., Pescatello, L. S., & Bhat, A. N. (2014). Current perspectives on physical activity and exercise recommendations for children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorders. *Physical Therapy*, 94(6), 875–889. https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20130157
- Steiner, H., & Kertesz, Z. (2015). Effects of therapeutic horse riding on gait cycle parameters and some aspects of behavior of children with autism. *Acta Physiologica Hungarica*, 102(3), 324-335. DOI: 10.1556/036.102.2015.3.10
- Tseng, S. H., Chen, H. C., & Tam, K. W. (2013). Systematic review and meta-analysis of the effect of equine assisted activities and therapies on gross motor outcome in children with cerebral palsy.

- *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 35(2), 89–99. DOI: 10.3109/09638288.2012.687033
- Vilarroig, J. V., Bernado, P., & Gomez, A. G. (2024). Effects of Horseback Riding on the Postural Control of Autistic Children: A Multiple Bseline Across-subjects Design. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 55(2), 510-523.https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-023-06174-5
- Ward, S. C., Whalon, K., Rusnak, K., Wendell, K., & Paschall, N. (2013). The association between therapeutic horseback riding and the social communication and sensory reactions of children with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 43(9), 2190–2198. DOI: 10.1007/s10803-013-1773-3
- Zhao, M., Chen, S., You, Y., Wang, Y., & Zhang, Y. (2021). Effects of a Therapeutic Horseback Riding Program on Social Interaction and Communication in Children with Autism. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(5), 2656. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph18052656