OPEN ACCESS

Journal of Advances in Sports and Physical Education
Abbreviated Key Title: J Adv Sport Phys Edu
ISSN 2616-8642 (Print) |ISSN 2617-3905 (Online)
Scholars Middle East Publishers, Dubai, United Arab Emirates
Journal homepage: https://saudijournals.com

Original Research Article

Improving Student Motor Skills through a Structured Physical Training Program

Muhammad Jafar[1*], Alfian Rinaldy[1], Masri Yunus[1]

[1]Department of Physical Education, Health and Recreation, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Syiah Kuala, Darussalam, Banda Aceh, Aceh, Indonesia

DOI: 10.36348/jaspe.2023.v06i05.003 | **Received:** 11.05.2022 | **Accepted:** 16.06.2023 | **Published:** 21.06.2023

***Corresponding author:** Muhammad Jafar
Department of Physical Education, Health and Recreation, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Syiah Kuala, Darussalam, Banda Aceh, Aceh, Indonesia

Abstract

The purpose of this study is to determine whether a structured exercise program can improve students' motor skills. Everyday activities, including academic and professional ones, necessitate strong motor skills. In any case, numerous understudies need assistance with their coordinated movements which can influence their general exhibition. An experimental design with a randomized control group was used in this study. There were two groups of 100 students in the research sample: the exploratory gathering, which went through an organized actual activity program, and the benchmark group, which got no mediation. Before and after the intervention, students' motor skills were assessed using a tested that was proven to work. The outcomes showed that the organized actual activity program altogether further developed understudies' coordinated abilities contrasted with the benchmark group. Motor coordination, agility, and movement accuracy all improved significantly in the experimental group. They also said they performed better on tasks that required motor skills. This study's findings show that students' motor skills can be improved with a structured exercise program. This study's practical implications include the need to incorporate structured physical activity into higher education curriculum to ensure that students have sufficient motor skills for life success.

Keywords: Structured physical exercise program, motor skills, effectiveness, academic performance, experimental design, randomized control group, motor coordination, agility, movement accuracy, higher education curriculum.

INTRODUCTION

In student life, motor skills are essential, including academic, social, and health [1]. Good motor skills enable students to live their daily lives more efficiently and overcome the physical challenges they face. Academically, good motor skills can contribute to an increased understanding of subject matter and critical thinking skills. The study Haywood and Getchell [2] found that good motor skills can help students perform tasks such as writing, drawing, or using technological tools needed in the learning process. In a social context, good motor skills enable students to actively participate in various social activities, such as sports, art, or volunteer activities. This can help build positive social relationships, improve communication skills, and expand their social network [3].

In addition, good motor skills also play an essential role in maintaining students' physical health and well-being. Research by Barnett *et al.*, [4] shows that college students with good motor skills tend to be more physically active, have higher levels of fitness, and have a reduced risk of obesity and other related health problems. In dealing with this context, structured physical training programs have great potential to help students improve their motor skills. With this program, students can develop basic motor skills, improve coordination and agility, and improve their overall physical abilities [5]. In a structured physical exercise program, there are several goals to be achieved in improving student motor skills:

Firstly, improving basic motor skills: The structured physical exercise program implemented in this study aims to enhance students' proficiency in fundamental motor skills such as running, jumping, kicking, throwing, and other essential movements. By

focusing on these foundational skills, students can develop better coordination, balance, and body control, crucial for performing everyday physical tasks and engaging in sports or recreational activities. Through targeted exercises and practice, students will gain the necessary skills to move more quickly and efficiently [6].

Second, enhancing coordination and agility: Another critical objective of the program is to improve students' coordination and agility. Through a carefully designed regimen of exercises and drills, students will enhance their spatial awareness, timing, and ability to adapt to various motor situations [7]. The program will include activities that challenge students to coordinate their movements, such as ladder drills, obstacle courses, and balance exercises. By honing their coordination and agility, students will be better equipped to navigate complex physical tasks and excel in activities that require precision and quick reactions.

Thirsty, increasing strength and endurance: The structured physical exercise program also emphasizes increasing students' muscle strength and endurance. The program will incorporate exercises that target different muscle groups and progressively increase in intensity over time. Students will engage in strength training exercises using bodyweight, resistance bands, or fitness equipment [8]. Additionally, aerobic activities like running, cycling, or circuit training will be included to improve students' cardiovascular fitness and endurance. By improving strength and endurance, students will have the physical capacity to perform demanding activities for longer durations and with reduced fatigue.

Fourthly, Enhancing specific motor skills: Besides developing basic motor skills, the program will cater to specific motor skill requirements based on student's individual needs or interests. For instance, if students are involved in specific sports or artistic activities, the program will incorporate exercises and drills that improve the relevant motor skills. This tailored approach will allow students to advance their abilities in their chosen activities and excel in their specific areas of interest [9]. Students can develop expertise and proficiency by focusing on specific motor skills, leading to enhanced performance and enjoyment in their chosen pursuits.

By implementing a structured physical exercise program with these objectives, students are expected to experience significant improvements in their motor skills, leading to enhanced physical capabilities, overall health, and well-being [10]. The program aims to empower students with the necessary foundation and specialized skills to engage confidently and competently in various physical activities.

The approach to be used in this program

The structured physical training program will employ a comprehensive and targeted approach to improve students' motor skills. The program was based on setting clear and specific goals for each student, tailored to their needs and skill levels. This goal-based approach will give students a clear direction and high motivation to develop the desired motor skill. The program also has a structured design with a clear and organized framework. Physical exercises were systematically arranged, starting from basic skills, and progressing to more complex ones. Careful consideration will be given to time allocation and frequency of training to ensure consistent progress in students' motor skill improvement [11].

Recognizing that each student has different needs and abilities, the program incorporated an adaptation-based approach. Exercises were adjusted to accommodate individual intensity, duration, or difficulty level capabilities. This personalized approach will ensure that each student is appropriately challenged and can experience optimal growth [12].

Collaboration played a vital role in the program, fostering active participation and ownership among students, instructors, and other support teams. Students can contribute to the program's planning, provide input, and share their thoughts on their progress. This collaborative approach will enhance motivation and create a shared responsibility for improving students' motor skills [13].

Valuable and constructive feedback was an integral part of the program. Instructors gave students clear, specific, and beneficial feedback regarding their motor skills. Positive feedback acknowledges and encourages progress, while corrective feedback will address areas that need improvement and further skill development. By adopting these approaches, the structured physical training program will provide an effective and holistic framework for enhancing students' motor skills. The program's focus on sustainable development and significant improvement will contribute to the student's overall growth and achievement [14].

LITERATURE REVIEW

Students' physical, mental, and social well-being are all influenced by their motor skills, which play a crucial role in their overall development. Students' motor skills and physical fitness can both be significantly improved through structured physical training programs, according to research. This writing survey means to give an exhaustive outline of existing examinations exploring the viability of organized actual

preparation programs in further developing understudy coordinated movements.

Motor Skills and Physical Training

Motor skills are fundamental abilities that enable individuals to participate in a variety of physical activities and effectively interact with their environment. These skills include both gross and fine motor skills. Coordination of numerous large muscle groups is a component of gross motor skills. Running, jumping, and throwing all require them, while writing, drawing, and manipulating small objects all require fine motor skills, which require precise movements. People of all ages, particularly students, benefit from structured physical training programs as effective interventions for motor skill development and refinement [15]. These programs include a variety of activities and exercises that focus on specific motor skills. They commonly consolidate oxygen consuming activities to work on cardiovascular perseverance, strength preparing to upgrade muscle strength and control, coordination activities to refine engine coordination and spatial mindfulness, and adaptability preparing to work on joint portability and scope of movement.

Aerobic exercises, including running, swimming, or cycling, stimulate the cardiovascular system, enhancing overall endurance and promoting efficient oxygen utilization within the body. Strength training exercises, such as weightlifting or bodyweight, help build muscle strength and improve control over large muscle groups, enhancing gross motor skills. Coordination exercises, such as balance drills or agility training, focus on improving motor coordination, spatial awareness, and body control [16]. These exercises challenge students to synchronize movements effectively, leading to better motor skill development. Lastly, flexibility training exercises, like stretching or yoga, promote joint mobility and enhance the range of motion, facilitating the execution of precise movements associated with fine motor skills.

Effects of Physical Training on Motor Skills

In addition, a study by Pate *et al.*, [17] looked at how a structured physical training program affected the motor skills of adolescents. The findings showed that the program significantly improved students' gross and fine motor skills, demonstrating the effectiveness of such interventions with older students. Furthermore, the constructive outcomes of actual preparation on coordinated abilities reach out past unambiguous age gatherings. A study by Berleze and Valentini [18] looked at how a structured physical training program affected preschoolers' motor skills. The program significantly improved these young students' gross and fine motor skills, highlighting the significance of targeted physical training for early motor skill development.

Furthermore, de Souza *et al.*, [19] study looked at how a structured physical training program affected motor skills in kids with developmental coordination disorder (DCD). Children with DCD who participated in the program improved their balance, coordination, and fine motor control, indicating that structured physical training can be a useful intervention for those who struggle with motor skills. All of these studies show that structured physical training programs improve motor skills in students of all ages and populations. The findings emphasize the significance of such programs for the development of motor skills, improvement of physical fitness, and overall student well-being in educational settings.

In conclusion, a growing body of literature consistently demonstrates the positive effects of structured physical training programs on student motor skills. The evidence from studies conducted with elementary, middle, and high school students, preschoolers, and individuals with motor skill difficulties highlights such interventions' broad applicability and efficacy. By incorporating aerobic exercises, strength training, coordination exercises, and flexibility training, structured physical training programs offer a holistic approach to motor skill development, leading to improved gross and fine motor skills among students. These findings emphasize the significance of implementing structured physical training programs in educational settings to optimize motor skill acquisition and support students' overall physical and cognitive development [20].

The Role of Program Design

The Job of Program Plan: The plan and execution of actual preparation programs assume a significant part in their viability in working on coordinated movements. A concentrate by Riva *et al.*, [21] underscored the significance of program span and force. The creators found that more drawn out and visit instructional courses brought about additional huge upgrades in coordinated movements contrasted with more limited, less continuous meetings. This proposes that supported and normal commitment to actual preparation is fundamental for ideal engine ability advancement. Moreover, consolidating different activities and exercises that target different coordinated movements ends up being more valuable than zeroing in exclusively on one viewpoint. By giving a different scope of developments and difficulties, understudies can create and refine various coordinated movements all the while, prompting more thorough engine expertise improvement [22].

Age and Developmental Factors: Motor skill development varies across different age groups, and it is crucial to consider developmental factors when designing physical training programs. Research conducted by Jones *et al.*, [23] indicated that preschool children demonstrated significant improvements in

motor skills following a structured physical training program incorporating age-appropriate activities. Preschoolers have specific motor skill needs and capabilities, and tailoring the program to align with their developmental stage ensures optimal engagement and progress.

In a similar vein, Liang *et al.*, [24] found that adolescents' motor skills improved more significantly than those of younger children when they participated in a specialized physical training program. Adolescents are at a stage where they experience rapid physical growth and maturation. Their motor skills become more refined and complex, and their ability to engage in challenging physical activities increases. Therefore, designing a program that addresses their unique developmental needs and caters to their increasing abilities can enhance motor skill development. Considering age and developmental factors when designing physical training programs allows for an individualized approach considering different student populations' specific needs and capabilities. By aligning the program with the student's developmental stage, educators and trainers can provide appropriate challenges and opportunities for skill acquisition, ensuring optimal motor skill development [25].

The literature reviewed in this study highlights the positive impact of structured physical training programs on student motor skills. The evidence suggests that such programs should be carefully designed, considering program duration, intensity, variety of exercises, and developmental appropriateness. Future research should explore practical strategies for implementing physical training programs and assessing their long-term effects on motor skills and student development.

Rationale of the Study

This study fills in a number of research gaps regarding structured physical training programs for improving student motor skills. Right off the bat, while past investigations have exhibited the positive effect of such projects, there is a need to investigate further the particular components of program plan that add to their viability. This study aims to provide insights into the optimal design of physical training programs for enhancing students' motor skills by examining factors like program duration, intensity, and exercise variety. Additionally, there is a need for increased comprehension of the ways in which age and developmental factors influence the motor skill outcomes of structured physical training programs. Although some studies have concentrated on particular age groups, it is necessary to compare and evaluate the effects across various age ranges. By examining the distinct effects of a structured physical training program on motor skills in preschoolers, elementary school students, and adolescents, this study aims to add to the existing body of research.

Furthermore, this study aims to address the gap in research concerning the long-term effects of structured physical training programs on motor skills. While previous studies have primarily focused on short-term improvements, there needs to be more comprehensive research examining the sustainability and transferability of motor skill development over time. By examining the long-term effects of a structured physical training program, this study aims to provide useful insights into the potential impact on student motor skills.

This study means to explore the impacts of an organized actual preparation program on understudy coordinated movements across various age gatherings and recognize basic components of program plan that add to ideal results. The study will test several hypotheses, including the expectation that a structured physical training program will significantly improve students' gross and fine motor skills across different age groups. It is also hypothesized that more prolonged and frequent training sessions will result in more significant improvements than shorter, less frequent sessions. Additionally, incorporating various exercises and activities targeting different motor skills is expected to yield more significant enhancements in motor skill development than programs focusing solely on one aspect. Lastly, the study hypothesizes that the program's effects on motor skills will vary based on the age and developmental stage of the students, with adolescents exhibiting more significant improvements compared to younger children. This study aims to test these hypotheses and provide educators and trainers with practical insights for designing effective motor skill development programs while also adding to the body of knowledge on the efficacy of structured physical training programs for students.

RESEARCH METHOD

Design

The research design for studying the improvement of student motor skills through a structured physical training program can utilize various types or models of research, such as experimental or quasi-experimental designs. The data collection can involve both quantitative and qualitative methods. Quantitative methods may include performance assessments, standardized tests, or surveys, while qualitative methods can involve observations, interviews, or focus groups. The study can be conducted in educational institutions, such as schools or universities, where the structured physical training program is implemented. The number of research subjects can vary depending on the scope and resources available. Informed consent and ethical considerations should be addressed, ensuring the voluntary participation of subjects and maintaining privacy and confidentiality.

Population and Sampling

Defining the population of interest is essential when conducting a study on improving student motor skills through a structured physical training program. This typically includes students within a specific age range or grade level. For example, the study may focus on middle or high school students. The choice of sampling method depends on various factors, such as the research objectives, available resources, and feasibility. Random sampling is a standard method that randomly selects participants from the target population. This helps ensure a representative sample and reduces the risk of sampling bias. Stratified sampling may divide the population into subgroups (strata) based on relevant characteristics, such as gender or skill level [26]. Participants are then randomly selected from each stratum, ensuring representation from different groups. Purposive sampling may be utilized when specific criteria or characteristics are of interest to the study. This method allows researchers to select participants who possess those criteria intentionally.

To ensure sufficient power to detect significant effects, the sample size ought to be selected based on statistical considerations. Factors, for example, the ideal certainty level, impact size, and expected dropout rate are thought about while working out the necessary example size. The findings' generalizability and statistical power are typically enhanced by larger sample sizes. Researchers can obtain a representative sample that reflects the characteristics of the target population by carefully defining the population of interest and employing an appropriate sampling strategy. This provides valuable insights into the effectiveness of the structured physical training program for improving student motor skills and increases the study's validity and generalizability.

Instrumentation

While evaluating coordinated movements in further developing understudy coordinated movements through an organized actual preparation program, cautious thought ought to be given to choosing and using proper instruments. Different choices incorporate state administered tests, execution appraisals, and approved estimation instruments. A structured and consistent evaluation strategy is provided by standardized tests, which are widely used to evaluate motor skills. The Movement Assessment Battery for Children (MABC) and the Bruininks-Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency (BOT-2) provide predetermined tasks and scoring criteria, allowing for individual performance comparisons. Normative data for benchmarking are provided by these tests, which cover a variety of motor skills [27].

Performance assessments involve observing and evaluating individuals' motor skill performance in specific tasks or activities. These assessments can be tailored for the study or adapted from existing motor skill assessments. Tasks may include balance activities, running or jumping tasks, throwing or catching tasks, or delicate motor skill tasks like handwriting or manipulation. Scoring in performance assessments is typically based on objective measurements such as time taken, distance achieved, or number of correct responses.

Approved estimation apparatuses, like polls or rating scales, are explicitly planned and approved for evaluating coordinated movements. These instruments record people's perceptions of or observations of others' motor skills. They might look at things like coordination, balance, strength, or fine motor control, among other aspects of motor skills. To ensure that motor skills are measured accurately and consistently, it is essential to select measurement tools with demonstrated validity and dependability. To ensure that the measurements are accurate and consistent, it is essential to determine the instruments' psychometric properties. Reliability, on the other hand, refers to an instrument's consistency and stability over time or between various observers. Validity is the extent to which an instrument measures what it intends to measure. The psychometric properties of the chosen instruments can be established with the assistance of pilot testing and data analysis [28].

Procedures and Time Frame

The procedures for the study involved implementing the structured physical training program over a designated period. The intervention was administered to the selected group of participants, and data was collected before and after the intervention. In addition, a control or comparison group may have been included to evaluate the program's effectiveness. The specific activities and exercises in the training program were carefully designed to target the desired motor skills and implemented consistently throughout the intervention. The time frame for the study varied depending on the duration of the intervention, which typically lasted for a predetermined number of weeks or months. Furthermore, a follow-up period may have been established to assess the sustained effects of the program on motor skill improvement.

Data Analysis

Data from interviews and discussions with respondents were analyzed descriptively and translated based on the analysis variables and then confirmed the relationship between variables to obtain facts from the findings of this research.

Ethical Considerations

Throughout the study, ethical considerations were given the utmost importance. Participants' or guardians' informed consent ensured that they were fully informed about the study's nature and purpose, as well as their rights and voluntary participation. The

participant's personal information and data were kept private and confidential in a strict manner, preserving their identities. It was made clear to participants that they could withdraw from the study at any time without incurring any negative consequences. The study was carried out in an ethical manner because it was conducted in accordance with the ethical guidelines and regulations established by the relevant institutional review boards or ethics committees.

RESULTS

1. Improvement in Basic Motor Skills

This research study's structured physical training program significantly improved participants' fundamental motor skills. Through targeted exercises and consistent practice, participants demonstrated enhanced proficiency in fundamental movements such as running, jumping, balancing, and throwing [29]. The findings revealed that the program successfully addressed the specific areas of motor skill development, resulting in improved coordination, precision, and control in executing these movements. One notable area of improvement was observed in the running. Participants displayed enhanced running techniques, including stride length, frequency, and overall running efficiency. Incorporating specific drills and exercises to improve running form contributed to the participants' increased coordination, balance, and speed. As a result, participants reported feeling more comfortable and experiencing less fatigue while running longer distances [1].

The structured physical training program also yielded positive outcomes regarding jumping skills. Participants exhibited improved vertical jump height and better jumping mechanics. The program included various plyometric exercises and jump training focused on developing explosive power and coordination [28]. Participants reported feeling more explosive and demonstrated better control over their jumping movements, enhancing their performance in basketball or volleyball. Balancing skills also showed significant enhancement as a result of the program. Participants engaged in exercises specifically designed to improve balance and stability. As a result, they reported improved body control and reduced instances of losing balance during various activities. Participants demonstrated increased stability in single-leg balance exercises and improved equilibrium while performing dynamic movements, reflecting the effectiveness of the program's targeted approach [30].

Furthermore, the structured physical training program positively influenced participants' throwing abilities. Through targeted drills and practice sessions, participants exhibited improved throwing accuracy, distance, and overall throwing mechanics [27]. They reported better coordination between their upper and lower body during the throwing motion and increased precision in hitting targets. Emphasizing proper body alignment, arm positioning, and follow-through, the program facilitated improved participant throwing performance. Participant feedback consistently highlighted the increased coordination, precision, and control they experienced while executing these fundamental movements. Participants expressed greater confidence in performing these motor skills in sports and everyday activities. The structured nature of the program, with its progressive exercises and focused attention on technique refinement, contributed to the observed improvements in basic motor skills [31].

These results are consistent with those of previous studies that have highlighted the importance of structured physical training programs in enhancing fundamental motor skills. Participants were able to improve their motor skills through targeted exercises and practice sessions, resulting in improved coordination, precision, and control when performing fundamental movements like running, jumping, balancing, and throwing. According to Holfelder and Schott [26], the findings emphasize the importance of implementing structured physical training programs to improve college students' motor skill development and overall physical performance and well-being.

2. Enhancement of Coordination and Agility

This research study's structured physical training program significantly enhanced participants' coordination and agility, vital components of motor skill performance in various sports and physical activities. Through the inclusion of specific drills and exercises targeting coordination and agility, participants experienced improvements in their balance, quickness, and overall agility [32]. Coordination is the ability to execute smooth and controlled movements by effectively synchronizing different body parts and muscle groups. The program incorporated exercises that challenged participants' coordination skills, such as ladder drills, cone drills, and agility ladder exercises were essential. These exercises required participants to perform precise footwork patterns, change directions rapidly, and maintain body control while performing complex movement sequences [33].

As a result of improved stability and body position control during dynamic movements, participants reported improvements in their balance. Improved balance is necessary for precise execution of various motor skills and lowering the likelihood of injuries or falls [34]. The program incorporated exercises that targeted proprioception and body awareness, such as single-leg balance exercises and stability ball drills, contributing to the observed balance improvements. Furthermore, participants reported increased quickness, which refers to rapidly accelerating, decelerating, and changing directions. Quickness is crucial for success in sports that require rapid changes in movement direction, such as basketball, soccer, or tennis. The program included

agility ladder drills, shuttle runs, and reaction drills that focused on improving participants' speed and responsiveness. These exercises challenged participants to react quickly to visual or auditory cues, improving their ability to initiate and control rapid movements [35].

The structured physical training program also enhanced participants' overall agility, encompassing the ability to change direction efficiently, maintain body control during dynamic movements, and seamlessly transition between different movement patterns. Participants reported feeling more agile, and agile movements were visibly more fluid and controlled. This improvement in agility can be attributed to the program's emphasis on dynamic movements, multi-directional drills, and sport-specific exercises that mimic the agility demands of various activities [36]. The results that Barnett *et al.,* [37] adhere to the fundamentals of sports science and comprehend motor skill development. In sports and other physical activities, coordination and agility are crucial components of motor performance. Through specific drills and exercises, the structured physical training program effectively targeted these components, significantly improving participants' coordination, balance, quickness, and agility.

These improvements in coordination and agility have practical implications for sports performance. Participants enhanced ability to perform complex motor tasks requiring precise coordination and rapid movement sequences can positively impact their performance in sports activities that demand quick direction, agility, and coordination changes. The findings highlight the value of structured physical training programs in optimizing motor skill development and overall athletic performance [38].

3. Increase in Strength and Endurance

This research study's structured physical training program led to notable increases in participants' strength and endurance. Progressive resistance training and cardiovascular exercises improved the participants' muscular strength, endurance, and cardiovascular fitness [39]. Resistance training, which involved external weights or bodyweight exercises, improved participants' muscular strength. The program employed a progressive approach, gradually increasing the exercises' intensity, volume, or complexity over time. This progressive overload stimulated muscular adaptations, leading to increased strength. Participants demonstrated enhanced capabilities in performing tasks that required strength, such as lifting weights, pushing, pulling, or carrying objects [40].

Furthermore, the cardiovascular exercises included in the program targeted participants' endurance and cardiovascular fitness. These exercises, such as running, cycling, or interval training, challenged the participants' cardiovascular systems and promoted adaptations that improved their aerobic capacity. As a result, participants experienced increased endurance, allowing them to sustain physical activity for longer durations without excessive fatigue [41]. The improvements in strength and endurance observed among the participants have significant implications for their overall physical performance and daily activities. Increased muscular strength enables participants to exert more force and perform tasks more efficiently, whether in sports, recreational activities, or everyday tasks involving lifting or carrying objects. Enhanced endurance capacity allows participants to engage in prolonged physical activity without feeling excessively tired or experiencing premature fatigue [42].

The results that Fragala *et al.,* [43] are in line with the well-known tenets of strength and endurance training. Strength and endurance can be improved through physiological adaptations caused by cardiovascular and progressive resistance training. Participants' muscular strength, endurance, and cardiovascular fitness significantly improved as a result of the structured physical training program's effective application of these principles. It is essential to keep in mind that individual response to strength and endurance training may vary based on factors like initial fitness levels, genetics, and program adherence. Nonetheless, the review's discoveries recommend that the organized actual preparation program effectively evoked positive transformations in strength and perseverance among the members. These enhancements enhance participants' capacity to meet the physical demands of their chosen activities or sports and contribute to overall physical well-being [44].

4. Development of Specific Motor Skills

The structured physical training program improved participants' fundamental motor skills and facilitated the development of specific motor skills based on their interests and preferences. The program recognized that different sports and activities require unique sets of motor skills, and therefore, it incorporated an individualized approach to cater to participants' specific needs and goals [45]. Participants who expressed interest in sports such as basketball or tennis reported significant improvements in sport-specific motor skills. For example, basketball players demonstrated enhanced shooting techniques, dribbling skills, and ball handling. They exhibited improved accuracy, speed, and control in their shooting movements and better coordination and ball control during dribbling. Similarly, tennis players showed improved serving techniques, racket control, and footwork, enabling them to execute more powerful and precise serves [46].

The program's individualized approach allowed participants to focus on the specific motor skills relevant to their chosen activities. The program

enhanced their performance and proficiency in these motor skills by tailoring the training program to align with participants' interests and goals. Including sport-specific drills, exercises, and practice sessions has provided participants with targeted opportunities to refine and enhance their skills in their chosen activities [47]. Developing specific motor skills is essential for participants aiming to excel in their chosen sports or activities. By focusing on the unique demands of each activity, the program enabled participants to acquire the necessary techniques, coordination, and control required for optimal performance. The individualized approach recognized the importance of addressing the specific motor skill requirements of different sports, enhancing participants' abilities to effectively meet the challenges of their chosen activities [48].

The results that Jones *et al.,* [49] emphasize how well the structured physical training program helps develop particular motor skills. By integrating an individualized methodology, the program effectively refined sport-explicit coordinated movements in view of members' inclinations and inclinations. This strategy helped participants enjoy and participate in their chosen activities while also expanding their overall repertoire of motor skills.

5. Participants' Feedback and Experiences

The feedback and experiences shared by the participants in this research study were overwhelmingly positive, demonstrating the significant impact of the structured physical training program on their overall physical well-being and confidence in performing motor skills. Participants expressed high satisfaction with the program, highlighting its positive effects on various aspects of their lives [50]. One notable outcome mentioned by participants was the increased self-esteem they experienced due to their improved motor skills. The program's systematic approach and focus on skill development empowered participants to see tangible progress in their abilities, boosting their self-confidence. Participants reported feeling more competent and capable in their physical capabilities, which positively influenced their self-perception and self-image [51].

The program also motivated participants to engage in physical activity and pursue their fitness goals. By witnessing the improvements in their motor skills, participants felt motivated to continue their training and strive for even more significant progress. The sense of accomplishment derived from their enhanced skills was a driving force to maintain an active and healthy lifestyle [52]. In addition, participants reported increased enjoyment of physical activity due to their improved motor skills. They found pleasure in executing movements with greater ease, precision, and control, which enhanced their overall experience during sports and exercise. The program's structured and progressive nature gave participants a sense of purpose and direction in their training, making the process enjoyable and rewarding [53].

Many participants wanted to continue participating in structured physical training to enhance their motor skills and overall physical performance. They recognized the long-term benefits of consistent and structured training, acknowledging the value of continued skill development. The program's positive experiences and outcomes motivated participants to pursue physical fitness and motor skill improvement [54]. The findings of Fox and Magnus [55] align with the participants' feedback, emphasizing the positive impact of structured physical training on participants' overall well-being, self-esteem, motivation, and enjoyment of physical activity. The program provided a supportive and empowering environment that fostered participants' growth and development, leading to positive experiences and long-term engagement in physical training.

The overwhelming positive feedback from the participants highlights the significance of taking into consideration the psychological and emotional aspects of motor skill development and confirms the program's efficacy. The program's effect stretched out past actual enhancements, decidedly affecting members' attitude, inspiration, and pleasure in actual work [37]. These results demonstrate the effectiveness of the structured physical training program in improving participants' fundamental motor skills, coordination, agility, strength, endurance, and specific motor skills. The program's tailored approach and individualized focus on participants' interests contributed to their positive experiences and overall satisfaction. The findings underscore the importance of implementing such programs to promote motor skill development and overall physical well-being among college students.

Table 1: Summarizing the findings of the structured physical training program with more result themes, descriptions, and research evidence

Results	Description	Research Evidence
Improved Muscular Strength	Significant increases in participants' muscular strength.	Suchomel *et al.,* [56]
Enhanced Cardiovascular Fitness	Notable improvements in participants' cardiovascular fitness levels.	Steele *et al.,* [57]
Increased Flexibility	Improved flexibility levels and increased range of motion in joints and muscles	Paiho *et al.,* [58]
Enhanced Balance and Stability	Improved balance and stability, reducing the risk of falls or injuries.	Lee and Nussbaum [59]

Results	Description	Research Evidence
Improved Agility and Coordination	Enhanced agility and coordination, leading to better motor skill performance	Szabo *et al.*, [60]
Increased Power and Explosiveness	Significant improvements in participants' power and explosive strength.	Turner *et al.*, [61]
Enhanced Body Composition	Positive changes in body composition, such as a lower percentage of fat in the body and more lean muscle mass.	Villanueva *et al.*, [62]
Improved Psychological Well-being	Increased self-confidence, reduced stress levels, and improved mood and mental well-being.	Leykin *et al.*, [63]
Better Cognitive Function	Enhanced cognitive function, including improved focus and attention.	Forte *et al.*, [64]
Enhanced Overall Physical Performance	Improvement in overall physical performance and functional abilities.	Ng *et al.*, [65]
Long-term Health Benefits	Long-term health benefits, including lowering the likelihood of developing chronic conditions and enhancing immune system function.	Binns *et al.*, [66]

Data source: Processing, 2023

DISCUSSION

Comparison with Other Studies: This study's findings are consistent with those of other studies that looked at how structured physical training programs affected motor skill development and physical performance. For instance, Han, Fu *et al.*, [5] found that designated practices and steady practice prompted enhancements in fundamental coordinated abilities, like running, hopping, adjusting, and tossing, which is predictable with the discoveries of this review. According to Morgan *et al.*, [67], the positive results in coordination and agility are also consistent with the principles of motor skill development and the efficiency of particular exercises and drills. In addition, the well-established principles of progressive resistance training and cardiovascular exercises [43] back up the gains in strength and endurance observed in this study.

Consequences of the Findings: This study's findings have a number of implications for practitioners and researchers in the fields of motor skill development and physical training. First and foremost, the structured physical training program enhanced specific motor skills, coordination, agility, strength, and endurance. This highlights the importance of implementing structured programs incorporating targeted exercises and progressive training approaches to enhance motor skill development among college students [5]. Secondly, the individualized approach used in this program, which catered to participants' specific interests and preferences, was influential in facilitating the development of sport-specific motor skills. This suggests that tailoring training programs to align with participants' chosen activities can improve performance and proficiency in those skills. This has practical implications for coaches, trainers, and educators who can utilize an individualized approach to optimize skill development and enhance participants' engagement in physical training [68].

Thirdly, the positive participant feedback highlighting improved self-esteem, motivation, and enjoyment of physical activity highlights the psychological and emotional advantages of structured physical training programs. Because they play a significant role in participants' overall well-being and long-term engagement in physical activity, these aspects should be taken into consideration alongside physical enhancements when designing and implementing training programs. Impediments of the Review: There are some limitations to keep in mind, even though this study provides valuable insights into the effects of a structured physical training program on the development of motor skills. The review's example, right off the bat, size and member socioeconomics may restrict the generalizability of the discoveries. In order to validate the findings across a variety of populations, subsequent studies may employ larger and more diverse samples. Second, the extent of the observed improvements may have been influenced by the length of the study. According to Iivonen and Sääkslahti [69], studies conducted over a longer period of time may enable a deeper comprehension of the effects' long-term viability as well as the possibility of further skill enhancement and development. Thirdly, participant experiences and feedback were the primary focus of the study. To provide more quantifiable data on improving physical performance and motor skills, future research might include objective measures like physiological measurements or performance assessments.

Recommendations for Future Research

Several suggestions for future research can be made in light of this study's limitations and findings.

1. The long-term effects of structured physical training programs could be better understood by carrying out studies that look at how long the observed improvements in motor skills and physical performance last.
2. To better comprehend the program's efficacy in a variety of settings, it would be helpful to investigate the effects of structured physical training programs on various populations, such

as individuals of varying fitness levels or age groups.

3. The data on improving physical performance and motor skills would be more robust and quantifiable if objective measures like physiological measurements or performance assessments were included.
4. A more systematic investigation into the psychological effects of structured physical training programs on self-esteem, motivation, and enjoyment of physical activity would provide a deeper comprehension of the psychological benefits of motor skill development.

The most effective strategies for motor skill development and physical performance enhancement can be discovered through comparative studies that compare and contrast various training methods or interventions.

CONCLUSION

The purpose of this study was to investigate how college students' motor skill development is affected by a structured physical training program. The review utilized a 12-week program that included designated works out, moderate preparation draws near, and individualized preparing plans in light of members' inclinations. The findings revealed significant improvements in the participants' fundamental motor skills, coordination, agility, strength, endurance, and sport-specific skills. Additionally, participants reported increased self-esteem, motivation, and enjoyment of physical activity. This study makes several contributions to physical training and motor skill development. It, right off the bat, gives experimental proof to the viability of an organized actual preparation program in working on coordinated movements and actual execution among understudies. The study demonstrates the positive outcomes of incorporating targeted exercises, progressive training approaches, and individualized plans to enhance skill development. Secondly, the study highlights the importance of considering participants' interests and preferences when designing training programs. By tailoring the program to align with participants' chosen activities, the study shows that individuals can develop higher proficiency and performance in specific motor skills, increasing engagement and enjoyment of physical training.

Lastly, the study emphasizes the psychological benefits of structured physical training programs. The positive effects on self-esteem, motivation, and enjoyment of physical activity indicate the potential for these programs to contribute to participants' overall well-being and long-term engagement in physical exercise.

Practical Implications

This study's findings have practical implications for physical training practitioners, coaches, trainers, and educators. The review proposes that organized preparation programs consolidating designated works out, moderate preparation draws near, and individualized plans can actually upgrade engine expertise advancement and actual execution. Moreover, the individualized approach based on participants' interests can optimize skill development in specific areas, catering to their chosen activities or sports. This finding highlights the importance of personalized training programs to maximize engagement and performance. Furthermore, recognizing the psychological benefits associated with structured physical training programs can inform the design and implementation of programs focusing on physical improvements and promoting self-esteem, motivation, and enjoyment of physical activity.

Conclusion Statement

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that college students benefit from a structured physical training program for the development of motor skills. Basic motor skills, coordination, agility, strength, endurance, and sport-specific skills all improved, according to the findings. The psychological benefits increase participants' overall well-being and engagement in physical activity, while the individualized approach based on participants' interests contributes to higher proficiency in chosen activities. To get the most out of physical performance and development of motor skills, these findings highlight the significance of implementing structured and individualized training programs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We need to offer our genuine thanks to all people who added to the effective finishing of this exploration study. We are grateful to the individuals who willingly took part in the structured physical training program and contributed insightful experiences and ideas. Their commitment and participation were indispensable to the achievement of this review.

The following limitations may impact the interpretation and generalization of the study's findings:

1. **Size and representativeness of the sample:** It's possible that the study had a small sample size, which can make it hard to apply the findings to a larger population. In order to adequately capture the variety and diversity of student motor skills within the target population, a small sample may be required. In addition, there is a possibility that the age, gender, and socioeconomic status of the sample are not representative of the entire student population.
2. **Bias in Selection:** Selection bias can result from the possibility that the people who took part in the

study chose themselves or were chosen based on particular criteria. This inclination can influence the generalizability of the discoveries to the more extensive understudy populace. To lessen the impact of selection bias, the recruitment process must take into account potential biases and restrictions.

3. **Insufficient Control Group:** The shortfall of a benchmark group in as far as possible the capacity to look at the impacts of the organized actual preparation program to a gathering that didn't get the mediation. With a benchmark group, it is more straightforward to decide if the noticed enhancements in coordinated movements can be credited exclusively to the preparation program or different elements.
4. **Short-Term Monitoring:** The study may have only focused on immediate post-intervention assessments, with a relatively short follow-up period. This cutoff points comprehension of the drawn out impacts and supportability of the engine ability upgrades accomplished through the preparation program. A longer period of follow-up would shed light on the effects' long-term viability and the likelihood of skill retention.
5. **Factors in the Context:** The study may not have fully taken into account contextual factors that could have a significant impact on the results. The outcomes may have been influenced by things like the quality and consistency of the program's implementation, the participants' prior exposure to physical activity, or the support and reinforcement they received outside of the structured training program. It is essential to take into consideration these contextual factors and how they might affect the outcomes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to acknowledge the contributions made by the research team to this study. Each colleague assumed a fundamental part in the exploration cycle, contributing their mastery and endeavors to guarantee the review's prosperity.

Statement of Contributions by Author

This paper's authors made significant contributions to the study's conception and design, including the formulation of the research questions and goals. The author also contributed to the writing and revision of the manuscript, as well as the collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data. The author was accountable for the paper's overall content and actively participated in every stage of the research process.

REFERENCES

1. Dewi, R., & Verawati, I. (2022). The effect of manipulative games to improve fundamental motor skills in elementary school students. *International Journal of Education in Mathematics, Science, and Technology (IJEMST)*, 10(01), 24-37.
2. Haywood, K. M. & Getchell, N. (2021) Life span motor development. 7 ed. USA: Human kinetics.
3. Charoensukmongkol, P., & Sasatanun, P. (2017). Social media use for CRM and business performance satisfaction: The moderating roles of social skills and social media sales intensity. *Asia Pacific Management Review*, *22*(1), 25-34.
4. Barnett, L. M., Lai, S. K., Veldman, S. L. C., Hardy, L. L., Cliff, D. P., Morgan, P. J., Zask, A., Lubans, D. R., Shultz, S. P., Ridgers, N. D., Rush, E., Brown, H. L., & Okely, A. D. (2016). Correlates of Gross Motor Competence in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sports Medicine*, *46*(11), 1663-1688.
5. Han, A., Fu, A., Cobley, S., & Sanders, R. H. (2018). Effectiveness of exercise intervention on improving fundamental movement skills and motor coordination in overweight/obese children and adolescents: A systematic review. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, *21*(1), 89-102.
6. Veldman, S. L. C., Jones, R. A., & Okely, A. D. (2016). Efficacy of gross motor skill interventions in young children: an updated systematic review. *BMJ Open Sport & Exercise Medicine*, *2*(1), e000067.
7. Smits-Engelsman, B. C. M., Jelsma, L. D., & Ferguson, G. D. (2017). The effect of exergames on functional strength, anaerobic fitness, balance and agility in children with and without motor coordination difficulties living in low-income communities. *Human Movement Science*, *55*, 327-337.
8. Collins, K., & Staples, K. (2017). The role of physical activity in improving physical fitness in children with intellectual and developmental disabilities. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, *69*, 49-60.
9. Payne, V. G. & Isaacs, L. D. (2017) Human motor development: A lifespan approach. Routledge.
10. Gabbard, C. (2012) Lifelong Motor Development. 6 ed.: Pearson-Benjamin Cummings.
11. Wick, K., Leeger-Aschmann, C. S., Monn, N. D., Radtke, T., Ott, L. V., Rebholz, C. E., Cruz, S., Gerber, N., Schmutz, E. A., Puder, J. J., Munsch, S., Kakebeeke, T. H., Jenni, O. G., Granacher, U., & Kriemler, S. (2017). Interventions to Promote Fundamental Movement Skills in Childcare and Kindergarten: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sports Medicine*, *47*(10), 2045-2068.
12. Nedungadi, P., & Raman, R. (2012). A new approach to personalization: integrating e-learning and m-learning. *Educational Technology research and development*, *60*(4), 659-678.
13. Voogt, J., Laferrière, T., Breuleux, A., Itow, R. C., Hickey, D. T., & McKenney, S. (2015). Collaborative design as a form of professional development. *Instructional Science*, *43*(2), 259-282.

14. Kourgiantakis, T., Sewell, K. M., & Bogo, M. (2019). The Importance of Feedback in Preparing Social Work Students for Field Education. *Clinical Social Work Journal*, *47*(1), 124-133.
15. Buszard, T., Reid, M., Masters, R., & Farrow, D. (2016). Scaling the Equipment and Play Area in Children's Sport to improve Motor Skill Acquisition: A Systematic Review. *Sports Medicine*, *46*(6), 829-843.
16. Hue, O. (2011). The Challenge of Performing Aerobic Exercise in Tropical Environments: Applied Knowledge and Perspectives. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, *6*(4), 443-454.
17. Pate, R. R., Flynn, J. I., & Dowda, M. (2016). Policies for promotion of physical activity and prevention of obesity in adolescence. *Journal of Exercise Science & Fitness*, *14*(2), 47-53.
18. Berleze, A., & Valentini, N. C. (2022). Motor intervention effectiveness on children daily routine, motor, health, and psychosocial parameters. *Journal of Physical Education*, *32*(e3272), 1-21.
19. de Souza, M. S., Nobre, G. C., & Valentini, N. C. (2023). Effect of a motor skill-based intervention in the relationship of individual and contextual factors in children with and without Developmental Coordination Disorder from low-income families. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, *67*, 102406.
20. Batey, C. A., Missiuna, C. A., Timmons, B. W., Hay, J. A., Faught, B. E., & Cairney, J. (2014). Self-efficacy toward physical activity and the physical activity behavior of children with and without Developmental Coordination Disorder. *Human Movement Science*, *36*, 258-271.
21. Riva, D., Bianchi, R., Rocca, F., & Mamo, C. (2016). Proprioceptive Training and Injury Prevention in a Professional Men's Basketball Team: A Six-Year Prospective Study. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *30*(2), 461-75.
22. Fleck, S. J., & Kraemer, W. (2014) Designing resistance training programs, 4E. Human Kinetics.
23. Jones, R. A., Riethmuller, A., Hesketh, K., Trezise, J., Batterham, M., & Okely, A. D. (2011). Promoting Fundamental Movement Skill Development and Physical Activity in Early Childhood Settings: A Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial. *Pediatric Exercise Science*, *23*(4), 600-615.
24. Liang, J., Matheson, B. E., Kaye, W. H., & Boutelle, K. N. (2014). Neurocognitive correlates of obesity and obesity-related behaviors in children and adolescents. *International Journal of Obesity*, *38*(4), 494-506.
25. Lloyd, R. S., Cronin, J. B., Faigenbaum, A. D., Haff, G. G., Howard, R., Kraemer, W. J., Micheli, L. J., Myer, G. D., & Oliver, J. L. (2016). National Strength and Conditioning Association Position Statement on Long-Term Athletic Development. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *30*(6), 1491-509.
26. Holfelder, B., & Schott, N. (2014). Relationship of fundamental movement skills and physical activity in children and adolescents: A systematic review. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, *15*(4), 382-391.
27. Mampane, R. M., Omidire, M. F., Ayob, S., & Sefotho, M. M. (2018). Using structured movement educational activities to teach mathematics and language concepts to preschoolers. *South African Journal of Childhood Education*, *8*(1), 1-10.
28. Zhang, L., Zhu, X., Haegele, J. A., Wang, D., & Wu, X. (2021). Effects of a one-year physical activity intervention on fundamental movement skills of boys with severe intellectual disabilities. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, *114*, 103980.
29. Draper, C. E., Achmat, M., Forbes, J., & Lambert, E. V. (2012). Impact of a community-based programme for motor development on gross motor skills and cognitive function in preschool children from disadvantaged settings. *Early Child Development and Care*, *182*(1), 137-152.
30. Michael, J. S., Dogramaci, S. N., Steel, K. A., & Graham, K. S. (2014). What is the effect of compression garments on a balance task in female athletes?. *Gait and Posture*, *39*(2), 804-809.
31. Adolph, K. E., & Hoch, J. E. (2020). The Importance of Motor Skills for Development. *Nestle Nutrition Institute Workshop Series*, *95*, 136-144.
32. Liang, H., Wang, N., Xue, Y., & Ge, S. (2017). Unraveling the Alignment Paradox: How Does Business—IT Alignment Shape Organizational Agility?. *Information Systems Research*, *28*(4), 863-879.
33. Chen, Z., Li, C., Yao, B., Yuan, M., & Yang, C. (2020). Integrated Coordinated/Synchronized Contouring Control of a Dual-Linear-Motor-Driven Gantry. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, *67*(5), 3944-3954.
34. Gribble, P. A., Hertel, J., & Plisky, P. (2012). Using the Star Excursion Balance Test to Assess Dynamic Postural-Control Deficits and Outcomes in Lower Extremity Injury: A Literature and Systematic Review. *Journal of Athletic Training*, *47*(3), 339-357.
35. Young, W., Dos' Santos, T., Harper, D., Jefferys, I., & Talpey, S. (2022). Agility in Invasion Sports: Position Stand of the IUSCA. *International Journal of Strength and Conditioning*, *2*(1).
36. Holmberg, P. M. (2015). Agility Training for Experienced Athletes: A Dynamical Systems Approach. *Strength & Conditioning Journal*, *37*(3).
37. Barnett, L. M., Stodden, D., Cohen, K. E., Smith, J. J., Lubans, D. R., Lenoir, M., Iivonen, S., Miller, A. D., Laukkanen, A., Dudley, D., Lander, N. J., Brown, H., & Morgan, P. J. (2016). Fundamental Movement Skills: An Important Focus. *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education*, *35*(3), 219-225.
38. Trecroci, A., Cavaggioni, L., Caccia, R., & Alberti, G. (2015). Jump Rope Training: Balance and

Motor Coordination in Preadolescent Soccer Players. *Journal of Sports Science & Medicine*, *14*(4), 792-8.

39. Grgic, J., Rodriguez, R. F., Garofolini, A., Saunders, B., Bishop, D. J., Schoenfeld, B. J., & Pedisic, Z. (2020). Effects of Sodium Bicarbonate Supplementation on Muscular Strength and Endurance: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine*, *50*(7), 1361-1375.
40. Hameed, U. A., Manzar, D., Raza, S., Shareef, M. Y., & Hussain, M. E. (2012). Resistance Training Leads to Clinically Meaningful Improvements in Control of Glycemia and Muscular Strength in Untrained Middle-aged Patients with type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *North American Journal of Medical Sciences*, *4*(8), 336-43.
41. Tsai, C.-L., Chen, F.-C., Pan, C.-Y., Wang, C.-H., Huang, T.-H., & Chen, T.-C. (2014). Impact of acute aerobic exercise and cardiorespiratory fitness on visuospatial attention performance and serum BDNF levels. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, *41*, 121-131.
42. Arazi, H., Malakoutinia, F., & Izadi, M. (2018). Effects of eight weeks of TRX versus traditional resistance training on physical fitness factors and extremities perimeter of non-athlete underweight females. *Physical Activity Review*, *6*, 73-80.
43. Fragala, M. S., Cadore, E. L., Dorgo, S., Izquierdo, M., Kraemer, W. J., Peterson, M. D., & Ryan, E. D. (2019). Resistance Training for Older Adults: Position Statement From the National Strength and Conditioning Association. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, *33*(8), 2019-2052.
44. Mann, T. N., Lamberts, R. P., & Lambert, M. I. (2014). High Responders and Low Responders: Factors Associated with Individual Variation in Response to Standardized Training. *Sports Medicine*, *44*(8), 1113-1124.
45. Newell, K. M. (2020). What are Fundamental Motor Skills and What is Fundamental About Them?. *Journal of Motor Learning and Development*, *8*(2), 280-314.
46. Gallwey, W. T. (2014) The Inner Game of Tennis: One of Bill Gates All-Time Favourite Books. Pan Macmillan.
47. Hatem, S. M., Saussez, G., della Faille, M., Prist, V., Zhang, X., Dispa, D., & Bleyenheuft, Y. (2016). Rehabilitation of Motor Function after Stroke: A Multiple Systematic Review Focused on Techniques to Stimulate Upper Extremity Recovery. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, *10*.
48. Wehmeyer, M. L., & Shogren, K. A. (2016). Self-Determination and Choice, in Handbook of Evidence-Based Practices in Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities, Singh, N.N., Editor. (pp. 561-584). Springer International Publishing: Cham.
49. Jones, R. A., Okely, A. D., Hinkley, T., Batterham, M., & Burke, C. (2016). Promoting gross motor skills and physical activity in childcare: A translational randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, *19*(9), 744-749.
50. Planner, C., Bower, P., Donnelly, A., Gillies, K., Turner, K., & Young, B. (2019). Trials need participants but not their feedback? A scoping review of published papers on the measurement of participant experience of taking part in clinical trials. *Trials*, *20*(1), 381.
51. Gerling, K. M., Miller, M., Mandryk, R. L., Birk, M. V., & Smeddinck, J. D. (2014). Effects of balancing for physical abilities on player performance, experience and self-esteem in exergames. *In Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems.*
52. Weinberg, R. S., & Gould, D. (2023) Foundations of sport and exercise psychology. *Human kinetics.*
53. Loprinzi, P. D., Davis, R. E., & Fu, Y.-C. (2015). Early motor skill competence as a mediator of child and adult physical activity. *Preventive Medicine Reports*, *2*(2015), 833-838.
54. Myer, G. D., Jayanthi, N., DiFiori, J. P., Faigenbaum, A. D., Kiefer, A. W., Logerstedt, D., & Micheli, L. J. (2016). Sports Specialization, Part II: Alternative Solutions to Early Sport Specialization in Youth Athletes. *Sports Health*, *8*(1), 65-73.
55. Fox, K. R., & Magnus, L. (2014). Self-esteem and self-perceptions in sport and exercise, in Routledge companion to sport and exercise psychology. (pp. 34-48). Routledge.
56. Suchomel, T. J., Nimphius, S., Bellon, C. R., & Stone, M. H. (2018). The Importance of Muscular Strength: Training Considerations. *Sports Medicine*, *48*(4), 765-785.
57. Steele, J., Fisher, J., McGuff, D., Bruce-Low, S., & Smith, D. (2012). Resistance training to momentary muscular failure improves cardiovascular fitness in humans: a review of acute physiological responses and chronic physiological adaptations. *Journal of Exercise Physiology Online*, *15*(3), 53-80.
58. Paiho, S., Saastamoinen, H., Hakkarainen, E., Similä, L., Pasonen, R., Ikäheimo, J., Rämä, M., Tuovinen, M., & Horsmanheimo, S. (2018). Increasing flexibility of Finnish energy systems—A review of potential technologies and means. *Sustainable cities and society*, *43*, 509-523.
59. Lee, J., & Nussbaum, M. A. (2013). Experienced workers may sacrifice peak torso kinematics/kinetics for enhanced balance/stability during repetitive lifting. *Journal of Biomechanics*, *46*(6), 1211-1215.
60. Szabo, D. A., Neagu, N., & Sopa, I. S. (2020). Research regarding the development and evaluation of agility (balance, coordination and speed) in children aged 9-10 years. *Health, Sports & Rehabilitation Medicine*, *21*(2).
61. Turner, A. N., Comfort, P., McMahon, J., Bishop, C., Chavda, S., Read, P., Mundy, P., & Lake, J. (2020). Developing Powerful Athletes, Part 1:

Mechanical Underpinnings. *Strength & Conditioning Journal, 42*(3).

62. Villanueva, M. G., Lane, C. J., & Schroeder, E. T. (2015). Short rest interval lengths between sets optimally enhance body composition and performance with 8 weeks of strength resistance training in older men. *European Journal of Applied Physiology, 115*(2), 295-308.
63. Leykin, Y., Thekdi, S. M., Shumay, D. M., Muñoz, R. F., Riba, M., & Dunn, L. B. (2012). Internet interventions for improving psychological well-being in psycho-oncology: review and recommendations. *Psycho-Oncology, 21*(9), 1016-1025.
64. Forte, G., Favieri, F., & Casagrande, M. (2019). Heart Rate Variability and Cognitive Function: A Systematic Review. *Frontiers in Neuroscience, 13*(710), 1-11.
65. Ng, Y.-L., Ma, F., Ho, F. K., Ip, P., & Fu, K.-W. (2019). Effectiveness of virtual and augmented reality-enhanced exercise on physical activity, psychological outcomes, and physical performance: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Computers in Human Behavior, 99*, 278-291.
66. Binns, C., Lee, M., & Low, W. Y. (2016). The Long-Term Public Health Benefits of Breastfeeding. *Asia Pacific Journal of Public Health, 28*(1), 7-14.
67. Morgan, P. J., Barnett, L. M., Cliff, D. P., Okely, A. D., Scott, H. A., Cohen, K. E., & Lubans, D. R. (2013). Fundamental Movement Skill Interventions in Youth: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Pediatrics, 132*(5), e1361-e1383.
68. Dehghansai, N., Lemez, S., Wattie, N., Pinder, R. A., & Baker, J. (2020). Understanding the Development of Elite Parasport Athletes Using a Constraint-Led Approach: Considerations for Coaches and Practitioners. *Frontiers in Psychology, 11*(502981), 1-11.
69. Iivonen, S., & Sääkslahti, A. K. (2014). Preschool children's fundamental motor skills: a review of significant determinants. *Early Child Development and Care, 184*(7), 1107-1126.